Anne M Stiggelbout

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5407652/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

281 papers 16,299 citations

65 h-index 20943 115 g-index

290 all docs

290 docs citations

times ranked

290

16148 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Longâ€Term Quality of Life of Vestibular Schwannoma Patients: A Longitudinal Analysis. Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, 2023, 168, 210-217.	1.1	4
2	Psychometric evaluation of the Health-Risk Attitude Scale (HRAS-13): assessing the reliability, dimensionality and validity in the general population and a patient population. Psychology and Health, 2022, 37, 34-50.	1.2	5
3	The impact of vestibular schwannoma and its management on employment. European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, 2022, 279, 2819-2826.	0.8	2
4	Patient and physician shared decision-making behaviors in oncology: Evidence on adequate measurement properties of the iSHARE questionnaires. Patient Education and Counseling, 2022, 105, 1089-1100.	1.0	6
5	Shared decision making in cancer treatment: A Dutch national survey on patients' preferences and perceptions. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2022, 31, e13534.	0.7	13
6	Fostering Patient Choice Awareness and Presenting Treatment Options Neutrally: A Randomized Trial to Assess the Effect on Perceived Room for Involvement in Decision Making. Medical Decision Making, 2022, 42, 375-386.	1,2	2
7	Do consultants do what they say they do? Observational study of the extent to which clinicians involve their patients in the decision-making process. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e056471.	0.8	14
8	Personalizing decision-making for persons with Parkinson's disease: where do we stand and what to improve?. Journal of Neurology, 2022, , 1.	1.8	3
9	Shared Decision-making in Different Types of Decisions in Medical Specialist Consultations. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2022, 37, 2966-2972.	1.3	5
10	Patient role in SDM models: Re: Berger Z, Galasinski D, Scalia P, Dong K, Blunt HB, Elwyn G, "The Submissive Silence of Others: Examining Definitions of Shared Decision Making―[Patient Educ Couns (2021) doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2021.10.026]. Patient Education and Counseling, 2022, , .	1.0	0
11	Leveraging the Similarities Between Cost-Effectiveness Analysis and Value-Based Healthcare. Value in Health, 2022, , .	0.1	O
12	Why do medical residents prefer paternalistic decision making? An interview study. BMC Medical Education, 2022, 22, 155.	1.0	11
13	Patients' preferred and perceived decision-making roles, and observed patient involvement in videotaped encounters with medical specialists. Patient Education and Counseling, 2022, 105, 2702-2707.	1.0	5
14	Shared decision making, patient-centered communication and patient satisfaction – A cross-sectional analysis. Patient Education and Counseling, 2022, 105, 2145-2150.	1.0	13
15	The implementation of value-based healthcare: a scoping review. BMC Health Services Research, 2022, 22, 270.	0.9	26
16	Effectiveness of Individual Feedback and Coaching on Shared Decision-making Consultations in Oncology Care: Protocol for a Randomized Clinical Trial. JMIR Research Protocols, 2022, 11, e35543.	0.5	2
17	Towards Culturally Sensitive Shared Decision-Making in Oncology A Study Protocol Integrating Bioethical Qualitative Research on Shared Decision-Making Among Ethnic Minorities With Ethical Reflection. International Journal of Qualitative Methods, The, 2022, 21, 160940692210867.	1.3	1
18	Asking the right questions: towards a person-centered conception of shared decision-making regarding treatment of advanced chronic kidney disease in older patients. BMC Medical Ethics, 2022, 23, 47.	1.0	12

