

Annika Maria Svedholm-HÃkkinen

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5488564/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

20
papers

527
citations

933447

10
h-index

794594

19
g-index

20
all docs

20
docs citations

20
times ranked

455
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Ontological confusions but not mentalizing abilities predict religious belief, paranormal belief, and belief in supernatural purpose. <i>Cognition</i> , 2015, 134, 63-76.	2.2	120
2	What's in a Term? Paranormal, Superstitious, Magical and Supernatural Beliefs by Any Other Name Would Mean the Same. <i>Review of General Psychology</i> , 2012, 16, 241-255.	3.2	87
3	The separate roles of the reflective mind and involuntary inhibitory control in gatekeeping paranormal beliefs and the underlying intuitive confusions. <i>British Journal of Psychology</i> , 2013, 104, 303-319.	2.3	77
4	Actively open-minded thinking: development of a shortened scale and disentangling attitudes towards knowledge and people. <i>Thinking and Reasoning</i> , 2018, 24, 21-40.	3.2	48
5	Healing, Mental Energy in the Physics Classroom: Energy Conceptions and Trust in Complementary and Alternative Medicine in Grade 10-12 Students. <i>Science and Education</i> , 2013, 22, 677-694.	2.7	37
6	Does Poor Understanding of Physical World Predict Religious and Paranormal Beliefs?. <i>Applied Cognitive Psychology</i> , 2016, 30, 736-742.	1.6	27
7	Believing in the purpose of events—why does it occur, and is it supernatural?. <i>Applied Cognitive Psychology</i> , 2010, 24, 252-265.	1.6	19
8	Testing the Empathizing-Systemizing theory in the general population: Occupations, vocational interests, grades, hobbies, friendship quality, social intelligence, and sex role identity. <i>Personality and Individual Differences</i> , 2016, 90, 365-370.	2.9	15
9	Highly reflective reasoners show no signs of belief inhibition. <i>Acta Psychologica</i> , 2015, 154, 69-76.	1.5	14
10	Core Knowledge Confusions Among University Students. <i>Science and Education</i> , 2011, 20, 439-451.	2.7	11
11	Skepticism: Genuine unbelief or implicit beliefs in the supernatural?. <i>Consciousness and Cognition</i> , 2016, 42, 216-228.	1.5	11
12	Transition to Distance Education in 2020 – Challenges among University Faculty in Sweden. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research</i> , 0, , 1-14.	1.7	11
13	Individual Differences in Conceptions of Soul, Mind, and Brain. <i>Journal of Individual Differences</i> , 2015, 36, 157-162.	1.0	10
14	Searching for the cognitive basis of anti-vaccination attitudes. <i>Thinking and Reasoning</i> , 2023, 29, 111-136.	3.2	9
15	Intuitive and Deliberative Empathizers and Systemizers. <i>Journal of Personality</i> , 2017, 85, 593-602.	3.2	7
16	Empathizing and systemizing are differentially related to dimensions of autistic traits in the general population. <i>International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology</i> , 2018, 18, 35-42.	5.1	7
17	Empathizers and systemizers process social information differently. <i>Social Neuroscience</i> , 2018, 13, 616-627.	1.3	7
18	Male brain type women and female brain type men: Gender atypical cognitive profiles and their correlates. <i>Personality and Individual Differences</i> , 2018, 122, 7-12.	2.9	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Intuitive physics ability in systemizers relies on differential use of the internalizing system and long-term spatial representations. <i>Neuropsychologia</i> , 2018, 109, 10-18.	1.6	3
20	Let us be careful with the evidence on mentalizing, cognitive biases, and religious beliefs. <i>Behavioral and Brain Sciences</i> , 2016, 39, e18.	0.7	1