
Janel Hanmer

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/554856/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



IANEL HANMED

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Evidence on the relationship between PROMIS-29 and EQ-5D: a literature review. Quality of Life Research, 2022, 31, 79-89.	3.1	9
2	A Comparison of PROPr and EQ-5D-5L Value Sets. Pharmacoeconomics, 2022, 40, 297-307.	3.3	14
3	Use of telemedicine for initial outpatient subspecialist consultative visit: A national survey of general pediatricians and pediatric subspecialists. Healthcare, 2022, 10, 100600.	1.3	2
4	Changes in Food Insecurity and Changes in Patient-Reported Outcomes: a Nationally Representative Cohort Study. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2022, 37, 3638-3644.	2.6	19
5	Perceived Usefulness of Increased Telemedicine Use by Pediatric Subspecialists: A National Survey. Telemedicine Journal and E-Health, 2022, , .	2.8	2
6	Common Patient-Reported Outcomes Within the Food and Drug Administration Voice of the Patient Reports. Value in Health, 2022, , .	0.3	0
7	Cross-sectional validation of the PROMIS-Preference scoring system by its association with social determinants of health. Quality of Life Research, 2021, 30, 881-889.	3.1	15
8	Association between Food Insecurity and Health-Related Quality of Life: a Nationally Representative Survey. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2021, 36, 1638-1647.	2.6	21
9	Linking AM-PAC Mobility and Daily Activity to the PROMIS Physical Function Metric. Physical Therapy, 2021, 101, .	2.4	4
10	Uptake of an Integrated Electronic Questionnaire System in Community Pediatric Clinics. Applied Clinical Informatics, 2021, 12, 310-319.	1.7	5
11	A phase I trial of pembrolizumab plus vemurafenib and cobimetinib in patients with advanced melanoma Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2021, 39, e21506-e21506.	1.6	0
12	Predicting Unplanned Health Care Utilization and Cost. Medical Care, 2021, 59, 921-928.	2.4	2
13	Evaluation of PROMIS Preference Scoring System (PROPr) in Patients Undergoing Hemodialysis or Kidney Transplant. Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology: CJASN, 2021, 16, 1328-1336.	4.5	19
14	Measuring population health: association of self-rated health and PROMIS measures with social determinants of health in a cross-sectional survey of the US population. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2021, 19, 221.	2.4	9
15	Computing PROPr Utility Scores for PROMIS® Profile Instruments. Value in Health, 2020, 23, 370-378.	0.3	33
16	A Scoring Algorithm for Deriving Utility Values from the Neuro-QoL for Patients with Multiple Sclerosis. Medical Decision Making, 2020, 40, 897-911.	2.4	5
17	A reporting checklist for HealthMeasures' patient-reported outcomes: ASCQ-Me, Neuro-QoL, NIH Toolbox, and PROMIS. Journal of Patient-Reported Outcomes, 2020, 4, 21.	1.9	34
18	Comparing the predictive ability of a commercial artificial intelligence early warning system with physician judgement for clinical deterioration in hospitalised general internal medicine patients: a prospective observational study. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e032187.	1.9	17

Janel Hanmer

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Exclusion Criteria as Measurements II: Effects on Utility Functions. Medical Decision Making, 2019, 39, 704-716.	2.4	5
20	Exclusion Criteria as Measurements I: Identifying Invalid Responses. Medical Decision Making, 2019, 39, 693-703.	2.4	9
21	The diagnosis of asymptomatic disease is associated with fewer healthy days: A cross sectional analysis from the national health and nutrition examination survey. British Journal of Health Psychology, 2019, 24, 88-101.	3.5	7
22	Estimation of a Preference-Based Summary Score for the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System: The PROMIS [®] -Preference (PROPr) Scoring System. Medical Decision Making, 2018, 38, 683-698.	2.4	92
23	Cross-sectional validation of the PROMIS-Preference scoring system. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0201093.	2.5	46
24	Evaluation of options for presenting health-states from PROMIS® item banks for valuation exercises. Quality of Life Research, 2018, 27, 1835-1843.	3.1	14
25	An Approach to Reconciling Competing Ethical Principles in Aggregating Heterogeneous Health Preferences. Medical Decision Making, 2017, 37, 647-656.	2.4	8
26	Effects of Anti- Versus Pro-Vaccine Narratives on Responses by Recipients Varying in Numeracy: A Cross-sectional Survey-Based Experiment. Medical Decision Making, 2017, 37, 860-870.	2.4	18
27	Selection of key health domains from PROMIS® for a generic preference-based scoring system. Quality of Life Research, 2017, 26, 3377-3385.	3.1	39
28	Association Between Palliative Care and Patient and Caregiver Outcomes. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2016, 316, 2104.	7.4	762
29	Update to the Report of Nationally Representative Values for the Noninstitutionalized US Adult Population for Five Health-Related Quality-of-Life Scores. Value in Health, 2016, 19, 1059-1062.	0.3	27
30	Chronic Conditions and Utility-Based Health-Related Quality of Life in Adult Childhood Cancer Survivors. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2016, 108, djw046.	6.3	48
31	A single question about a respondent's perceived financial ability to pay monthly bills explains more variance in health utility scores than absolute income and assets questions. Quality of Life Research, 2016, 25, 2233-2237.	3.1	13
32	Health Condition Impacts in a Nationally Representative Cross-Sectional Survey Vary Substantially by Preference-Based Health Index. Medical Decision Making, 2016, 36, 264-274.	2.4	14
33	Benchmarking health-related quality-of-life data from a clinical setting. American Journal of Managed Care, 2016, 22, 669-675.	1.1	1
34	The PROMIS of QALYs. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2015, 13, 122.	2.4	44
35	Cardiovascular magnetic resonance characterization of left ventricular non-compaction provides independent prognostic information in patients with incident heart failure or suspected cardiomyopathy. Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, 2014, 16, 64.	3.3	35
36	Three methods tested to model SF-6D health utilities for health states involving comorbidity/co-occurring conditions. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2010, 63, 331-341.	5.0	20

Janel Hanmer

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Predicting an SF-6D Preference-Based Score Using MCS and PCS Scores from the SF-12 or SF-36. Value in Health, 2009, 12, 958-966.	0.3	56
38	Mode of Administration Is Important in US National Estimates of Health-Related Quality of Life. Medical Care, 2007, 45, 1171-1179.	2.4	78
39	US Norms for Six Generic Health-Related Quality-of-Life Indexes From the National Health Measurement Study. Medical Care, 2007, 45, 1162-1170.	2.4	391
40	Report of Nationally Representative Values for the Noninstitutionalized US Adult Population for 7 Health-Related Quality-of-Life Scores. Medical Decision Making, 2006, 26, 391-400.	2.4	343