## Annika Scholl

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/551026/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

759233 552781 34 762 12 26 citations h-index g-index papers 35 35 35 610 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Being engaged at work and detached at home: A week-level study on work engagement, psychological detachment, and affect. Work and Stress, 2008, 22, 257-276.	4.5	264
2	Whether power holders construe their power as responsibility or opportunity influences their tendency to take advice from others. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 2017, 38, 923-949.	4.7	46
3	Where could we stand if I had…? How social power impacts counterfactual thinking after failure. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 2014, 53, 51-61.	2.2	44
4	Highly identified powerâ€holders feel responsible: The interplay between social identification and social power within groups. British Journal of Social Psychology, 2018, 57, 112-129.	2.8	39
5	Prefactual Thoughts: Mental Simulations about What Might Happen. Review of General Psychology, 2016, 20, 48-56.	3.2	38
6	Better Know When (Not) to Think Twice. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 2015, 41, 159-170.	3.0	34
7	A matter of focus: Powerâ€holders feel more responsible after adopting a cognitive otherâ€focus, rather than a selfâ€focus. British Journal of Social Psychology, 2017, 56, 89-102.	2.8	33
8	The impact of discrepancies from ingroup norms on group members' well-being and motivation. European Journal of Social Psychology, 2011, 41, 886-897.	2.4	32
9	The Burden of Power: Construing Power as Responsibility (Rather Than as Opportunity) Alters Threat-Challenge Responses. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 2018, 44, 1024-1038.	3.0	29
10	If I can do it my way…The influence of regulatory focus on job-related values and job attraction. Journal of Economic Psychology, 2013, 38, 58-70.	2.2	27
11	"Power corrupts―revisited: the role of construal of power as opportunity or responsibility. , 0, , 73-88.		25
12	From a Distance …. Social Psychological and Personality Science, 2014, 5, 18-26.	3.9	21
13	Time to go! Leaving the group in response to norm-deviations. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 2017, 73, 259-267.	2.2	17
14	Physiological threat responses predict number processing. Psychological Research, 2017, 81, 278-288.	1.7	11
15	A matter of flexibility: Changing outgroup attitudes through messages with negations Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 2021, 120, 956-976.	2.8	11
16	Out of sight, out of mind: Power-holders feel responsible when anticipating face-to-face, but not digital contact with others. Computers in Human Behavior, 2020, 112, 106472.	8.5	10
17	Attracted to power: challenge/threat and promotion/prevention focus differentially predict the attractiveness of group power. Frontiers in Psychology, 2015, 6, 397.	2.1	8
18	Pressured to be excellent? Social identification prevents negative affect from high university excellence norms. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 2019, 84, 103796.	2.2	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Knowledge Contribution in Organizations via Social Media. Journal of Personnel Psychology, 2017, 16, 12-24.	1.4	8
20	Responsible power-holders: when and for what the powerful may assume responsibility. Current Opinion in Psychology, 2020, 33, 28-32.	4.9	7
21	Flexibility mindsets: Reducing biases that result from spontaneous processing. European Review of Social Psychology, 2022, 33, 171-213.	9.4	7
22	"While You Still Think, I Already Type― Experienced Social Power Reduces Deliberation During E-Mail Communication. Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 2014, 17, 692-696.	3.9	6
23	Linking regulatory focus and threat–challenge: transitions between and outcomes of four motivational states. European Review of Social Psychology, 2019, 30, 174-215.	9.4	6
24	Introducing digital technologies in the factory: determinants of blue-collar workers' attitudes towards new robotic tools. Behaviour and Information Technology, 2022, 41, 2973-2987.	4.0	6
25	How Identification With the Social Environment and With the Government Guide the Use of the Official COVID-19 Contact Tracing App: Three Quantitative Survey Studies. JMIR MHealth and UHealth, 2021, 9, e28146.	3.7	5
26	Just do it or do it right? How regulatory mode relates to perceived responsibility and opportunity in collaborations. Personality and Individual Differences, 2021, 176, 110776.	2.9	4
27	Construal of power as opportunity or responsibility. Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 2022, , 57-107.	3.3	4
28	When those who know do share: Group goals facilitate information sharing, but social power does not undermine it. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0213795.	2.5	3
29	Magnitude estimation is influenced by social power. Journal of Numerical Cognition, 2017, 3, 147-163.	1.2	3
30	Flexible minds make more moderate views: Subtractive counterfactuals mitigate strong views about immigrants' trustworthiness. Group Processes and Intergroup Relations, 2023, 26, 1310-1328.	3.9	3
31	Knowledge Exchange as a Motivated Social Process. , 2017, , 89-104.		1
32	The power to adapt: How sense of power predicts number processing. Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2019, 73, 157-166.	0.8	1
33	Opening up new avenues for research on social power. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 2022, 52, 133-136.	2.0	1
34	When linguistic uncertainty spreads across pieces of information: Remembering facts on the news as speculation Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 2023, 29, 18-31.	1.2	0