Khaled Zaky Sheir

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5607601/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	A Prospective Multivariate Analysis of Factors Predicting Stone Disintegration by Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy: The Value of High-Resolution Noncontrast Computed Tomography. European Urology, 2007, 51, 1688-1694.	1.9	270
2	Flexible ureterorenoscopy versus extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy for treatment of lower pole stones of $10\hat{a} \in fmm$. BJU International, 2012, 110, 898-902.	2.5	128
3	DIFFERENTIATION OF RENAL CELL CARCINOMA SUBTYPES BY MULTISLICE COMPUTERIZED TOMOGRAPHY. Journal of Urology, 2005, 174, 451-455.	0.4	127
4	Prediction of success rate after extracorporeal shockâ€wave lithotripsy of renal stonesA multivariate analysis model. Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology, 2004, 38, 161-167.	1.4	118
5	Predictors of Clinical Significance of Residual Fragments after Extracorporeal Shockwave Lithotripsy for Renal Stones. Journal of Endourology, 2006, 20, 870-874.	2.1	89
6	Determination of the chemical composition of urinary calculi by noncontrast spiral computerized tomography. Urological Research, 2005, 33, 99-104.	1.5	85
7	IMPACT OF LOWER POLE RENAL ANATOMY ON STONE CLEARANCE AFTER SHOCK WAVE LITHOTRIPSY: FACT OR FICTION?. Journal of Urology, 2001, 165, 1415-1418.	0.4	82
8	RISK FACTORS FOR THE FORMATION OF A STEINSTRASSE AFTER EXTRACORPOREAL SHOCK WAVE LITHOTRIPSY: A STATISTICAL MODEL. Journal of Urology, 2002, 167, 1239-1242.	0.4	79
9	Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy in children: experience using two secondâ€generation lithotripters. BJU International, 2000, 86, 851-856.	2.5	72
10	Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy in anomalous kidneys: 11-year experience with two second-generation lithotripters. Urology, 2003, 62, 10-15.	1.0	61
11	Prognostic factors for extracorporeal shockâ€wave lithotripsy of ureteric stonesA multivariate analysis study. Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology, 2003, 37, 413-418.	1.4	59
12	Treatment of Renal Stones in Children: A Comparison Between Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy and Shock Wave Lithotripsy. Journal of Urology, 2006, 176, 706-710.	0.4	56
13	Prospective Randomized Comparative Study of the Effectiveness and Safety of Electrohydraulic and Electromagnetic Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotriptors. Journal of Urology, 2003, 170, 389-392.	0.4	53
14	CAN WE IMPROVE THE PREDICTION OF STONE-FREE STATUS AFTER EXTRACORPOREAL SHOCK WAVE LITHOTRIPSY FOR URETERAL STONES? A NEURAL NETWORK OR A STATISTICAL MODEL?. Journal of Urology, 2004, 172, 175-179.	0.4	49
15	Kidney Stone Size and Hounsfield Units Predict Successful Shockwave Lithotripsy in Children. Urology, 2013, 81, 880-884.	1.0	44
16	Multidetector Computed Tomography: Role in Determination of Urinary Stones Composition and Disintegration With Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy—an in Vitro Study. Urology, 2011, 77, 286-290.	1.0	40
17	Is Pre-Shock Wave Lithotripsy Stenting Necessary for Ureteral Stones With Moderate or Severe Hydronephrosis?. Journal of Urology, 2006, 176, 2059-2062.	0.4	39
18	Long-Term Effects of Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy on Renal Function: Our Experience With 156 Patients With Solitary Kidney. Journal of Urology, 2008, 179, 2229-2232.	0.4	39

