## Jessica Taubert

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5515748/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The role of holistic processing in face perception: Evidence from the face inversion effect. Vision Research, 2011, 51, 1273-1278.	1.4	104
2	Different coding strategies for the perception of stable and changeable facial attributes. Scientific Reports, 2016, 6, 32239.	3.3	102
3	Love at second sight: Sequential dependence of facial attractiveness in an on-line dating paradigm. Scientific Reports, 2016, 6, 22740.	3.3	81
4	Face Pareidolia in the Rhesus Monkey. Current Biology, 2017, 27, 2505-2509.e2.	3.9	72
5	Rapid and dynamic processing of face pareidolia in the human brain. Nature Communications, 2020, 11, 4518.	12.8	69
6	Amygdala lesions eliminate viewing preferences for faces in rhesus monkeys. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2018, 115, 8043-8048.	7.1	61
7	Effective Connectivity Reveals Largely Independent Parallel Networks of Face and Body Patches. Current Biology, 2016, 26, 3269-3279.	3.9	48
8	What can we learn about human individual face recognition from experimental studies in monkeys?. Vision Research, 2019, 157, 142-158.	1.4	46
9	Are face representations viewpoint dependent? A stereo advantage for generalising across different views of faces. Vision Research, 2007, 47, 2164-2169.	1.4	37
10	The effect of face inversion for neurons inside and outside fMRI-defined face-selective cortical regions. Journal of Neurophysiology, 2015, 113, 1644-1655.	1.8	34
11	Chimpanzee Faces are â€~Special' to Humans. Perception, 2009, 38, 343-356.	1.2	32
12	Neural Correlate of the Thatcher Face Illusion in a Monkey Face-Selective Patch. Journal of Neuroscience, 2015, 35, 9872-9878.	3.6	32
13	Parallel Processing of Facial Expression and Head Orientation in the Macaque Brain. Journal of Neuroscience, 2020, 40, 8119-8131.	3.6	28
14	Face familiarity promotes stable identity recognition: exploring face perception using serial dependence. Royal Society Open Science, 2017, 4, 160685.	2.4	25
15	A visual search advantage for illusory faces in objects. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2021, 83, 1942-1953.	1.3	23
16	Illusory faces are more likely to be perceived as male than female. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2022, 119, .	7.1	23
17	Serial dependence in face attractiveness judgements tolerates rotations around the yaw axis but not the roll axis. Visual Cognition, 2016, 24, 103-114.	1.6	22
18	The composite illusion requires composite face stimuli to be biologically plausible. Vision Research, 2009, 49, 1877-1885.	1.4	20

JESSICA TAUBERT

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Single-Unit Recordings Reveal the Selectivity of a Human Face Area. Journal of Neuroscience, 2021, 41, 9340-9349.	3.6	19
20	A shared mechanism for facial expression in human faces and face pareidolia. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2021, 288, 20210966.	2.6	18
21	Identity Aftereffects, but Not Composite Effects, are Contingent on Contrast Polarity. Perception, 2011, 40, 422-436.	1.2	16
22	What does a "face cell―want?'. Progress in Neurobiology, 2020, 195, 101880.	5.7	16
23	Visual expertise does not predict the composite effect across species: A comparison between spider (Ateles geoffroyi) and rhesus (Macaca mulatta) monkeys. Brain and Cognition, 2009, 71, 187-195.	1.8	14
24	Effect of Familiarity and Viewpoint on Face Recognition in Chimpanzees. Perception, 2011, 40, 863-872.	1.2	14
25	The cortical and subcortical correlates of face pareidolia in the macaque brain. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 2022, 17, 965-976.	3.0	14
26	How the Thatcher illusion reveals evolutionary differences in the face processing of primates. Animal Cognition, 2013, 16, 691-700.	1.8	13
27	One object, two networks? Assessing the relationship between the face and body-selective regions in the primate visual system. Brain Structure and Function, 2022, 227, 1423-1438.	2.3	13
28	Evidence of human-like, holistic face processing in spider monkeys (Ateles geoffroyi) Journal of Comparative Psychology (Washington, D C: 1983), 2010, 124, 57-65.	0.5	12
29	The importance of surface-based cues for face discrimination in non-human primates. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2011, 278, 1964-1972.	2.6	12
30	The impact of orientation filtering on face-selective neurons in monkey inferior temporal cortex. Scientific Reports, 2016, 6, 21189.	3.3	12
31	Geometric distortions affect face recognition in chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes) and monkeys (Macaca) Tj ETQq1	1 0,78431 1.8	l4 rgBT /Ove
32	The organization of conspecific face space in nonhuman primates. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2012, 65, 2411-2434.	1.1	11
33	Intranasal oxytocin selectively modulates the behavior of rhesus monkeys in an expression matching task. Scientific Reports, 2019, 9, 15187.	3.3	10
34	The composite face effect in chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes) and rhesus monkeys (Macaca mulatta) Journal of Comparative Psychology (Washington, D C: 1983), 2012, 126, 339-346.	0.5	9
35	The impact of stimulus size and orientation on individual face coding in monkey face-selective cortex. Scientific Reports, 2018, 8, 10339.	3.3	8
36	Assessing the perception of face pareidolia in children (Homo sapiens), rhesus monkeys (Macaca) Tj ETQq0 0 0 rg	BT /Overlo	ock 10 Tf 50 8

3

JESSICA TAUBERT

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	The perception of two-tone Mooney faces in chimpanzees ( <i>Pan troglodytes</i> ). Cognitive Neuroscience, 2012, 3, 21-28.	1.4	7
38	A Comparative Study of Face Processing Using Scrambled Faces. Perception, 2012, 41, 460-473.	1.2	7
39	Can training improve eyewitness identification? The effect of internal feature focus on memory for faces. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2017, 23, 927-945.	1.0	5
40	Robust representations of individual faces in chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes) but not monkeys (Macaca) Tj ETQq	0 0 0 rgBT 1.8	/Overlock 10

41	Using FACS to trace the neural specializations underlying the recognition of facial expressions: A commentary on Waller et al. (2020). Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews, 2021, 120, 75-77.	6.1	5
42	Faces in Context: Does Face Perception Depend on the Orientation of the Visual Scene?. Perception, 2016, 45, 1184-1192.	1.2	4
43	Individual recognition based on communication behaviour of male fowl. Behavioural Processes, 2016, 125, 101-105.	1.1	3
44	Commentary: The Code for Facial Identity in the Primate Brain. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 2017, 11, 550.	2.0	3
45	Decoding face pareidolia in the human brain with fMRI. Journal of Vision, 2017, 17, 294.	0.3	2
46	Evidence for face pareidolia in rhesus monkeys Journal of Vision, 2017, 17, 845.	0.3	2
47	When You Turn the other Cheek: A Preference for Novel Viewpoints of Familiar Faces. Perception, 2010, 39, 429-432.	1.2	1
48	Who is the Usual Suspect? Evidence of a Selection Bias Toward Faces That Make Direct Eye Contact in a Lineup Task. I-Perception, 2017, 8, 204166951769041.	1.4	1
49	The Effect of Temporal and Spatial Frequency on Phantom-Contour Detection. Perception, 2008, 37, 50-56.	1.2	0
50	Are we looking for love in all the wrong faces?. Journal of Vision, 2016, 16, 494.	0.3	0
51	Rhesus monkeys are able to discriminate facial identity and expression. Journal of Vision, 2017, 17, 1006.	0.3	0