

Hanna Fröhlich

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5437278/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

19
papers

295
citations

840776

11
h-index

888059

17
g-index

19
all docs

19
docs citations

19
times ranked

592
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Influence of receptor selectivity on benefits from SGLT2 inhibitors in patients with heart failure: a systematic review and head-to-head comparative efficacy network meta-analysis. <i>Clinical Research in Cardiology</i> , 2022, 111, 428-439.	3.3	22
2	Comparative efficacy of sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) for cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. <i>Heart Failure Reviews</i> , 2021, 26, 1421-1435.	3.9	26
3	Impact of the introduction of percutaneous edge-to-edge mitral valve reconstruction on clinical practice in Germany compared to surgical valve repair. <i>Clinical Research in Cardiology</i> , 2021, 110, 620-627.	3.3	1
4	Relative Efficacy of Spironolactone, Eplerenone, and cAnRenone in patients with Chronic Heart failure (RESEARCH): a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. <i>Heart Failure Reviews</i> , 2020, 25, 161-171.	3.9	8
5	N-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide eliminates the prognostic effect of atrial fibrillation in patients with chronic heart failure. <i>ESC Heart Failure</i> , 2019, 6, 640-648.	3.1	2
6	Comparative effectiveness of loop diuretics on mortality in the treatment of patients with chronic heart failure – A multicenter propensity score matched analysis. <i>International Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2019, 289, 83-90.	1.7	10
7	READY: relative efficacy of loop diuretics in patients with chronic systolic heart failure – a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomised trials. <i>Heart Failure Reviews</i> , 2019, 24, 461-472.	3.9	14
8	Epidemiology and long-term outcome in outpatients with chronic heart failure in Northwestern Europe. <i>Heart</i> , 2019, 105, 1252-1259.	2.9	18
9	Comparative effectiveness of enalapril, lisinopril, and ramipril in the treatment of patients with chronic heart failure: a propensity score-matched cohort study. <i>European Heart Journal - Cardiovascular Pharmacotherapy</i> , 2018, 4, 82-92.	3.0	11
10	Beta blockers and chronic heart failure patients: prognostic impact of a dose targeted beta blocker therapy vs. heart rate targeted strategy. <i>Clinical Research in Cardiology</i> , 2018, 107, 1040-1049.	3.3	10
11	Bisoprolol compared with carvedilol and metoprolol succinate in the treatment of patients with chronic heart failure. <i>Clinical Research in Cardiology</i> , 2017, 106, 711-721.	3.3	27
12	Statins attenuate but do not eliminate the reverse epidemiology of total serum cholesterol in patients with non-ischemic chronic heart failure. <i>International Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2017, 238, 97-104.	1.7	5
13	Biological variation of extracellular matrix biomarkers in patients with stable chronic heart failure. <i>Clinical Research in Cardiology</i> , 2017, 106, 974-985.	3.3	9
14	Long-term changes of renal function in relation to ace inhibitor/angiotensin receptor blocker dosing in patients with heart failure and chronic kidney disease. <i>American Heart Journal</i> , 2016, 178, 28-36.	2.7	19
15	Biological variation, reference change value (RCV) and minimal important difference (MID) of inspiratory muscle strength (P _{lmax}) in patients with stable chronic heart failure. <i>Clinical Research in Cardiology</i> , 2015, 104, 822-830.	3.3	12
16	Peritoneal ultrafiltration in end-stage chronic heart failure. <i>CKJ: Clinical Kidney Journal</i> , 2015, 8, 219-225.	2.9	17
17	Carvedilol Compared With Metoprolol Succinate in the Treatment and Prognosis of Patients With Stable Chronic Heart Failure. <i>Circulation: Heart Failure</i> , 2015, 8, 887-896.	3.9	20
18	Multidisciplinary Approach for Patients Hospitalized With Heart Failure. <i>Revista Espanola De Cardiologia (English Ed)</i> , 2015, 68, 885-891.	0.6	13

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Minimal important difference for 6-minute walk test distances among patients with chronic heart failure. <i>International Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2014, 176, 94-98.	1.7	51