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Professionals' Treatment Preferences in the Prodromal Phase of Parkinson's Disease: A Discrete Choice Experiment. Journal of Parkinson's Disease, 2022, 12, 1655-1664.	1.5	1
20	Shared decision-making in the Netherlands: Progress is made, but not for all. Time to become inclusive to patients. Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen, 2022, 171, 98-104.	0.7	13
21	How are patient-related characteristics associated with shared decision-making about treatment? A scoping review of quantitative studies. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e057293.	0.8	9
22	Development of an online patient decision aid for kidney failure treatment modality decisions. BMC Nephrology, 2022, 23, .	0.8	9
23	Patient-reported factors that influence the vestibular schwannoma treatment decision: a qualitative study. European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, 2021, 278, 3237-3244.	0.8	4
24	Estimating the Effect of Early Treatment Initiation in Parkinson's Disease Using Observational Data. Movement Disorders, 2021, 36, 407-414.	2.2	5
25	What makes a patient ready for Shared Decision Making? A qualitative study. Patient Education and Counseling, 2021, 104, 571-577.	1.0	39
26	A randomized experimental study to test the effects of discussing uncertainty during cancer genetic counseling: different strategies, different outcomes?. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2021, 29, 789-799.	1.4	7
27	COVID-19 and systemic anticancer therapy: exploiting uncertainty. Lancet Oncology, The, 2021, 22, 3-5.	5.1	2
28	Abstract PS6-08: The PORTRET-tool: A prediction tool for older patients with breast cancer that predicts recurrence, survival and other-cause mortality., 2021,,.		0
29	Oncologists' Communication About Uncertain Information in Second Opinion Consultations: A Focused Qualitative Analysis. Frontiers in Psychology, 2021, 12, 635422.	1.1	3
30	Patients' and clinicians' preferences in adjuvant treatment for high-risk endometrial cancer: Implications for shared decision making. Gynecologic Oncology, 2021, 161, 727-733.	0.6	2
31	A scoping review of practice recommendations for clinicians' communication of uncertainty. Health Expectations, 2021, 24, 1025-1043.	1.1	23
32	The bigger picture of shared decision making: A service design perspective using the care path of locally advanced pancreatic cancer as a case. Cancer Medicine, 2021, 10, 5907-5916.	1.3	22
33	Identifying Entrustable Professional Activities for Shared Decision Making in Postgraduate Medical Education: A National Delphi Study. Academic Medicine, 2021, 96, 126-133.	0.8	13
34	Response rate of patient reported outcomes: the delivery method matters. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2021, 21, 220.	1.4	20
35	Development and validation of the PORTRET tool to predict recurrence, overall survival, and other-cause mortality in older patients with breast cancer in the Netherlands: a population-based study. The Lancet Healthy Longevity, 2021, 2, e704-e711.	2.0	14
36	The Cervical Radiculopathy Impact Scale: development and evaluation of a new functional outcome measure for cervical radicular syndrome. Disability and Rehabilitation, 2020, 42, 1894-1905.	0.9	10

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Quadruple Decision Making for Parkinson's Disease Patients: Combining Expert Opinion, Patient Preferences, Scientific Evidence, and Big Data Approaches to Reach Precision Medicine. Journal of Parkinson's Disease, 2020, 10, 223-231.	1.5	24
38	Patients' and clinicians' perspectives on shared decisionâ€making regarding treatment decisions for depression, anxiety disorders, and obsessiveâ€compulsive disorder in specialized psychiatric care. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2020, 26, 645-658.	0.9	16
39	Shared decision making: Physicians' preferred role, usual role and their perception of its key components. Patient Education and Counseling, 2020, 103, 77-82.	1.0	75
40	Do clinicians convey what they intend? Lay interpretation of verbal risk labels used in decision encounters. Patient Education and Counseling, 2020, 103, 418-422.	1.0	9
41	â€We don't know for sure': discussion of uncertainty concerning multigene panel testing during initial cancer genetic consultations. Familial Cancer, 2020, 19, 65-76.	0.9	10
42	Is There a Relationship between Shared Decision Making and Breast Cancer Patients' Trust in Their Medical Oncologists?. Medical Decision Making, 2020, 40, 52-61.	1.2	11
43	Women's Acceptance of Overdetection in Breast Cancer Screening: Can We Assess Harm-Benefit Tradeoffs?. Medical Decision Making, 2020, 40, 42-51.	1.2	13
44	Authors' response. Medical Decision Making, 2020, 40, 715-717.	1.2	0
45	Shared decision-making in advanced kidney disease: a scoping review protocol. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e034142.	0.8	14
46	Choosing treatments and the role of shared decision-making. , 2020, , 283-316.		5
47	Metastatic breast cancer in older patients: A longitudinal assessment of geriatric outcomes. Journal of Geriatric Oncology, 2020, 11, 969-975.	0.5	11
48	Measuring shared decision making in oncology: Development and first testing of the iSHAREpatient and iSHAREphysician questionnaires. Health Expectations, 2020, 23, 496-508.	1.1	9
49	Psychological distress and quality of life following positive fecal occult blood testing in colorectal cancer screening. Psycho-Oncology, 2020, 29, 1084-1091.	1.0	15
50	A conceptual framework for patient-directed knowledge tools to support patient-centred care: Results from an evidence-informed consensus meeting. Patient Education and Counseling, 2019, 102, 1898-1904.	1.0	14
51	Shared Decision Making and the Importance of Time. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2019, 322, 25.	3.8	143
52	Patient explicit consideration of tradeoffs in decision making about rectal cancer treatment: benefits for decision process and quality of life. Acta Oncol \tilde{A}^3 gica, 2019, 58, 1069-1076.	0.8	17
53	Role of patient preferences in clinical practice guidelines: a multiple methods study using guidelines from oncology as a case. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e032483.	0.8	30
54	Key components of shared decision making models: a systematic review. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e031763.	0.8	198

