Dianne Nicol

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5531738/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Cell counts and maps in the larval central nervous system of the ascidian <i>ciona intestinalis</i> (L.). Journal of Comparative Neurology, 1991, 309, 415-429.	1.6	223
2	Development of the central nervous system of the larva of the ascidian, Ciona intestinalis L. Developmental Biology, 1988, 130, 737-766.	2.0	197
3	Development of the central nervous system of the larva of the ascidian, Ciona intestinalis L. Developmental Biology, 1988, 130, 721-736.	2.0	145
4	An analysis of the number and composition of the synaptic populations formed by photoreceptors of the fly. Journal of Comparative Neurology, 1982, 207, 29-44.	1.6	109
5	A review of the key issues associated with the commercialization of biobanks. Journal of Law and the Biosciences, 2014, 1, 94-110.	1.6	87
6	Predicting intention to biobank: a national survey. European Journal of Public Health, 2012, 22, 139-144.	0.3	84
7	Has the biobank bubble burst? Withstanding the challenges for sustainable biobanking in the digital era. BMC Medical Ethics, 2016, 17, 39.	2.4	81
8	Global Public Perceptions of Genomic Data Sharing: What Shapes the Willingness to Donate DNA and Health Data?. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2020, 107, 743-752.	6.2	76
9	Trust in genomic data sharing among members of the general public in the UK, USA, Canada and Australia. Human Genetics, 2019, 138, 1237-1246.	3.8	69
10	The Impact of Commercialisation and Genetic Data Sharing Arrangements on Public Trust and the Intention to Participate in Biobank Research. Public Health Genomics, 2015, 18, 160-172.	1.0	60
11	Understanding public reactions to commercialization of biobanks and use of biobank resources. Social Science and Medicine, 2016, 162, 79-87.	3.8	53
12	Community Engagement for Big Epidemiology: Deliberative Democracy as a Tool. Journal of Personalized Medicine, 2014, 4, 459-474.	2,5	49
13	Global citizen deliberation on genome editing. Science, 2020, 369, 1435-1437.	12.6	47
14	Ethics review for international data-intensive research. Science, 2016, 351, 1399-1400.	12.6	44
15	Benefit sharing and biobanking in Australia. Public Understanding of Science, 2012, 21, 534-555.	2.8	43
16	Patent landscaping for life sciences innovation: toward consistent and transparent practices. Nature Biotechnology, 2013, 31, 202-206.	17.5	42
17	Understanding the impact of commercialization on public support for scientific research: Is it about the funding source or the organization conducting the research?. Public Understanding of Science, 2011, 20, 347-366.	2.8	40
18	Demonstrating trustworthiness when collecting and sharing genomic data: public views across 22 countries. Genome Medicine, 2021, 13, 92.	8.2	39

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Trust, patents and public perceptions: the governance of controversial biotechnology research. Nature Biotechnology, 2006, 24, 1352-1354.	17.5	38
20	Predicting Public Attitudes Toward Gene Editing of Germlines: The Impact of Moral and Hereditary Concern in Human and Animal Applications. Frontiers in Genetics, 2018, 9, 704.	2.3	38
21	Regulation in the number of fly photoreceptor synapses: The effects of alterations in the number of presynaptic cells. Journal of Comparative Neurology, 1982, 207, 45-60.	1.6	36
22	Commercialisation of biotechnology: public trust and research. International Journal of Biotechnology, 2004, 6, 116.	1.2	31
23	Toward better governance of human genomic data. Nature Genetics, 2021, 53, 2-8.	21.4	31
24	Public reaction to direct-to-consumer online genetic tests: Comparing attitudes, trust and intentions across commercial and conventional providers. Public Understanding of Science, 2015, 24, 731-750.	2.8	29
25	Members of the public in the USA, UK, Canada and Australia expressing genetic exceptionalism say they are more willing to donate genomic data. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2020, 28, 424-434.	2.8	29
26	Using TRIPS flexibilities to facilitate access to medicines. Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 2013, 91, 533-539.	3.3	26
27	Identifying public expectations of genetic biobanks. Public Understanding of Science, 2017, 26, 671-687.	2.8	25
28	Precision medicine: drowning in a regulatory soup?. Journal of Law and the Biosciences, 2016, 3, 281-303.	1.6	18
29	Key challenges in bringing CRISPR-mediated somatic cell therapy into the clinic. Genome Medicine, 2017, 9, 85.	8.2	17
30	Marking Shifts in Human Research Ethics in the Development of Biobanking. Public Health Ethics, 2015, 8, 63-71.	1.0	16
31	Capital, trust & consultation: Databanks and regulation in Australia. Critical Public Health, 2005, 15, 349-358.	2.4	15
32	Reactions to the National Academies/Royal Society Report on <i>Heritable Human Genome Editing</i> . CRISPR Journal, 2020, 3, 332-349.	2.9	15
33	Breast Cancer Gene Research and Medical Practices. , 0, , .		15
34	Australia: regulating genomic data sharing to promote public trust. Human Genetics, 2018, 137, 583-591.	3.8	14
35	Patents and Medical Biotechnology: An Empirical Analysis of Issues Facing the Australian Industry. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	13
36	A Role for Research Ethics Committees in Exchanges of Human Biospecimens Through Material Transfer Agreements. Journal of Bioethical Inquiry, 2014, 11, 301-306.	1.5	12

