

Ximena E Bernal

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/553075/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

60
papers

1,632
citations

331670

21
h-index

315739

38
g-index

65
all docs

65
docs citations

65
times ranked

1733
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	In the wake of COVID-19, academia needs new solutions to ensure gender equity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2020, 117, 15378-15381.	7.1	242
2	Acoustic preferences and localization performance of blood-sucking flies (<i>Corethrella</i> Coquillett) to tÃngara frog calls. Behavioral Ecology, 2006, 17, 709-715.	2.2	148
3	Cues for Eavesdroppers: Do Frog Calls Indicate Prey Density and Quality?. American Naturalist, 2007, 169, 409-415.	2.1	85
4	Light and noise pollution interact to disrupt interspecific interactions. Ecology, 2017, 98, 1290-1299.	3.2	77
5	Adaptive changes in sexual signalling in response to urbanization. Nature Ecology and Evolution, 2019, 3, 374-380.	7.8	72
6	Visual sensitivity to a conspicuous male cue varies by reproductive state in <i>Physalaemus pustulosus</i> females. Journal of Experimental Biology, 2008, 211, 1203-1210.	1.7	69
7	Female and male behavioral response to advertisement calls of graded complexity in tÃngara frogs, <i>Physalaemus pustulosus</i> . Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, 2009, 63, 1269-1279.	1.4	58
8	Sexual Differences in the Behavioral Response of TÃngara Frogs, <i>Physalaemus pustulosus</i> , to Cues Associated with Increased Predation Risk. Ethology, 2007, 113, 755-763.	1.1	56
9	The Vocal Sac Increases Call Rate in the TÃngara Frog <i>Physalaemus pustulosus</i> . Physiological and Biochemical Zoology, 2006, 79, 708-719.	1.5	50
10	Sex differences in response to nonconspecific advertisement calls: receiver permissiveness in male and female tÃngara frogs. Animal Behaviour, 2007, 73, 955-964.	1.9	50
11	GEOGRAPHIC VARIATION IN ADVERTISEMENT CALL AND GENETIC STRUCTURE OF COLOSTETHUS PALMATUS (ANURA, DENDROBATIDAE) FROM THE COLOMBIAN ANDES. Herpetologica, 2005, 61, 395-408.	0.4	45
12	Differences in neophobia between cane toads from introduced and native populations. Behavioral Ecology, 2015, 26, 97-104.	2.2	41
13	Sexual differences in prevalence of a new species of trypanosome infecting tÃngara frogs. International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife, 2016, 5, 40-47.	1.5	39
14	Partitioning of vocal activity in a Neotropical highland-frog community. Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment, 2000, 35, 185-194.	1.0	32
15	Cues used in host-seeking behavior by frog-biting midges (<i>Corethrella</i> spp. Coquillett). Journal of Vector Ecology, 2015, 40, 122-128.	1.0	32
16	Danger Comes from All Fronts: Predator-Dependent Escape Tactics of TÃngara Frogs. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0120546.	2.5	32
17	Collateral damage or a shadow of safety? The effects of signalling heterospecific neighbours on the risks of parasitism and predation. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2016, 283, 20160343.	2.6	30
18	Seasonal variation in abundance and diversity of eavesdropping frog-biting midges (Diptera, C) Tj ETQq0 0 0 rgBT /Overlock 10 Tf 50	2.2	30

