## Yuqing Zhang

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5419564/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Characteristics and quality of clinical practice guidelines addressing acupuncture interventions: a systematic survey of 133 guidelines and 433 acupuncture recommendations. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e058834.	1.9	11
2	Evidence mapping and overview of systematic reviews of the effects of acupuncture therapies. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e056803.	1.9	15
3	Patient values and preferences regarding VTE disease: a systematic review to inform American Society of Hematology guidelines. Blood Advances, 2020, 4, 953-968.	5.2	32
4	Home vs hospital treatment of low-risk venous thromboembolism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Blood Advances, 2020, 4, 500-513.	5.2	10
5	A guidance was developed to identify participants with missing outcome data in randomized controlled trials. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 115, 55-63.	5.0	8
6	Effectiveness and safety of Chinese herbal medicine xuanbi antong granules for the treatment of borderline coronary lesions: study protocol for a randomised, double-blinded, placebo-controlled, multicentre clinical trial. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e024968.	1.9	4
7	GRADE guidelines: 20. Assessing the certainty of evidence in the importance of outcomes or values and preferences—inconsistency, imprecision, and other domains. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 111, 83-93.	5.0	146
8	GRADE Guidelines: 19. Assessing the certainty of evidence in the importance of outcomes or values and preferences—Risk of bias and indirectness. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 111, 94-104.	5.0	129
9	Investigation into the Individualized Treatment of Traditional Chinese Medicine through a Series of N-of-1 Trials. Evidence-based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 2018, 2018, 1-11.	1.2	12
10	A systematic review of how patients value COPD outcomes. European Respiratory Journal, 2018, 52, 1800222.	6.7	65
11	A systematic survey on reporting and methods for handling missing participant data for continuous outcomes in randomized controlled trials. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2017, 88, 57-66.	5.0	24
12	Authors seldom report the most patient-important outcomes andÂabsoluteÂeffect measures in systematic review abstracts. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2017, 81, 3-12.	5.0	29
13	A systematic survey of the methods literature on the reporting quality and optimal methods of handling participants with missing outcome data for continuous outcomes in randomized controlled trials. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2017, 88, 67-80.	5.0	32
14	Hemicraniectomy versus medical treatment with large MCA infarct: a review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e014390.	1.9	63
15	Systematic reviews experience major limitations in reporting absolute effects. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 72, 16-26.	5.0	45
16	Handling trial participants with missing outcome data when conducting a meta-analysis: a systematic survey of proposed approaches. Systematic Reviews, 2015, 4, 98.	5.3	48
17	Reporting missing participant data in randomised trials: systematic survey of the methodological literature and a proposed guide. BMJ Open, 2015, 5, e008431.	1.9	35
18	Why West Africa rejected donation of Chinese medicine for treating Ebola recommended by Chinese government?. Complementary Therapies in Medicine, 2015, 23, 505-507.	2.7	2

YUQING ZHANG

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Although not consistently superior, the absolute approach to framing the minimally important difference has advantages over the relative approach. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2015, 68, 888-894.	5.0	14
20	Patients' values and preferences of the expected efficacy of hip arthroscopy for osteoarthritis: a protocol for a multinational structured interview-based study combined with a randomised survey on the optimal amount of information to elicit preferences. BMJ Open, 2014, 4, e005536.	1.9	4
21	Evaluating the Individualized Treatment of Traditional Chinese Medicine: A Pilot Study of N-of-1 Trials. Evidence-based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 2014, 2014, 1-10.	1.2	20
22	Impact of missing participant data for dichotomous outcomes on pooled effect estimates in systematic reviews: a protocol for a methodological study. Systematic Reviews, 2014, 3, 137.	5.3	13
23	A methodological survey of the analysis, reporting and interpretation of Absolute Risk ReductiOn in systematic revieWs (ARROW): a study protocol. Systematic Reviews, 2013, 2, 113.	5.3	15