## **David White**

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5442394/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

206112 257450 2,740 55 24 48 h-index citations g-index papers 66 66 66 1251 citing authors docs citations times ranked all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	GFMT2: A psychometric measure of face matching ability. Behavior Research Methods, 2022, 54, 252-260.	4.0	18
2	Partitioning natural face image variability emphasises within-identity over between-identity representation for understanding accurate recognition. Cognition, 2022, 219, 104966.	2.2	5
3	Individual differences and the multidimensional nature of face perception. , 2022, 1, 287-300.		20
4	Masked face identification is improved by diagnostic feature training. Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications, 2022, 7, 30.	2.0	4
5	Tracking sexual dimorphism of facial width-to-height ratio across the lifespan: implications for perceived aggressiveness. Royal Society Open Science, 2022, 9, 211500.	2.4	8
6	Understanding Professional Expertise in Unfamiliar Face Matching. , 2021, , 62-88.		13
7	Performance of typical and superior face recognizers on a novel interactive face matching procedure. British Journal of Psychology, 2021, 112, 964-991.	2.3	3
8	Diagnostic feature training improves face matching accuracy Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2021, 47, 1288-1298.	0.9	21
9	Top-down influences on working memory representations of faces: Evidence from dual-target visual search. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2021, 74, 174702182110143.	1.1	3
10	Public attitudes towards the use of automatic facial recognition technology in criminal justice systems around the world. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0258241.	2.5	11
11	UNSW Face Test: A screening tool for super-recognizers. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0241747.	2.5	28
12	Asymmetric contextual effects in age perception. Royal Society Open Science, 2020, 7, 200936.	2.4	3
13	UNSW Face Test: A screening tool for super-recognizers. , 2020, 15, e0241747.		0
14	UNSW Face Test: A screening tool for super-recognizers. , 2020, 15, e0241747.		0
15	UNSW Face Test: A screening tool for super-recognizers. , 2020, 15, e0241747.		0
16	UNSW Face Test: A screening tool for super-recognizers. , 2020, 15, e0241747.		0
17	Towards a â€~manifesto' for superâ€recognizer research. British Journal of Psychology, 2019, 110, 495-498.	2.3	5
18	Superâ€recognizers: From the lab to the world and back again. British Journal of Psychology, 2019, 110, 461-479.	2.3	62

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Are face recognition abilities in humans and sheep really â€~comparable'?. Royal Society Open Science, 2019, 6, 180772.	2.4	6
20	Do professional facial image comparison training courses work? PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0211037.	2.5	51
21	Familiarity does not inhibit image-specific encoding of faces Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2019, 45, 841-854.	0.9	8
22	Two sources of bias explain errors in facial age estimation. Royal Society Open Science, 2018, 5, 180841.	2.4	27
23	Improving face identification with specialist teams. Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications, 2018, 3, 25.	2.0	26
24	Search templates that incorporate within-face variation improve visual search for faces. Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications, 2018, 3, .	2.0	5
25	Effects of active and passive exploration of the built environment on memory during wayfinding. Applied Geography, 2018, 101, 68-74.	3.7	25
26	Face recognition accuracy of forensic examiners, superrecognizers, and face recognition algorithms. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2018, 115, 6171-6176.	7.1	212
27	Enhancing CCTV: Averages improve face identification from poorâ€quality images. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2018, 32, 671-680.	1.6	13
28	Are forensic scientists experts?. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2018, 7, 199-208.	1.1	25
29	More than a sum of parts: robust face recognition by integrating variation. Royal Society Open Science, 2018, 5, 172381.	2.4	9
30	Face Matching Impairment in Developmental Prosopagnosia. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2017, 70, 287-297.	1.1	43
31	Choosing face: The curse of self in profile image selection. Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications, 2017, 2, 23.	2.0	19
32	Person recognition: Qualitative differences in how forensic face examiners and untrained people rely on the face versus the body for identification. Visual Cognition, 2017, 25, 492-506.	1.6	10
33	Thinking forensics: Cognitive science for forensic practitioners. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2017, 57, 144-154.	2.1	51
34	Human Factors in Forensic Face Identification. Advances in Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2017, , 195-218.	1.3	6
35	Evaluating the feature comparison strategy for forensic face identification Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 2017, 23, 47-58.	1.2	55
36	Not looking yourself: The cost of selfâ€selecting photographs for identity verification. British Journal of Psychology, 2016, 107, 359-373.	2.3	19

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Model forensic science. Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2016, 48, 496-537.	1.2	32
38	Perceptual impairment in face identification with poor sleep. Royal Society Open Science, 2016, 3, 160321.	2.4	12
39	Variation in Photos of the Same Face Drives Improvements in Identity Verification. Perception, 2015, 44, 1332-1341.	1.2	43
40	Error Rates in Users of Automatic Face Recognition Software. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0139827.	2.5	72
41	Identity-level representations affect unfamiliar face matching performance in sequential but not simultaneous tasks. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2015, 68, 1777-1793.	1.1	37
42	Perceptual expertise in forensic facial image comparison. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2015, 282, 20151292.	2.6	99
43	Viewers base estimates of face matching accuracy on their own familiarity: Explaining the photo-ID paradox. Cognition, 2015, 141, 161-169.	2.2	53
44	Feedback training for facial image comparison. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2014, 21, 100-106.	2.8	57
45	Redesigning photo-ID to improve unfamiliar face matching performance Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 2014, 20, 166-173.	1.2	56
46	Evaluating Training Methods for Facial Image Comparison: The Face Shape Strategy Does Not Work. Perception, 2014, 43, 214-218.	1.2	33
47	Constructing faces from memory: the impact of image likeness and prototypical representations. Journal of Forensic Practice, 2014, 16, 243-256.	0.5	10
48	Passport Officers' Errors in Face Matching. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e103510.	2.5	208
49	Crowd Effects in Unfamiliar Face Matching. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2013, 27, 769-777.	1.6	36
50	In the Dock: Chimeric Image Composites Reduce Identification Accuracy. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2012, 26, 140-148.	1.6	7
51	Variability in photos of the same face. Cognition, 2011, 121, 313-323.	2.2	453
52	The Other-Race Effect does not Rely on Memory: Evidence from a Matching Task. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2011, 64, 1473-1483.	1.1	70
53	The Glasgow Face Matching Test. Behavior Research Methods, 2010, 42, 286-291.	4.0	396
54	Commercial Face Recognition Doesn't Work. , 2009, , .		1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Robust representations for face recognition: The power of averages. Cognitive Psychology, 2005, 51, 256-284.	2.2	241