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	The Cognitive Ecology of Stimulus Ambiguity: A Predatorâ€™Prey Perspective. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 2019, 34, 1048-1060.	8.7	30
20	Nineteen Years of Consistently Positive and Strong Female Mate Preferences despite Individual Variation. American Naturalist, 2019, 194, 125-134.	2.1	29
21	Feeding Site Selection by Frog-Biting Midges (Diptera: Corethrellidae) on Anuran Hosts. Journal of Insect Behavior, 2014, 27, 302-316.	0.7	23
22	Task differences confound sex differences in receiver permissiveness in tÃƒngara frogs. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2009, 276, 1323-1329.	2.6	22
23	Sequential assessment of prey through the use of multiple sensory cues by an eavesdropping bat. Die Naturwissenschaften, 2012, 99, 505-509.	1.6	22
24	Use of acoustic signals in mating in an eavesdropping frog-biting midge. Animal Behaviour, 2015, 103, 45-51.	1.9	21
25	Synchronized mating signals in a communication network: the challenge of avoiding predators while attracting mates. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2019, 286, 20191067.	2.6	21
26	Patterns of mating call preferences in tÃƒngara frogs, <i>Physalaemus pustulosus</i> . Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 2007, 20, 2235-2247.	1.7	20
27	Acoustic Preference of Frogâ€™Biting Midges (Corethrella spp) Attacking TÃƒngara Frogs in their Natural Habitat. Ethology, 2016, 122, 105-113.	1.1	20
28	The challenge of detecting prey: Private and social information use in predatory bats. Functional Ecology, 2020, 34, 344-363.	3.6	20
29	Female mate choice and the potential for ornament evolution in tÃƒngara frogs <i>Physalaemus pustulosus</i> . Environmental Epigenetics, 2010, 56, 343-357.	1.8	19
30	No evidence for female mate choice based on genetic similarity in the tÃƒngara frog <i>Physalaemus pustulosus</i> . Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, 2006, 59, 796-804.	1.4	15
31	A Review of Undergraduate Evolution Education in U.S. Universities: Building a Unifying Framework. Evolution: Education and Outreach, 2012, 5, 453-465.	0.8	14
32	Pyrazine emission by a tropical firefly: An example of chemical aposematism?. Biotropica, 2016, 48, 645-655.	1.6	14
33	Prey Exploits the Auditory Illusions of Eavesdropping Predators. American Naturalist, 2020, 195, 927-933.	2.1	13
34	Acoustic radiation patterns of mating calls of the tÃƒngara frog (<i>Physalaemus pustuosus</i>): Implications for multiple receivers. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2009, 126, 2757-2767.	1.1	12
35	Mixed Sex Effects on the Secondâ€™toâ€™Fourth Digit Ratio of TÃƒngara Frogs (<i>Physalaemus pustulosus</i>) and Cane Toads (<i>Rhinella</i>) Tj ETQq1 110478431412gBT /Ove		
36	The dual benefits of synchronized mating signals in a Japanese treefrog: attracting mates and manipulating predators. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2021, 376, 20200340.	4.0	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	ISLAND POPULATIONS OF <i>PHYSALAEMUS PUSTULOSUS</i> : HISTORY INFLUENCES GENETIC DIVERSITY AND MORPHOLOGY. <i>Herpetologica</i> , 2007, 63, 311-319.	0.4	8
38	Harmonic calls and indifferent females: no preference for human consonance in an anuran. <i>Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences</i> , 2014, 281, 20140986.	2.6	8
39	Within host acoustic signal preference of frog-biting mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) and midges (Diptera: Corethrellidae) on Iriomote Island, Japan. <i>Entomological Science</i> , 2021, 24, 116-122.	0.6	8
40	Exploratory behavior of a native anuran species with high invasive potential. <i>Animal Cognition</i> , 2018, 21, 55-65.	1.8	7
41	Empowering Latina scientists. <i>Science</i> , 2019, 363, 825-826.	12.6	7
42	First Report of the Mating Behavior of a Species of Frog-Biting Midge (Diptera: Corethrellidae). <i>Florida Entomologist</i> , 2013, 96, 1522-1529.	0.5	6
43	Anuran predators overcome visual illusion: dazzle coloration does not protect moving prey. <i>Animal Cognition</i> , 2018, 21, 729-733.	1.8	6
44	A new approach to improve acoustic trapping effectiveness for <i>Aedes aegypti</i> (Diptera: Culicidae). <i>Journal of Vector Ecology</i> , 2019, 44, 216-222.	1.0	6
45	Traffic noise differentially impacts call types in a Japanese treefrog (<i>Buergeria japonica</i>). <i>Ethology</i> , 2020, 126, 576-583.	1.1	6
46	A new species of frog-biting midge from Papua New Guinea with a key to the described Corethrellidae of the Australopapuan region (Diptera, Corethrellidae, Corethrella). <i>ZooKeys</i> , 2018, 795, 39-48.	1.1	6
47	Eavesdropping Micropredators as Dynamic Limiters of Sexual Signal Elaboration and Intrasexual Competition. <i>American Naturalist</i> , 2022, 199, 653-665.	2.1	6
48	Feeding patterns revealed host partitioning in a community of frog-biting mosquitoes. <i>Ecological Entomology</i> , 2020, 45, 988-996.	2.2	5
49	Female t ^o ngara frogs do not experience the continuity illusion.. <i>Behavioral Neuroscience</i> , 2016, 130, 62-74.	1.2	5
50	Cues for Eavesdroppers: Do Frog Calls Indicate Prey Density and Quality?. <i>American Naturalist</i> , 2007, 169, 409.	2.1	4
51	From forest to city: urbanization modulates relative abundance of anti-predator coloration. <i>Journal of Urban Ecology</i> , 2019, 5, .	1.5	3
52	Laryngeal Demasculinization in Wild Cane Toads Varies with Land Use. <i>EcoHealth</i> , 2019, 16, 682-693.	2.0	3
53	Reply to Arora et al.: Concerns and considerations about using the CV as an equity tool. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , 2020, 117, 24033-24034.	7.1	3
54	Signal Synchrony and Alternation Among Neighbor Males in a Japanese Stream Breeding Treefrog, <i>Buergeria japonica</i> . <i>Current Herpetology</i> , 2020, 39, 80.	0.5	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	A new species of fossil <i>Corethrella</i> (Diptera, Corethrellidae) from mid-Cretaceous Burmese amber. <i>Cretaceous Research</i> , 2019, 101, 84-91.	1.4	2
56	Dominance Can Increase Genetic Variance After a Population Bottleneck: A Synthesis of the Theoretical and Empirical Evidence. <i>Journal of Heredity</i> , 2022, 113, 257-271.	2.4	2
57	Tãngara frogs. <i>Current Biology</i> , 2006, 16, R979-R980.	3.9	1
58	Local competitive environment and male condition influence within-bout calling patterns in tãngara frogs. <i>Bioacoustics</i> , 2023, 32, 121-142.	1.7	1
59	Survival of the sickest: selective predation differentially modulates ecological and evolutionary disease dynamics. <i>Oikos</i> , 0, , .	2.7	1
60	First report of mite parasitization in frog-biting midges (<i>Corethrella</i>species). <i>International Journal of Acarology</i> , 2015, 41, 389-392.	0.7	0