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	New avenues within community engagement: addressing the ingenuity gap in our approach to health research and future provision of health care. Journal of Responsible Innovation, 2014, 1, 321-328.	4.9	12
38	Are patents for methods of medical treatment contrary to the ordre public and morality or "generally inconvenient"?. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2004, 30, 470-475.	1.8	11
39	Genomics in research and health care with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. Monash Bioethics Review, 2015, 33, 203-209.	0.8	11
40	International Divergence in Gene Patenting. Annual Review of Genomics and Human Genetics, 2019, 20, 519-541.	6.2	11
41	Identifying the nature and extent of public and donor concern about the commercialisation of biobanks for genomic research. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2021, 29, 503-511.	2.8	10
42	Public Trust, Intellectual Property and Human Genetic Databanks: The Need to Take Benefit Sharing Seriously. Journal of International Biotechnology Law, 2006, 3, .	0.1	9
43	To share or not to share is the question. Applied & Translational Genomics, 2014, 3, 116-119.	2.1	9
44	Are the gene-patent storm clouds dissipating? A global snapshot. Nature Biotechnology, 2015, 33, 347-352.	17.5	9
45	Streamlining ethical review of data intensive research. BMJ, The, 2016, 354, i4181.	6.0	9
46	Consent insufficient for data release. Science, 2019, 364, 445-446.	12.6	9
47	Human genetic research databases and biobanks: towards uniform terminology and Australian best practice. Journal of Law & Medicine, 2008, 15, 538-55.	0.0	9
48	Pharmacogenetic testing: legal considerations for consent, privacy and disclosure. Personalized Medicine, 2008, 5, 155-161.	1.5	8
49	Continental drift? Do European clinical genetic testing laboratories have a patent problem?. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2019, 27, 997-1007.	2.8	8
50	Public reactions to direct-to-consumer genetic health tests: A comparison across the US, UK, Japan and Australia. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2020, 28, 339-348.	2.8	8
51	Return of genomic results does not motivate intentÂtoÂparticipate in research for all: Perspectives across 22 countries. Genetics in Medicine, 2022, 24, 1120-1129.	2.4	8
52	Patenting nature—a comparative perspective. Journal of Law and the Biosciences, 2018, 5, 550-589.	1.6	7
53	Provenance and risk in transfer of biological materials. PLoS Biology, 2018, 16, e2006031.	5.6	7
54	Balancing Innovation and Access to Healthcare through the Patent System – An Australian Perspective. Public Health Genomics, 2005, 8, 228-234.	1.0	6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Gene patents—more evidence needed, but policymakers must act. Nature Biotechnology, 2007, 25, 388-389.	17.5	6
56	Human genetic databanks in Australia: indications of inconsistency and confusion. New Genetics and Society, 2008, 27, 311-321.	1.2	6
57	Bespoke regulation for bespoke medicine? A comparative analysis of bioprinting regulation in Europe, the USA and Australia. Journal of 3D Printing in Medicine, 2021, 5, 155-167.	2.0	6
58	Do patents impede the provision of genetic tests in Australia?. Australian Health Review, 2013, 37, 281.	1.1	6
59	Strategies for dissemination of university knowledge. Health Law Journal, 2008, 16, 207-35.	0.2	6
60	Balancing access to pharmaceuticals with patent rights. Monash Bioethics Review, 2003, 22, S50-S62.	0.8	5
61	The continuing saga of patents and nonâ€invasive prenatal testing. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2019, 39, 441-447.	2.3	5
62	Beyond Open Source: Patents, Biobanks and Sharing. , 2013, , 191-208.		5
63	Personalised medicine in the genome era. Journal of Law & Medicine, 2013, 20, 577-94.	0.0	5
64	Navigating the molecular diagnostic patent landscape. Expert Opinion on Therapeutic Patents, 2008, 18, 461-472.	5.0	4
65	Ethics and Governance of Stem Cell Banks. Methods in Molecular Biology, 2017, 1590, 99-112.	0.9	4
66	A Scenario-Based Methodology for Analyzing the Ethical, Legal, and Social Issues in Genomic Data Sharing. Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics, 2020, 15, 355-364.	1.3	4
67	Integrating Public Participation, Transparency and Accountability Into Governance of Marketing Authorisation for Genome Editing Products. Frontiers in Political Science, 2021, 3, .	1.7	4