KHALED ZAKY SHEIR

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy of upper urinary tract calculi in patients with cystectomy and urinary diversion. Urology, 2005, 66, 510-513.	1.0	38
20	Shock Wave Lithotripsy Versus Semirigid Ureteroscopy for Proximal Ureteral Calculi (<20 mm): A Comparative Matched-pair Study. Urology, 2009, 73, 1184-1187.	1.0	33
21	Prospective Study of the Long-Term Effects of Shock Wave Lithotripsy on Renal Function and Blood Pressure. Journal of Urology, 2008, 179, 964-969.	0.4	29
22	Predictors of Success after Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy (ESWL) for Renal Calculi Between 20—30 mm: A Multivariate Analysis Model. Scientific World Journal, The, 2006, 6, 2388-2395.	2.1	27
23	Are there longâ€ŧerm effects of extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy in paediatric patients?. BJU International, 2013, 111, 666-671.	2.5	27
24	Evaluation of a synchronous twin-pulse technique for shock wave lithotripsy: the first prospective clinical study. BJU International, 2005, 95, 389-393.	2.5	26
25	Impact of the degree of hydronephrosis on the efficacy of in situ extracorporeal shock-wave lithotripsy for proximal ureteral calculi. Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology, 2007, 41, 208-213.	1.4	22
26	Synchronous Twin-Pulse Technique to Improve Efficacy of SWL: Preliminary Results of an Experimental Study. Journal of Endourology, 2001, 15, 965-974.	2.1	21
27	Evaluation of synchronous twin pulse technique for shock wave lithotripsy: in vivo tissue effects. Urology, 2003, 62, 964-967.	1.0	21
28	Extracorporeal shock-wave lithotripsy monotherapy of partial staghorn calculi. Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology, 2006, 40, 320-325.	1.4	21
29	Validation of the Arabic linguistic version of the Ureteral Stent Symptoms Questionnaire. Arab Journal of Urology Arab Association of Urology, 2014, 12, 290-293.	1.5	21
30	Risk factors for the formation of a steinstrasse after extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy: a statistical model. Journal of Urology, 2002, 167, 1239-42.	0.4	21
31	Prospective study of the effects of shock wave lithotripsy on renal function: role of post-shock wave lithotripsy obstruction. Urology, 2003, 61, 1102-1106.	1.0	19
32	Clinically Insignificant Residual Fragments: Is It an Appropriate Term in Children?. Urology, 2015, 86, 593-598.	1.0	19
33	Adenocarcinoma in an Isolated Rectosigmoid Bladder: Case Report. Journal of Urology, 1992, 147, 457-458.	0.4	18
34	Evaluation of a synchronous twinâ€pulse technique for shock wave lithotripsy: a prospective randomized study of effectiveness and safety in comparison to standard singleâ€pulse technique. BJU International, 2008, 101, 1420-1426.	2.5	18
35	Quantitative Enhancement Washout Analysis of Solid Cortical Renal Masses Using Multidetector Computed Tomography. Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography, 2011, 35, 337-342.	0.9	18
36	Evaluation of Synchronous Twin Pulse Technique for Shock Wave Lithotripsy: Determination of Optimal Parameters for In Vitro Stone Fragmentation. Journal of Urology, 2003, 170, 2190-2194.	0.4	16