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Uncertainty related to multigene panel testing for cancer: a qualitative study on counsellors' and counselees' views. Journal of Community Genetics, 2019, 10, 303-312.	0.5	18
56	Shared decision making in oncology: A model based on patients', health care professionals', and researchers' views. Psycho-Oncology, 2019, 28, 139-146.	1.0	36
57	Preferred and Perceived Participation of Younger and Older Patients in Decision Making About Treatment for Early Breast Cancer: A Prospective Study. Clinical Breast Cancer, 2018, 18, e245-e253.	1.1	38
58	Factors associated with frequency of discussion of or referral for counselling about fertility issues in female cancer patients. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2018, 27, e12602.	0.7	18
59	A prospective comparison of younger and older patients' preferences for breast-conserving surgery versus mastectomy in early breast cancer. Journal of Geriatric Oncology, 2018, 9, 170-173.	0.5	15
60	Preferences for cervical cancer screening: The role of implicit associations. Patient Education and Counseling, 2018, 101, 310-317.	1.0	2
61	Clinical and sociodemographic associations with treatment selection in major depression. General Hospital Psychiatry, 2018, 54, 18-24.	1.2	2
62	On how to define and measure SDM. Patient Education and Counseling, 2018, 101, 1307-1309.	1.0	12
63	Accelerating implementation of shared decision-making in the Netherlands: An exploratory investigation. Patient Education and Counseling, 2018, 101, 2097-2104.	1.0	36
64	Uncertainty in consultations about genetic testing for cancer: an explorative observational study. Patient Education and Counseling, 2018, 101, 2083-2089.	1.0	10
65	The quality of instruments to assess the process of shared decision making: A systematic review. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0191747.	1.1	126
66	Implicit persuasion in medical decision-making. Journal of Argumentation in Context, 2018, 7, 209-227.	0.4	5
67	Does the Use of a Decision Aid Improve Decision Making in Prosthetic Heart Valve Selection?. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2017, 10, .	0.9	47
68	Utility approach to decision-making in extended T1 and limited T2 glottic carcinoma. Head and Neck, 2017, 39, 779-785.	0.9	5
69	Treatment recommendations for older women with breast cancer: A survey among surgical, radiation and medical oncologists. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 43, 1288-1296.	0.5	14
70	Accuracy of the online prognostication tools PREDICT and Adjuvant! for early-stage breast cancer patients younger than 50 years. European Journal of Cancer, 2017, 78, 37-44.	1.3	38
71	Disclosing the Uncertainty Associated with Prognostic Estimates in Breast Cancer. Medical Decision Making, 2017, 37, 179-192.	1.2	39
72	Long-Term Morbidity and Quality of Life in Cervical Cancer Survivors: A Multicenter Comparison Between Surgery and Radiotherapy as Primary Treatment. International Journal of Gynecological Cancer, 2017, 27, 350-356.	1.2	40