68	Directâ€ŧo onsumer genetic testing — a regulatory nightmare?. Medical Journal of Australia, 2013, 198, 501-502.	1.7	3
69	Opening the dam: patent pools, innovation and access to essential medicines. , 0, , 235-262.		3
70	Standards for Biobank Access and Intellectual Property. , 2012, , .		3
71	An ethico-legal assessment of intellectual property rights and their effect on COVID-19 vaccine distribution: an Australian case study. Journal of Law and the Biosciences, 2022, 9, .	1.6	3
72	The Innovation Pool in Biotechnology: The Role of Patents in Facilitating Innovaiton. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	The ethics of genome editing in the clinic: A dose of realism for healthcare leaders. Healthcare Management Forum, 2017, 30, 159-163.	1.4	2
74	The Regulatory Role of Patents in Innovative Health Research and Its Translation from the Laboratory to the Clinic. , 2021, , 139-147.		2
75	Whither Patent Use without Authorisation in Australia?. Federal Law Review, 2008, 36, 331-362.	0.4	2
76	Body ownership and research. Journal of Law & Medicine, 2013, 21, 323-9.	0.0	2
77	Collaborative Licensing in Biotechnology: A Survey of Knowledge, Experience, and Attitudes in Australia. Biotechnology Law Report, 2010, 29, 465-483.	0.1	1
78	Another Missed Opportunity to Reform Compulsory Licensing and Crown Use in Australia. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
79	Compulsory licensing of patents. Information and Communications Technology Law, 2016, 25, 247-271.	1.5	1
80	Mitochondrial Donation: The Australian Story. Journal of Bioethical Inquiry, 2020, 17, 161-164.	1.5	1
81	The Regulatory Framework for Protection of Genetic Privacy in Australia. , 2013, , 283-321.		1
82	Genetic Testing and Protection of Genetic Privacy. , 2011, , 235-255.		1
83	Strong Patent Rights, Weak Patent Standards and Innovation in Biomedicine. , 2009, , .		1
84	A Role for Virtual Biotechnology Companies in Drug Discovery and Development?. Journal of Commercial Biotechnology, 2013, 19, .	0.4	1
85	Unconventional Practice, "Innovative" Interventions and the National Law. Journal of Law & Medicine, 2020, 27, 574-589.	0.0	1
86	Human gene patents: under whose control?. Medical Journal of Australia, 2003, 179, 181-182.	1.7	0
87	Implementing values-based governance for a new bioresource model. Journal of Law and the Biosciences, 2017, 4, 404-411.	1.6	Ο
88	Regulating innovative health technologies: dialectics, dialogics, and the case of faecal microbiota transplants. Law, Innovation and Technology, 2020, 12, 284-296.	3.2	0
89	The Regulation of Human Germline Genome Modification in Australia. , 2020, , 543-567.		0
90	Reply to C.D. Richter. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2020, 28, 537-538.	2.8	0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Cooperative Intellectual Property in Biotechnology. Script Ed, 2007, 4, 136-151.	0.8	0
92	Whither Patent Use without Authorisation in Australia?. Federal Law Review, 2008, 36, 331-362.	0.4	0
93	Time to Get Serious about Privacy Policies: The Special Case of Genetic Privacy. Federal Law Review, 2014, 42, 1-32.	0.4	0
94	Time to Get Serious about Privacy Policies: The Special Case of Genetic Privacy. Federal Law Review, 2014, 42, 1-32.	0.4	0
95	Submission in Response to the Australian Productivity Commission's Inquiry into IP Arrangements Draft Report. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
96	Why We Should Simplify Transfers Of Research Materials. , 2018, , .		0
97	Gene Editing Clinical Trials Could Slip through Australian Regulatory Cracks. Journal of Law & Medicine, 2019, 27, 274-283.	0.0	0
98	Terms of Engagement: Transfer of Biological Materials for Research in Australia. Journal of Law & Medicine, 2019, 27, 338-354.	0.0	0
99	Australian Perspectives on the Ethical and Regulatory Considerations for Responsible Data Sharing in Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic. Journal of Law & Medicine, 2020, 27, 829-838.	0.0	0
100	Don Chalmers: His Contributions to Legal Research and Education, Health Law, and Research Ethics, Locally and Globally. Journal of Law & Medicine, 2020, 28, 289-297.	0.0	0
101	Pathways, Processes and Protections: Australia's Clinical and Direct-to-Consumer Genetic Testing Spaces. Journal of Law & Medicine, 2021, 28, 370-388.	0.0	0

Regulatory Capitalism, Business Models and the Knowledge Economy., 2008, , .

0