KHALED ZAKY SHEIR

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy for renal stones in pediatric patients: A multivariate analysis model for estimating the stoneâ€free probability. International Journal of Urology, 2013, 20, 1205-1210.	1.0	16
38	POSTERIOR URETHRAL VALVES WITH PERSISTENT HIGH SERUM CREATININE: THE VALUE OF PERCUTANEOUS NEPHROSTOMY. Journal of Urology, 2000, 164, 1340-1344.	0.4	15
39	Does Degree of Hydronephrosis Affect Success of Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy for Distal Ureteral Stones?. Urology, 2007, 69, 431-435.	1.0	15
40	Optimal non-invasive treatment of 1–2.5Âcm radiolucent renal stones: oral dissolution therapy, shock wave lithotripsy or combined treatment—a randomized controlled trial. World Journal of Urology, 2020, 38, 207-212.	2.2	15
41	Percutaneous nephrolithotomy vs. extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy for treating a 20–30Âmm single renal pelvic stone. Arab Journal of Urology Arab Association of Urology, 2015, 13, 212-216.	1.5	14
42	Preoperative risk factors for complications of percutaneous nephrolithotomy. Urolithiasis, 2021, 49, 153-160.	2.0	13
43	Shock Wave Lithotripsy of Vesical Stones in Patients With Infravesical Obstruction: An Underused Noninvasive Approach. Urology, 2013, 81, 508-510.	1.0	12
44	Delivery of intravesical botulinum toxin A using low-energy shockwaves in the treatment of overactive bladder: A preliminary clinical study. Arab Journal of Urology Arab Association of Urology, 2019, 17, 216-220.	1.5	12
45	Risk factors for formation of steinstrasse after extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy for pediatric renal calculi: a multivariate analysis model. International Urology and Nephrology, 2015, 47, 573-577.	1.4	10
46	A randomised controlled trial evaluating renal protective effects of selenium with vitamins A, C, E, verapamil, and losartan against extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsyâ€induced renal injury. BJU International, 2017, 119, 142-147.	2.5	10
47	Is transition zone biopsy valuable in benign prostatic hyperplasia patients with serum prostate-specific antigen >10 ng/ml and prior negative peripheral zone biopsy?. Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology, 2005, 39, 49-55.	1.4	9
48	Anatomic Predictors of Formation of Lower Caliceal Calculi: Is It the Time for Three-Dimensional Computed Tomography Urography?. Journal of Endourology, 2008, 22, 2175-2180.	2.1	9
49	Evaluation of CT perfusion parameters for assessment of split renal function in healthy donors. Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, 2016, 47, 1681-1688.	0.6	8
50	Does lithotripsy increase stone recurrence? A comparative study between extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy and non-fragmenting percutaneous nephrolithotomy. Arab Journal of Urology Arab Association of Urology, 2016, 14, 108-114.	1.5	7
51	Hospital admission for treatment of complications after extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy for renal stones: a study of risk factors. Urolithiasis, 2018, 46, 291-296.	2.0	7
52	Efficacy of pethidine, ketorolac, and lidocaine gel as analgesics for pain control in shockwave lithotripsy: A single-blinded randomized controlled trial. Investigative and Clinical Urology, 2019, 60, 251.	2.0	7
53	Effect of lowâ€energy shock wave therapy on intravesical epirubicin delivery in a rat model of bladder cancer. BJU International, 2021, 127, 80-89.	2.5	7
54	Viewing windows do not alter Hounsfield units in CT scans. Urological Research, 2005, 33, 481-482.	1.5	5

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Validation of the Arabic version of the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-Bladder questionnaire in Egyptian patients with bladder cancer. Arab Journal of Urology Arab Association of Urology, 2017, 15, 110-114.	1.5	5
56	Chronic urinary retention after radical cystectomy and orthotopic neobladder in women: Risk factors and relation to time. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2017, 35, 671.e11-671.e16.	1.6	5
57	Evaluation of Acute Post-Shock Wave Lithotripsy Renal Changes by Dynamic Magnetic Resonance Imaging: A Prospective Clinical Study. Journal of Urology, 2014, 192, 1705-1709.	0.4	4
58	The modified rectal bladder(the augmented and valved rectum) for urine diversion in children. Urology, 1994, 44, 737-741.	1.0	3
59	Characterization of upper urinary tract urothelial lesions in patients with gross hematuria using diffusion-weighted MRI: A prospective study. Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, 2014, 45, 943-948.	0.6	2
60	Shock wave lithotripsy versus endoscopic cystolitholapaxy in the management of patients presenting with calcular acute urinary retention: a randomised controlled trial. World Journal of Urology, 2019, 37, 879-884.	2.2	2
61	IMPACT OF LOWER POLE RENAL ANATOMY ON STONE CLEARANCE AFTER SHOCK WAVE LITHOTRIPSY: FACT OR FICTION?. Journal of Urology, 2001, , 1415-1418.	0.4	2
62	RISK FACTORS FOR THE FORMATION OF A STEINSTRASSE AFTER EXTRACORPOREAL SHOCK WAVE LITHOTRIPSY:. Journal of Urology, 2002, , 1239-1242.	0.4	2
63	Predictors of Success after Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy (ESWL) for Renal Calculi Between 20–30 mm: A Multivariate Analysis Model. TSW Urology, 2006, 1, 93-100.	0.1	2
64	RE: Risk Factors for the Formation of a Steinstrasse After Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy: A Statistical Model. Journal of Urology, 2003, 170, 192-192.	0.4	1
65	NEURAL NETWORK TO PREDICT STONE-FREE STATUS AFTER ESWL OF RENAL STONES. Journal of Urology, 1999, , 376.	0.4	1
66	6. Effects of SWL to one kidney on bilateral renal function. Nuclear Medicine Communications, 2000, 21, 370-371.	1.1	1
67	Reply to the letter by Dr. Williams. Urological Research, 2005, 33, 483-483.	1.5	0
68	MP-05.17. Urology, 2006, 68, 84.	1.0	0
69	1314: Impact of the Degree of Hydronephrosis on the Efficacy of in Situ Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy for Proximal Ureteral Calculi: A Prospective Randomized Study. Journal of Urology, 2007, 177, 432-432.	0.4	0
70	Renal Cell Carcinoma Subtypes. , 2008, , 457-466.		0
71	1694 PROGNOSTIC FACTORS FOR SUCCESS OF EXTRACORPOREAL SHOCKWAVES LITHOTRIPSY FOR TREATMENT OF RENAL STONES IN PEDIATRIC PATIENTS. Journal of Urology, 2011, 185, .	0.4	0
72	Reply by the Authors. Urology, 2013, 81, 1383.	1.0	0