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Shared decision making, a buzz-word in the Netherlands, the pace quickens towards nationwide implementation…. Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen, 2017, 123-124, 69-74.	0.7	56
74	Quality of Life in 807ÂPatients with Vestibular Schwannoma: Comparing Treatment Modalities. Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, 2017, 157, 92-98.	1.1	54
75	Feasibility and effects of a decision aid about fertility preservation. Human Fertility, 2017, 20, 104-112.	0.7	24
76	Sexual distress and associated factors among cervical cancer survivors: A crossâ€sectional multicenter observational study. Psycho-Oncology, 2017, 26, 1470-1477.	1.0	31
77	Oncologist, patient, and companion questions during pretreatment consultations about adjuvant cancer treatment: a shared decision-making perspective. Psycho-Oncology, 2017, 26, 943-950.	1.0	13
78	Challenges in shared decision making in advanced cancer care: a qualitative longitudinal observational and interview study. Health Expectations, 2017, 20, 69-84.	1.1	80
79	The potential of service design for improving the implementation of shared decision-making. Design for Health, 2017, 1, 194-209.	0.4	15
80	Attitude to health risk in the Canadian population: a cross-sectional survey. CMAJ Open, 2016, 4, E284-E291.	1.1	9
81	Advance Care Planning in Glioblastoma Patients. Cancers, 2016, 8, 102.	1.7	39
82	Decisionâ€making in the referral process of sonographers in primary care screening centers. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2016, 36, 555-560.	1.1	2
83	Communicating risk to patients in the emergency department. BMJ, The, 2016, 355, i6437.	3.0	2
84	Determinants of participation in a cardiometabolic health check among underserved groups. Preventive Medicine Reports, 2016, 4, 33-43.	0.8	7
85	A Prospective Comparison of Younger and Older Patients' Preferences for Adjuvant Chemotherapy and Hormonal Therapy in Early Breast Cancer. Clinical Breast Cancer, 2016, 16, 379-388.	1.1	33
86	OPTION5 versus OPTION12 instruments to appreciate the extent to which healthcare providers involve patients in decision-making. Patient Education and Counseling, 2016, 99, 1062-1068.	1.0	52
87	Use of implicit persuasion in decision making about adjuvant cancer treatment: A potential barrier to shared decision making. European Journal of Cancer, 2016, 66, 55-66.	1.3	53
88	Long-term Pelvic Floor Function and Quality of Life After Radical Surgery for Cervical Cancer: A Multicenter Comparison Between Different Techniques for Radical Hysterectomy With Pelvic Lymphadenectomy. International Journal of Gynecological Cancer, 2016, 26, 1538-1543.	1.2	25
89	The EORTC QLQ-CR29 quality of life questionnaire for colorectal cancer: validation of the Dutch version. Quality of Life Research, 2016, 25, 1853-1858.	1.5	41
90	Comprehensively Measuring Health-Related Subjective Well-Being: Dimensionality Analysis for Improved Outcome Assessment in Health Economics. Value in Health, 2016, 19, 167-175.	0.1	15

