

Lynn J Frewer

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5503660/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

114
papers

8,593
citations

44066

48
h-index

45310

90
g-index

137
all docs

137
docs citations

137
times ranked

6558
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	A Typology of Public Engagement Mechanisms. <i>Science Technology and Human Values</i> , 2005, 30, 251-290.	3.1	1,185
2	Beyond the knowledge deficit: recent research into lay and expert attitudes to food risks. <i>Appetite</i> , 2003, 41, 111-121.	3.7	415
3	Public perceptions of agri-food applications of genetic modification – A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Trends in Food Science and Technology</i> , 2013, 30, 142-152.	15.1	278
4	Trust, Perceived Risk, and Attitudes Toward Food Technologies ¹ . <i>Journal of Applied Social Psychology</i> , 2002, 32, 2423-2433.	2.0	276
5	Investigating specific concerns about different food hazards. <i>Food Quality and Preference</i> , 2001, 12, 47-61.	4.6	267
6	The public and effective risk communication. <i>Toxicology Letters</i> , 2004, 149, 391-397.	0.8	264
7	Why consumers behave as they do with respect to food safety and risk information. <i>Analytica Chimica Acta</i> , 2007, 586, 2-7.	5.4	255
8	Assessing and Structuring Attitudes Toward the Use of Gene Technology in Food Production: The Role of Perceived Ethical Obligation. <i>Basic and Applied Social Psychology</i> , 1995, 16, 267-285.	2.1	239
9	A Systematic Review of Public Attitudes, Perceptions and Behaviours Towards Production Diseases Associated with Farm Animal Welfare. <i>Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics</i> , 2016, 29, 455-478.	1.7	228
10	THE INTERRELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PERCEIVED KNOWLEDGE, CONTROL AND RISK ASSOCIATED WITH A RANGE OF FOOD-RELATED HAZARDS TARGETED AT THE INDIVIDUAL, OTHER PEOPLE AND SOCIETY. <i>Journal of Food Safety</i> , 1994, 14, 19-40.	2.3	216
11	Citizens, consumers and farm animal welfare: A meta-analysis of willingness-to-pay studies. <i>Food Policy</i> , 2017, 68, 112-127.	6.0	211
12	Socio-psychological determinants of public acceptance of technologies: A review. <i>Public Understanding of Science</i> , 2012, 21, 782-795.	2.8	181
13	Consumer perceptions of traceability: A cross-national comparison of the associated benefits. <i>Food Quality and Preference</i> , 2008, 19, 452-464.	4.6	175
14	The views of scientific experts on how the public conceptualize uncertainty. <i>Journal of Risk Research</i> , 2003, 6, 75-85.	2.6	158
15	Russian consumers's motives for food choice. <i>Appetite</i> , 2009, 52, 363-371.	3.7	154
16	Understanding public attitudes to technology. <i>Journal of Risk Research</i> , 1998, 1, 221-235.	2.6	153
17	Perceptions of food risk management among key stakeholders: Results from a cross-European study. <i>Appetite</i> , 2006, 47, 46-63.	3.7	121
18	Public preferences for informed choice under conditions of risk uncertainty. <i>Public Understanding of Science</i> , 2002, 11, 363-372.	2.8	117