KHALED ZAKY SHEIR

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Reply by the Authors. Urology, 2013, 82, 491-492.	1.0	0
74	Reply by the Authors. Urology, 2013, 82, 255-256.	1.0	0
75	MP73-06 EVALUATION OF ACUTE POST-SWL RENAL CHANGES AS DETECTED BY DYNAMIC MRI: A PROSPECTIVE CLINICAL STUDY. Journal of Urology, 2014, 191, .	0.4	0
76	Editorial Comment for Faragher <i>et al</i> Journal of Endourology, 2016, 30, 565-566.	2.1	0
77	MP54-01 DYNAMIC CONTRAST ENHANCED MRI (DCE-MRI) FOR EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTS OF RENO-PROTECTIVE DRUGS ON RENAL PERFUSION AFTER SWL. Journal of Urology, 2016, 195, .	0.4	0
78	MP91-03 ROLE OF LOW-INTENSITY SHOCK WAVE THERAPY IN PENILE REHABILITATION POST NERVE SPARING RADICAL CYSTO-PROSTATECTOMY: A PROSPECTIVE RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL. Journal of Urology, 2017, 197, .	0.4	0
79	The alternating bidirectional versus the standard approach during shock wave lithotripsy for upper lumbar ureteric stones: a randomized controlled trial. World Journal of Urology, 2021, 39, 247-253.	2.2	0
80	68. Effects of SWL to one kidney on bilateral renal function. Nuclear Medicine Communications, 2000, 21, 391.	1.1	0
81	1882: Evaluation of Synchronous Twin Pulse Technique for Extra Corporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy (SWL): Early Post-SWL Morphologic and Haemodynamic Renal Changes in Comparison to Standard Single Pulse Technique. Journal of Urology, 2004, 171, 497-497.	0.4	0
82	1679: Synchronous Twin Pulse Technique for Shock Wave Lithotripsy: Results of The First Prospective Clinical Study. Journal of Urology, 2004, 171, 444-444.	0.4	0
83	1734: Prostate Arterial Supply Revisited: A Cadaveric Study. Journal of Urology, 2004, 171, 459-459.	0.4	0
84	1902: Is Steinstrasse After ESWL of Renal Stones Predictable? Artificial Neural Network Analysis. Journal of Urology, 2004, 171, 502-503.	0.4	0
85	1311: A Prospective Multivariate Analysis of Factors Predicting Stone Disintegration by Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy (SWL): Value of High Resolution Noncontrast Computed Tomography (NCCT). Journal of Urology, 2007, 177, 431-431.	0.4	0