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	Cervical cancer survivors' and partners' experiences with sexual dysfunction and psychosexual support. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2016, 24, 1679-1687.	1.0	66
92	Large variation in the use of defunctioning stomas after rectal cancer surgery. A lack of consensus. Acta $Oncol\tilde{A}^3$ gica, 2016, 55, 509-515.	0.8	5
93	Deciding about (neo-)adjuvant rectal and breast cancer treatment: Missed opportunities for shared decision making. Acta Oncol \tilde{A}^3 gica, 2016, 55, 134-139.	0.8	68
94	What Are Values, Utilities, and Preferences? A Clarification in the Context of Decision Making in Health Care, and an Exploration of Measurement Issues., 2016,, 3-13.		4
95	Understanding Statin Non-Adherence: Knowing Which Perceptions and Experiences Matter to Different Patients. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0146272.	1.1	44
96	Response and participation of underserved populations after a three-step invitation strategy for a cardiometabolic health check. BMC Public Health, 2015, 15, 854.	1.2	9
97	Psychological factors and mental health in persons with spinal cord injury: An exploration of change or stability. Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine, 2015, 47, 531-537.	0.8	22
98	Dutch Translation and Psychometric Testing of the 9-Item Shared Decision Making Questionnaire (SDM-Q-9) and Shared Decision Making Questionnaire-Physician Version (SDM-Q-Doc) in Primary and Secondary Care. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0132158.	1.1	109
99	Breast cancer specialists' views on and use of risk prediction models in clinical practice: A mixed methods approach. Acta Oncológica, 2015, 54, 361-367.	0.8	24
100	Considering patient values and treatment preferences enhances patient involvement in rectal cancer treatment decision making. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2015, 117, 338-342.	0.3	45
101	â€ [~] Check it out!' Decision-making of vulnerable groups about participation in a two-stage cardiometabolic health check: A qualitative study. Patient Education and Counseling, 2015, 98, 234-244.	1.0	13
102	Psychosexual support for gynecological cancer survivors: professionals' current practices and need for assistance. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2015, 23, 831-839.	1.0	34
103	Decision consultations on preoperative radiotherapy for rectal cancer: large variation in benefits and harms that are addressed. British Journal of Cancer, 2015, 112, 39-43.	2.9	27
104	Optimal Treatment Strategy in Rectal Cancer Surgery: Should We Be Cowboys or Chickens?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 22, 3582-3589.	0.7	33
105	Probabilities of benefit and harms of preoperative radiotherapy for rectal cancer: What do radiation oncologists tell and what do patients understand? Patient Education and Counseling, 2015, 98, 1092-1098.	1.0	17
106	Good reliability and validity for a new utility instrument measuring the birth experience, the Labor and Delivery Index. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2015, 68, 1184-1194.	2.4	12
107	Which benefits and harms of preoperative radiotherapy should be addressed? A Delphi consensus study among rectal cancer patients and radiation oncologists. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2015, 114, 212-217.	0.3	18
108	Sexual issues among cervical cancer survivors: how can we help women seek help?. Psycho-Oncology, 2015, 24, 458-464.	1.0	45

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	Oncologists' weighing of the benefits and side effects of adjuvant systemic therapy: Has it changed over time?. Acta Oncológica, 2015, 54, 956-959.	0.8	4
110	Minimally important change values of a measurement instrument depend more on baseline values than on the type of intervention. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2015, 68, 518-524.	2.4	41
111	Randomised study of tegafur–uracil plus leucovorin versus capecitabine as first-line therapy in elderly patients with advanced colorectal cancer — TLC study. Journal of Geriatric Oncology, 2015, 6, 307-315.	0.5	15
112	Validation of a Dutch Version of the Reproductive Concerns Scale (RCS) in Three Populations of Women. Health Care for Women International, 2015, 36, 1143-1159.	0.6	9
113	Do individuals with and without depression value depression differently? And if so, why?. Quality of Life Research, 2015, 24, 2565-2575.	1.5	14
114	Shared decision making: Concepts, evidence, and practice. Patient Education and Counseling, 2015, 98, 1172-1179.	1.0	555
115	Calculating Preference Weights for the Labor and Delivery Index: A Discrete Choice Experiment on Women's Birth Experiences. Value in Health, 2015, 18, 856-864.	0.1	6
116	Women's experiences with information provision and deciding about fertility preservation in the Netherlands: †satisfaction in general, but unmet needs'. Health Expectations, 2015, 18, 956-968.	1.1	42
117	Antidepressants in primary care: patients' experiences, perceptions, self-efficacy beliefs, and nonadherence. Patient Preference and Adherence, 2014, 8, 179.	0.8	12
118	Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Interviewer Help Answering the Time Tradeoff. Medical Decision Making, 2014, 34, 655-665.	1.2	11
119	Treatment preferences and involvement in treatment decision making of patients with endometrial cancer and clinicians. British Journal of Cancer, 2014, 111, 674-679.	2.9	47
120	Values clarification in a decision aid about fertility preservation: does it add to information provision?. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2014, 14, 68.	1.5	19
121	Primary-care patients' trade-off preferences with regard to antidepressants. Psychological Medicine, 2014, 44, 2301-2308.	2.7	11
122	Preoperative risk information and patient involvement in surgical treatment for rectal and sigmoid cancer. Colorectal Disease, 2014, 16, O43-9.	0.7	39
123	Validity of Adjuvant! Online program in older patients with breast cancer: a population-based study. Lancet Oncology, The, 2014, 15, 722-729.	5.1	81
124	Collaborative deliberation: A model for patient care. Patient Education and Counseling, 2014, 97, 158-164.	1.0	181
125	Predicting and Communicating the Risk of Recurrence and Death in Women With Early-Stage Breast Cancer: A Systematic Review of Risk Prediction Models. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2014, 32, 238-250.	0.8	80
126	Patients' preferences for surgical and adjuvant systemic treatment in early breast cancer: A systematic review. Cancer Treatment Reviews, 2014, 40, 1005-1018.	3.4	66

