
Janel Hanmer

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/554856/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



IANEL HANMED

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Association Between Palliative Care and Patient and Caregiver Outcomes. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2016, 316, 2104.	7.4	762
2	US Norms for Six Generic Health-Related Quality-of-Life Indexes From the National Health Measurement Study. Medical Care, 2007, 45, 1162-1170.	2.4	391
3	Report of Nationally Representative Values for the Noninstitutionalized US Adult Population for 7 Health-Related Quality-of-Life Scores. Medical Decision Making, 2006, 26, 391-400.	2.4	343
4	Estimation of a Preference-Based Summary Score for the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System: The PROMIS [®] -Preference (PROPr) Scoring System. Medical Decision Making, 2018, 38, 683-698.	2.4	92
5	Mode of Administration Is Important in US National Estimates of Health-Related Quality of Life. Medical Care, 2007, 45, 1171-1179.	2.4	78
6	Predicting an SF-6D Preference-Based Score Using MCS and PCS Scores from the SF-12 or SF-36. Value in Health, 2009, 12, 958-966.	0.3	56
7	Chronic Conditions and Utility-Based Health-Related Quality of Life in Adult Childhood Cancer Survivors. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2016, 108, djw046.	6.3	48
8	Cross-sectional validation of the PROMIS-Preference scoring system. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0201093.	2.5	46
9	The PROMIS of QALYs. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2015, 13, 122.	2.4	44
10	Selection of key health domains from PROMIS® for a generic preference-based scoring system. Quality of Life Research, 2017, 26, 3377-3385.	3.1	39
11	Cardiovascular magnetic resonance characterization of left ventricular non-compaction provides independent prognostic information in patients with incident heart failure or suspected cardiomyopathy. Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, 2014, 16, 64.	3.3	35
12	A reporting checklist for HealthMeasures' patient-reported outcomes: ASCQ-Me, Neuro-QoL, NIH Toolbox, and PROMIS. Journal of Patient-Reported Outcomes, 2020, 4, 21.	1.9	34
13	Computing PROPr Utility Scores for PROMIS® Profile Instruments. Value in Health, 2020, 23, 370-378.	0.3	33
14	Update to the Report of Nationally Representative Values for the Noninstitutionalized US Adult Population for Five Health-Related Quality-of-Life Scores. Value in Health, 2016, 19, 1059-1062.	0.3	27
15	Association between Food Insecurity and Health-Related Quality of Life: a Nationally Representative Survey. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2021, 36, 1638-1647.	2.6	21
16	Three methods tested to model SF-6D health utilities for health states involving comorbidity/co-occurring conditions. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2010, 63, 331-341.	5.0	20
17	Evaluation of PROMIS Preference Scoring System (PROPr) in Patients Undergoing Hemodialysis or Kidney Transplant. Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology: CJASN, 2021, 16, 1328-1336.	4.5	19
18	Changes in Food Insecurity and Changes in Patient-Reported Outcomes: a Nationally Representative Cohort Study. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2022, 37, 3638-3644.	2.6	19

JANEL HANMER

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Effects of Anti- Versus Pro-Vaccine Narratives on Responses by Recipients Varying in Numeracy: A Cross-sectional Survey-Based Experiment. Medical Decision Making, 2017, 37, 860-870.	2.4	18
20	Comparing the predictive ability of a commercial artificial intelligence early warning system with physician judgement for clinical deterioration in hospitalised general internal medicine patients: a prospective observational study. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e032187.	1.9	17
21	Cross-sectional validation of the PROMIS-Preference scoring system by its association with social determinants of health. Quality of Life Research, 2021, 30, 881-889.	3.1	15
22	Health Condition Impacts in a Nationally Representative Cross-Sectional Survey Vary Substantially by Preference-Based Health Index. Medical Decision Making, 2016, 36, 264-274.	2.4	14
23	Evaluation of options for presenting health-states from PROMIS® item banks for valuation exercises. Quality of Life Research, 2018, 27, 1835-1843.	3.1	14
24	A Comparison of PROPr and EQ-5D-5L Value Sets. Pharmacoeconomics, 2022, 40, 297-307.	3.3	14
25	A single question about a respondent's perceived financial ability to pay monthly bills explains more variance in health utility scores than absolute income and assets questions. Quality of Life Research, 2016, 25, 2233-2237.	3.1	13
26	Exclusion Criteria as Measurements I: Identifying Invalid Responses. Medical Decision Making, 2019, 39, 693-703.	2.4	9
27	Evidence on the relationship between PROMIS-29 and EQ-5D: a literature review. Quality of Life Research, 2022, 31, 79-89.	3.1	9
28	Measuring population health: association of self-rated health and PROMIS measures with social determinants of health in a cross-sectional survey of the US population. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2021, 19, 221.	2.4	9
29	An Approach to Reconciling Competing Ethical Principles in Aggregating Heterogeneous Health Preferences. Medical Decision Making, 2017, 37, 647-656.	2.4	8
30	The diagnosis of asymptomatic disease is associated with fewer healthy days: A cross sectional analysis from the national health and nutrition examination survey. British Journal of Health Psychology, 2019, 24, 88-101.	3.5	7
31	Exclusion Criteria as Measurements II: Effects on Utility Functions. Medical Decision Making, 2019, 39, 704-716.	2.4	5
32	A Scoring Algorithm for Deriving Utility Values from the Neuro-QoL for Patients with Multiple Sclerosis. Medical Decision Making, 2020, 40, 897-911.	2.4	5
33	Uptake of an Integrated Electronic Questionnaire System in Community Pediatric Clinics. Applied Clinical Informatics, 2021, 12, 310-319.	1.7	5
34	Linking AM-PAC Mobility and Daily Activity to the PROMIS Physical Function Metric. Physical Therapy, 2021, 101, .	2.4	4
35	Predicting Unplanned Health Care Utilization and Cost. Medical Care, 2021, 59, 921-928.	2.4	2
36	Use of telemedicine for initial outpatient subspecialist consultative visit: A national survey of general pediatricians and pediatric subspecialists. Healthcare, 2022, 10, 100600.	1.3	2

Janel Hanmer

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Perceived Usefulness of Increased Telemedicine Use by Pediatric Subspecialists: A National Survey. Telemedicine Journal and E-Health, 2022, , .	2.8	2
38	Benchmarking health-related quality-of-life data from a clinical setting. American Journal of Managed Care, 2016, 22, 669-675.	1.1	1
39	A phase I trial of pembrolizumab plus vemurafenib and cobimetinib in patients with advanced melanoma Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2021, 39, e21506-e21506.	1.6	0
40	Common Patient-Reported Outcomes Within the Food and Drug Administration Voice of the Patient Reports. Value in Health, 2022, , .	0.3	0