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Gene technology, food production, and public opinion: A UK study. <i>Agriculture and Human Values</i> , 1994, 11, 19-28.	3.0	116
20	Consumer familiarity with foods and the perception of risks and benefits. <i>Food Quality and Preference</i> , 2009, 20, 576-585.	4.6	112
21	Food4Me study: Validity and reliability of Food Choice Questionnaire in 9 European countries. <i>Food Quality and Preference</i> , 2015, 45, 26-32.	4.6	111
22	Public perception of scientific uncertainty in relation to food hazards. <i>Journal of Risk Research</i> , 2003, 6, 267-283.	2.6	108
23	Public attitudes, scientific advice and the politics of regulatory policy: the case of BSE. <i>Science and Public Policy</i> , 2002, 29, 137-145.	2.4	99
24	Reactions to information about genetic engineering: impact of source characteristics, perceived personal relevance, and persuasiveness. <i>Public Understanding of Science</i> , 1999, 8, 35-50.	2.8	97
25	The Elaboration Likelihood Model and Communication About Food Risks. <i>Risk Analysis</i> , 1997, 17, 759-770.	2.7	95
26	Consumer purchase habits and views on food safety: A Brazilian study. <i>Food Control</i> , 2010, 21, 963-969.	5.5	94
27	Title is missing!. <i>Agriculture and Human Values</i> , 1998, 15, 15-30.	3.0	93
28	Toward Improving Food Safety in the Domestic Environment: A Multi-Item Rasch Scale for the Measurement of the Safety Efficacy of Domestic Food-Handling Practices. <i>Risk Analysis</i> , 2006, 26, 1323-1338.	2.7	88
29	Newspaper reporting of hazards in the UK and Sweden. <i>Public Understanding of Science</i> , 2000, 9, 59-78.	2.8	87
30	The agri-food chain and antimicrobial resistance: A review. <i>Trends in Food Science and Technology</i> , 2017, 69, 131-147.	15.1	87
31	Ethical concerns and risk perceptions associated with different applications of genetic engineering: Interrelationships with the perceived need for regulation of the technology. <i>Agriculture and Human Values</i> , 1995, 12, 48-57.	3.0	86
32	Methodological Approaches to Assessing Risk Perceptions Associated with Food-Related Hazards. <i>Risk Analysis</i> , 1998, 18, 95-102.	2.7	84
33	10. Societal issues and public attitudes towards genetically modified foods. <i>Trends in Food Science and Technology</i> , 2003, 14, 319-332.	15.1	84
34	Chinese consumer's attitudes, perceptions and behavioural responses towards food fraud. <i>Food Control</i> , 2019, 95, 339-351.	5.5	82
35	A systematic review of consumer perceptions of food fraud and authenticity: A European perspective. <i>Trends in Food Science and Technology</i> , 2019, 94, 79-90.	15.1	82
36	Consumer confidence in the safety of food in Canada and the Netherlands: The validation of a generic framework. <i>Food Quality and Preference</i> , 2008, 19, 439-451.	4.6	80

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Consumer acceptance of and willingness to pay for food nanotechnology: a systematic review. <i>Journal of Nanoparticle Research</i> , 2015, 17, 467.	1.9	67
38	Non conventional technologies and impact on consumer behavior. <i>Trends in Food Science and Technology</i> , 2000, 11, 188-193.	15.1	66
39	Attributing information to different sources: effects on the perceived qualities of information, on the perceived relevance of information, and on attitude formation. <i>Public Understanding of Science</i> , 1994, 3, 385-401.	2.8	63
40	Consumer responses to communication about food risk management. <i>Appetite</i> , 2008, 50, 340-352.	3.7	61
41	Temporal stability of the psychological determinants of trust: Implications for communication about food risks. <i>Health, Risk and Society</i> , 2003, 5, 259-271.	1.7	60
42	Food Safety Practices in the Domestic Kitchen: Demographic, Personality, and Experiential Determinants. <i>Journal of Applied Social Psychology</i> , 2008, 38, 2859-2884.	2.0	56
43	Consumer acceptance of transgenic crops. <i>Pest Management Science</i> , 1998, 52, 388-393.	0.4	55
44	Factors influencing European consumer uptake of personalised nutrition. Results of a qualitative analysis. <i>Appetite</i> , 2013, 66, 67-74.	3.7	55
45	Brazilian consumer views on food irradiation. <i>Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies</i> , 2009, 10, 383-389.	5.6	54
46	Risk perception and risk communication about food safety issues. <i>Nutrition Bulletin</i> , 2000, 25, 31-33.	1.8	53
47	Consumer acceptance and rejection of emerging agrifood technologies and their applications. <i>European Review of Agricultural Economics</i> , 2017, 44, 683-704.	3.1	53
48	A hybrid modelling approach to understanding adoption of precision agriculture technologies in Chinese cropping systems. <i>Computers and Electronics in Agriculture</i> , 2020, 172, 105305.	7.7	52
49	Novel foods and food allergies: A review of the issues. <i>Trends in Food Science and Technology</i> , 2006, 17, 289-299.	15.1	51
50	Consumer perceptions of the effectiveness of food risk management practices: A cross-cultural study. <i>Health, Risk and Society</i> , 2006, 8, 165-183.	1.7	51
51	A perceptual divide? Consumer and expert attitudes to food risk management in Europe. <i>Health, Risk and Society</i> , 2007, 9, 407-424.	1.7	51
52	Consumer attitudes towards production diseases in intensive production systems. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2019, 14, e0210432.	2.5	49
53	Psychological Determinants of Consumer Acceptance of Personalised Nutrition in 9 European Countries. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2014, 9, e110614.	2.5	47
54	Pesticide Risk Perceptions, Knowledge, and Attitudes of Operators, Workers, and Residents: A Review of the Literature. <i>Human and Ecological Risk Assessment (HERA)</i> , 2014, 20, 1113-1138.	3.4	46

