

# Chao Han

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5607808/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

40  
papers

351  
citations

1039880

9  
h-index

1058333

14  
g-index

47  
all docs

47  
docs citations

47  
times ranked

71  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	L2 processing of filled gaps. <i>Linguistic Approaches To Bilingualism</i> , 2023, 13, 767-800.	0.6	1
2	A comparative judgment approach to assessing Chinese Sign Language interpreting. <i>Language Testing</i> , 2022, 39, 289-312.	1.7	5
3	Interpreting testing and assessment: A state-of-the-art review. <i>Language Testing</i> , 2022, 39, 30-55.	1.7	15
4	Using computerised comparative judgement to assess translation. <i>Across Languages and Cultures</i> , 2022, 23, 56-74.	0.3	0
5	Holistic versus analytic scoring of spoken-language interpreting: a multi-perspectival comparative analysis. <i>Interpreter and Translator Trainer</i> , 2022, 16, 558-576.	0.5	2
6	Testing and Assessment of Interpreting in China: An Overview. <i>New Frontiers in Translation Studies</i> , 2021, , 1-12.	0.2	1
7	Assessing the fidelity of consecutive interpreting. <i>Interpreting</i> , 2021, 23, 245-268.	0.7	7
8	Interpreting quality assessment re-imagined: The synergy between human and machine scoring. <i>Interpreting and Society</i> , 2021, 1, 70-90.	1.0	3
9	Using unfilled pauses to measure (dis)fluency in English-Chinese consecutive interpreting: in search of an optimal pause threshold(s). <i>Perspectives: Studies in Translation Theory and Practice</i> , 2021, 29, 917-933.	0.6	5
10	Using self-assessment as a formative assessment tool in an English-Chinese interpreting course: student views and perceptions of its utility. <i>Perspectives: Studies in Translation Theory and Practice</i> , 2020, 28, 109-125.	0.6	15
11	Translation quality assessment: a critical methodological review. <i>Translator</i> , 2020, 26, 257-273.	0.3	7
12	Modeling the relationship between utterance fluency and raters' perceived fluency of consecutive interpreting. <i>Interpreting</i> , 2020, 22, 211-237.	0.7	15
13	Mariachiara Russo, Claudio Bendazzoli & Bart Defrancq. Making Way in Corpus-based Interpreting Studies. <i>FORUM (Netherlands)</i> , 2020, 18, 105-110.	0.2	0
14	A generalizability theory study of optimal measurement design for a summative assessment of English/Chinese consecutive interpreting. <i>Language Testing</i> , 2019, 36, 419-438.	1.7	20
15	Conceptualizing and Operationalizing a Formative Assessment Model for English-Chinese Consecutive Interpreting. <i>Advances in Linguistics and Communication Studies</i> , 2019, , 89-111.	0.2	0
16	William J. Boone, John R. Staver and Melissa S. Yale. Rasch Analysis in the Human Sciences. <i>Journal of Research Design and Statistics in Linguistics and Communication Science</i> , 2019, 5, 208-211.	0.5	0
17	A longitudinal quantitative investigation into the concurrent validity of self and peer assessment applied to English-Chinese bi-directional interpretation in an undergraduate interpreting course. <i>Studies in Educational Evaluation</i> , 2018, 58, 187-196.	1.2	14
18	Latent trait modelling of rater accuracy in formative peer assessment of English-Chinese consecutive interpreting. <i>Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education</i> , 2018, 43, 979-994.	3.9	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Using rating scales to assess interpretation. <i>Interpreting</i> , 2018, 20, 63-101.	0.7	21
20	The accuracy of student self-assessments of English-Chinese bidirectional interpretation: a longitudinal quantitative study. <i>Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education</i> , 2018, 43, 386-398.	3.9	21
21	Callies, M., & Gätz, S. (2015). <i>Leaner Corpora in Language Testing and Assessment</i> . <i>International Journal of Corpus Linguistics</i> , 2018, 23, 119-124.	0.6	0
22	Mixed-methods research in interpreting studies. <i>Interpreting</i> , 2018, 20, 155-187.	0.7	9
23	Investigating the effects of speech rate and accent on simultaneous interpretation: A mixed-methods approach. <i>Across Languages and Cultures</i> , 2017, 18, 237-259.	0.3	11
24	Reporting practices of rater reliability in interpreting research: A mixed-methods review of 14 journals (2004–2014). <i>Journal of Research Design and Statistics in Linguistics and Communication Science</i> , 2017, 3, 49-75.	0.5	6
25	Research Methodologies in Translation Studies, Gabriela Saldanha and Sharon O'Brien (2014). <i>Journal of Research Design and Statistics in Linguistics and Communication Science</i> , 2017, 3, 145-151.	0.5	0
26	Research Methods in Interpreting: A Practical Resource Sandra Hale and Jemina Napier (2013). <i>Journal of Research Design and Statistics in Linguistics and Communication Science</i> , 2016, 2, 181-186.	0.5	0
27	A survey to profile conference interpreting practice in China. <i>Interpreting</i> , 2016, 18, 259-272.	0.7	7
28	Test validation in interpreter certification performance testing. <i>Interpreting</i> , 2016, 18, 225-252.	0.7	45
29	Investigating Score Dependability in English/Chinese Interpreter Certification Performance Testing: A Generalizability Theory Approach. <i>Language Assessment Quarterly</i> , 2016, 13, 186-201.	1.1	32
30	Strategy use in English-to-Chinese simultaneous interpreting. <i>FORUM (Netherlands)</i> , 2016, 14, 173-193.	0.2	1
31	Review of Tsagari & van Deemter (2013): Assessment issues in language translation and interpreting. <i>Interpreting</i> , 2016, 18, 120-126.	0.7	0
32	Lacunae, myths and legends about conference interpreters: A diary study to explore conference interpreting practice in China. <i>Perspectives: Studies in Translation Theory and Practice</i> , 2015, 23, 440-457.	0.6	5
33	Investigating rater severity/leniency in interpreter performance testing. <i>Interpreting</i> , 2015, 17, 255-283.	0.7	39
34	(Para)linguistic Correlates of Perceived Fluency in English-to-Chinese Simultaneous Interpretation. <i>International Journal of Comparative Literature and Translation Studies</i> , 2015, 3, .	0.1	4
35	Can automated machine translation evaluation metrics be used to assess students' interpretation in the language learning classroom?. <i>Computer Assisted Language Learning</i> , 0, , 1-24.	4.8	6
36	Assessing spoken-language interpreting. <i>Interpreting</i> , 0, , .	0.7	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Accuracy of peer ratings on the quality of spoken-language interpreting. <i>Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education</i> , 0, , 1-15.	3.9	3
38	Using analytic rating scales to assess English/Chinese bi-directional interpretation: A longitudinal Rasch analysis of scale utility and rater behavior. <i>Linguistica Antverpiensia, New Series</i> “ Themes in Translation Studies, 0, 16, .	0.0	8
39	Automatic assessment of spoken-language interpreting based on machine-translation evaluation metrics. <i>Interpreting</i> , 0, , .	0.7	1
40	Analytic rubric scoring versus comparative judgment: a comparison of two approaches to Assessing spoken-language interpreting. <i>Meta</i> , 0, 66, 337-361.	0.3	2