Riaz Qureshi

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5587961/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



PIAZ OLIDESHI

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Harms in Systematic Reviews Paper 1: An introduction to research on harms. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2022, 143, 186-196.	2.4	30
2	Evaluation of Systematic Reviews of Interventions for Retina and Vitreous Conditions. JAMA Ophthalmology, 2019, 137, 1399.	1.4	21
3	Gender and Editorial Authorship in High-Impact Epidemiology Journals. American Journal of Epidemiology, 2019, 188, 2140-2145.	1.6	21
4	Harms in Systematic Reviews Paper 2: Methods used to assess harms are neglected in systematic reviews of gabapentin. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2022, 143, 212-223.	2.4	17
5	Harms in Systematic Reviews Paper 3: Given the same data sources, systematic reviews of gabapentin have different results for harms. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2022, 143, 224-241.	2.4	16
6	Accuracy of optical coherence tomography for diagnosing glaucoma: an overview of systematic reviews. British Journal of Ophthalmology, 2021, 105, 490-495.	2.1	13
7	What Do We Really Know about the Effectiveness of Glaucoma Interventions?. Ophthalmology Glaucoma, 2021, 4, 454-462.	0.9	13
8	Effect of redundant clinical trials from mainland China evaluating statins in patients with coronary artery disease: cross sectional study. BMJ, The, 2021, 372, n48.	3.0	11
9	The Relationship of APOE ε4, Race, and Sex on the Age of Onset and Risk of Dementia. Frontiers in Neurology, 2021, 12, 735036.	1.1	11
10	The SPIRIT Checklist—lessons from the experience of SPIRIT protocol editors. Trials, 2022, 23, 359.	0.7	8
11	Authorship diversity among systematic reviews in eyes and vision. Systematic Reviews, 2020, 9, 192.	2.5	5
12	Association between switching of primary outcomes and reported trial findings among randomized drug trials from China. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 132, 10-17.	2.4	4
13	Assessment of Duplicate Publication of Chinese-Sponsored Randomized Clinical Trials. JAMA Network Open, 2020, 3, e2027104.	2.8	4
14	Visualising harms in publications of randomised controlled trials: consensus and recommendations. BMJ, The, 2022, 377, e068983.	3.0	4
15	How Can We Quantify and Compare Harm in Surgical Trials?. American Journal of Ophthalmology, 2022, 241, 64-70.	1.7	2
16	Registration of phase 3 crossover trials on ClinicalTrials.gov. Trials, 2020, 21, 613.	0.7	1
17	The Importance of Reporting Biases in Patient Care: Can We Trust the Evidence From Either Individual Studies or Systematic Reviews?. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2018, 169, 413.	2.0	0
10	Party Orbital malage 2010, 126, a28, a20	0.5	0

18 Reply. Ophthalmology, 2019, 126, e28-e29.

2.5 0