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Food choice motives, attitude towards and intention to adopt personalised nutrition. <i>Public Health Nutrition</i> , 2018, 21, 2606-2616.	2.2	46
56	Including social impact assessment in food safety governance. <i>Food Control</i> , 2010, 21, 1620-1628.	5.5	45
57	The SAFE FOODS framework for improved risk analysis of foods. <i>Food Control</i> , 2010, 21, 1566-1587.	5.5	45
58	Social and Economic Costs of Food Allergies in Europe: Development of a Questionnaire to Measure Costs and Health Utility. <i>Health Services Research</i> , 2009, 44, 1662-1678.	2.0	39
59	Effective identification and management of emerging food risks: Results of an international Delphi survey. <i>Food Control</i> , 2010, 21, 1731-1738.	5.5	37
60	Attitudes and attitudinal ambivalence change towards nanotechnology applied to food production. <i>Public Understanding of Science</i> , 2013, 22, 817-831.	2.8	36
61	Maximizing the Policy Impacts of Public Engagement. <i>Science Technology and Human Values</i> , 2015, 40, 421-444.	3.1	36
62	Awareness on adverse effects of nanotechnology increases negative perception among public: survey study from Singapore. <i>Journal of Nanoparticle Research</i> , 2014, 16, 1.	1.9	35
63	Consumer attitudes towards hypoallergenic apples that alleviate mild apple allergy. <i>Food Quality and Preference</i> , 2011, 22, 83-91.	4.6	34
64	Public trust in sources of information about radiation risks in the UK. <i>Journal of Risk Research</i> , 1999, 2, 167-180.	2.6	32
65	Food risk management quality: Consumer evaluations of past and emerging food safety incidents. <i>Health, Risk and Society</i> , 2009, 11, 137-163.	1.7	29
66	Potential methods and approaches to assess social impacts associated with food safety issues. <i>Food Control</i> , 2010, 21, 1629-1637.	5.5	28
67	Stakeholder engagement in food risk management. <i>Public Understanding of Science</i> , 2011, 20, 241-260.	2.8	28
68	Willingness to pay for personalised nutrition across Europe. <i>European Journal of Public Health</i> , 2016, 26, 640-644.	0.3	28
69	Cognitive dissonance in food and nutrition—A review. <i>Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition</i> , 2017, 57, 2330-2342.	10.3	28
70	Extrapolating understanding of food risk perceptions to emerging food safety cases. <i>Journal of Risk Research</i> , 2018, 21, 996-1018.	2.6	28
71	Perceptions of health risks and benefits associated with fish consumption among Russian consumers. <i>Appetite</i> , 2011, 56, 227-234.	3.7	26
72	Expert views on societal responses to different applications of nanotechnology: a comparative analysis of experts in countries with different economic and regulatory environments. <i>Journal of Nanoparticle Research</i> , 2013, 15, 1.	1.9	25