#	Article	IF	Citations
127	Do the benefits of statins outweigh their drawbacks? Assessing patients' trade-off preferences with conjoint analysis. International Journal of Cardiology, 2014, 176, 1220-1222.	0.8	5
128	A comprehensive representation of the birth-experience: identification and prioritization of birth-specific domains based on a mixed-method design. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2014, 14, 147.	0.9	15
129	Cultural targeting and tailoring of shared decision making technology: A theoretical framework for improving the effectiveness of patient decision aids in culturally diverse groups. Social Science and Medicine, 2014, 105, 1-8.	1.8	69
130	Endocrine Therapy for Breast Cancer: Assessing anÂArray of Women's Treatment Experiences andÂPerceptions, Their Perceived Self-Efficacy andÂNonadherence. Clinical Breast Cancer, 2014, 14, 460-467.e2.	1.1	37
131	Cancer Patients' Preferences for Quantity or Quality of Life: German Translation and Validation of the Quality and Quantity Questionnaire. Oncology Research and Treatment, 2014, 37, 472-478.	0.8	16
132	Genetic counseling does not fulfill the counselees' need for certainty in hereditary breast/ovarian cancer families: an explorative assessment. Psycho-Oncology, 2013, 22, 1167-1176.	1.0	19
133	Integrating evidence on patient preferences in healthcare policy decisions: protocol of the patient-VIP study. Implementation Science, 2013, 8, 64.	2.5	46
134	Achieving consensus on the definition of conversion to laparotomy: a Delphi study among general surgeons, gynecologists, and urologists. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2013, 27, 4631-4639.	1.3	40
135	Theory-informed design of values clarification methods: A cognitive psychological perspective on patient health-related decision making. Social Science and Medicine, 2013, 77, 156-163.	1.8	54
136	Effective follow-up consultations: the importance of patient-centered communication and shared decision making. Paediatric Respiratory Reviews, 2013, 14, 224-228.	1.2	38
137	Trade-off preferences regarding adjuvant endocrine therapy among women with estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. Annals of Oncology, 2013, 24, 2324-2329.	0.6	34
138	Anticipated adaptation or scale recalibration?. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2013, 11, 171.	1.0	5
139	Barriers and facilitators to implement shared decision making in multidisciplinary sciatica care: a qualitative study. Implementation Science, 2013, 8, 95.	2.5	44
140	Clarifying values: an updated review. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2013, 13, S8.	1.5	188
141	Grading surgical skills curricula and training facilities for minimally invasive surgery. Gynecological Surgery, 2013, 10, 63-69.	0.9	6
142	Development of a Decision Aid about fertility preservation for women with breast cancer in the Netherlands. Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2013, 34, 170-178.	1.1	77
143	Disentangling breast cancer patients' perceptions and experiences with regard to endocrine therapy: Nature and relevance for non-adherence. Breast, 2013, 22, 661-666.	0.9	27
144	Oncologists' practice and attitudes regarding fertility preservation in female cancer patients: a pilot study in the Netherlands. Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2013, 34, 129-132.	1.1	21