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Drivers of existing and emerging food safety risks: Expert opinion regarding multiple impacts. <i>Food Control</i> , 2018, 90, 440-458.	5.5	25
74	Self-reported attitude scales: current practice in adequate assessment of reliability, validity, and dimensionality. <i>Journal of Applied Social Psychology</i> , 2013, 43, 1538-1552.	2.0	22
75	A value chain analysis of interventions to control production diseases in the intensive pig production sector. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2020, 15, e0231338.	2.5	20
76	The Impact of Balanced Risk-Benefit Information and Initial Attitudes on Post-Information Attitudes. <i>Journal of Applied Social Psychology</i> , 2012, 42, 1958-1983.	2.0	18
77	Synthetic biology applied in the agrifood sector: Public perceptions, attitudes and implications for future studies. <i>Trends in Food Science and Technology</i> , 2019, 91, 454-466.	15.1	18
78	Impact of BSE on attitudes to GM food. <i>Risk, Decision and Policy</i> , 2001, 6, 91-103.	0.1	17
79	Promoting healthy dietary behaviour through personalised nutrition: technology push or technology pull?. <i>Proceedings of the Nutrition Society</i> , 2015, 74, 171-176.	1.0	17
80	Public perceptions of personalised nutrition through the lens of Social Cognitive Theory. <i>Journal of Health Psychology</i> , 2017, 22, 1233-1242.	2.3	17
81	Consumer Perceptions and Novel Food Acceptance. <i>Outlook on Agriculture</i> , 1998, 27, 153-156.	3.4	16
82	Ethical Issues and Potential Stakeholder Priorities Associated with the Application of Genomic Technologies Applied to Animal Production Systems. <i>Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics</i> , 2015, 28, 231-253.	1.7	16
83	Factors determining the integration of nutritional genomics into clinical practice by registered dietitians. <i>Trends in Food Science and Technology</i> , 2017, 59, 139-147.	15.1	15
84	The information needs and labelling preferences of food allergic consumers: the views of stakeholders regarding information scenarios. <i>Trends in Food Science and Technology</i> , 2008, 19, 669-676.	15.1	14
85	Preferred information strategies for food allergic consumers. A study in Germany, Greece, and The Netherlands. <i>Food Quality and Preference</i> , 2011, 22, 384-390.	4.6	14
86	Adoption of combinations of adaptive and mitigatory climate-smart agricultural practices and its impacts on rice yield and income: Empirical evidence from Hubei, China. <i>Climate Risk Management</i> , 2021, 32, 100314.	3.2	14
87	Cognitive dissonance in food and nutrition - A conceptual framework. <i>Trends in Food Science and Technology</i> , 2017, 59, 60-69.	15.1	13
88	Percepções do consumidor frente aos riscos associados aos alimentos, sua segurança e rastreabilidade. <i>Brazilian Journal of Food Technology</i> , 2013, 16, 184-191.	0.8	13
89	Application of Behavior Change Techniques in a Personalized Nutrition Electronic Health Intervention Study: Protocol for the Web-Based Food4Me Randomized Controlled Trial. <i>JMIR Research Protocols</i> , 2018, 7, e87.	1.0	13
90	Poor diet and smoking: the big killers. <i>British Food Journal</i> , 2002, 104, 63-75.	2.9	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Consumer responses to genetically modified food in China: The influence of existing general attitudes, affect and perceptions of risks and benefits. <i>Food Quality and Preference</i> , 2022, 99, 104543.	4.6	12
92	Potential for the Adoption of Probabilistic Risk Assessments by End-Users and Decision-Makers. <i>Human and Ecological Risk Assessment (HERA)</i> , 2008, 14, 166-178.	3.4	11
93	The perceived impact of the National Health Service on personalised nutrition service delivery among the UK public. <i>British Journal of Nutrition</i> , 2015, 113, 1271-1279.	2.3	10
94	Perceptions and experiences of early-adopting registered dietitians in integrating nutrigenomics into practice. <i>British Food Journal</i> , 2018, 120, 763-776.	2.9	10
95	Stakeholder and consumer views regarding novel hypoallergenic foods. <i>British Food Journal</i> , 2010, 112, 949-961.	2.9	8
96	Reliability of the Rasch Food Safety Practices scale. <i>Appetite</i> , 2009, 53, 241-244.	3.7	7
97	Public Perceptions Regarding Genomic Technologies Applied to Breeding Farm Animals: A Qualitative Study. <i>BioTech</i> , 2021, 10, 28.	2.6	7
98	Assessing consumer attitudes to biotechnology in food production. <i>Food Control</i> , 1992, 3, 169-170.	5.5	6
99	Subjective Welfare, Well-Being, and Self-Reported Food Hypersensitivity in Four European Countries: Implications for European Policy. <i>Social Indicators Research</i> , 2012, 107, 465-482.	2.7	6
100	Nanotechnology in Food Production. , 2011, , 37-57.		5
101	Personalised Nutrition Technologies and Innovations: A Cross-National Survey of Registered Dietitians. <i>Public Health Genomics</i> , 2019, 22, 119-131.	1.0	4
102	Social dimensions of synthetic biology in the agrifood sector: the perspective of Chinese and EU scientists. <i>British Food Journal</i> , 2021, ahead-of-print, .	2.9	4
103	Self-efficacy, habit strength, health locus of control and response to the personalised nutrition Food4Me intervention study. <i>British Food Journal</i> , 2021, ahead-of-print, .	2.9	4
104	Project DEMETER: Concept Note for an Emerging Risks Knowledge Exchange Platform (ERKEP) Framework. <i>EFSA Supporting Publications</i> , 2018, 15, 1524E.	0.7	3
105	Determination and Metrics for Emerging Risks Identification DEMETER: Final Report. <i>EFSA Supporting Publications</i> , 2020, 17, 1889E.	0.7	3
106	Bioenhancement or playing God? Biotechnology and the future of food. <i>Trends in Biotechnology</i> , 1999, 17, 182-183.	9.3	2
107	The Need for Formal Evidence Synthesis in Food Policy: A Case Study of Willingness-to-Pay. <i>Animals</i> , 2017, 7, 23.	2.3	2
108	Sex and age differences in attitudes and intention to adopt personalised nutrition in a UK sample. <i>Zeitschrift Fur Gesundheitswissenschaften</i> , 2021, , 1-7.	1.6	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
109	Training courses on Expert Knowledge Elicitation. EFSA Supporting Publications, 2020, 17, 1710E.	0.7	0
110	The direct and indirect costs associated with food hypersensitivity in households: A study in the Netherlands, Poland, and Spain. Applied Studies in Agribusiness and Commerce, 2016, 10, 107-117.	0.0	0
111	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0231338.		0
112	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0231338.		0
113	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0231338.		0
114	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0231338.		0