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
145	How can clinical practice guidelines be adapted to facilitate shared decision making? A qualitative key-informant study. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2013, 22, 855-863.	1.8	76
146	Importance of clarifying patients' desired role in shared decision making to match their level of engagement with their preferences. BMJ, The, 2013, 347, f7066-f7066.	3.0	94
147	Difference in quality of life, fatigue and societal participation between living and deceased donor kidney transplant recipients. Clinical Transplantation, 2013, 27, E415-23.	0.8	47
148	The impact of a restrictive transfusion trigger on post-operative complication rate and well-being following elective orthopaedic surgery: a post-hoc analysis of a randomised study. Blood Transfusion, 2013, 11, 289-95.	0.3	54
149	A Delphi consensus study among patients and clinicians in the Netherlands on the procedure of informing young breast cancer patients about Fertility Preservation. Acta Oncol \tilde{A}^3 gica, 2012, 51, 1062-1069.	0.8	22
150	The Influence of Time and Adaptation on Health State Valuations in Patients With Spinal Cord Injury. Medical Decision Making, 2012, 32, 805-814.	1.2	4
151	Shared decision making: really putting patients at the centre of healthcare. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2012, 344, e256-e256.	2.4	709
152	Societal preferences for standard health insurance coverage in the Netherlands: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open, 2012, 2, e001021.	0.8	5
153	Shared decision making: really putting patients at the centre of healthcare. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2012, 344, e863-e863.	2.4	4
154	Decision making around living and deceased donor kidney transplantation: a qualitative study exploring the importance of expected relationship changes. BMC Nephrology, 2012, 13, 103.	0.8	30
155	Designing an implementation strategy to improve interprofessional shared decision making in sciatica: study protocol of the DISC study. Implementation Science, 2012, 7, 55.	2.5	6
156	Opening the psychological black box in genetic counseling. The psychological impact of DNA testing is predicted by the counselees' perception, the medical impact by the pathogenic or uninformative BRCA1/2â€result. Psycho-Oncology, 2012, 21, 29-42.	1.0	60
157	Exploring the short-term impact of DNA-testing in breast cancer patients: The counselees' perception matters, but the actual BRCA1/2 result does not. Patient Education and Counseling, 2012, 86, 239-251.	1.0	31
158	Focusing illusion, adaptation and EQâ€5D health state descriptions: the difference between patients and public. Health Expectations, 2012, 15, 367-378.	1.1	18
159	Reduced quality of life in living kidney donors: association with fatigue, societal participation and pre-donation variables. Transplant International, 2012, 25, 967-975.	0.8	42
160	Laparoscopic Hysterectomy: Eliciting Preference of Performers andÂColleagues Via Conjoint Analysis. Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology, 2011, 18, 582-588.	0.3	9
161	A counselee-oriented perspective on risk communication in genetic counseling: Explaining the inaccuracy of the counselees' risk perception shortly after BRCA1/2 test result disclosure. Genetics in Medicine, 2011, 13, 800-811.	1.1	25
162	Professionals' opinion on follow-up in breast cancer patients; perceived purpose and influence of patients' risk factors. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2011, 37, 217-224.	0.5	30

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
163	Patients' needs and preferences in routine follow-up for early breast cancer; an evaluation of the changing role of the nurse practitioner. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2011, 37, 765-773.	0.5	26
164	Shared decision making in the Netherlands, is the time ripe for nationwide, structural implementation?. Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen, 2011, 105, 283-288.	0.7	26
165	Perceiving cancer-risks and heredity-likelihood in genetic-counseling: how counselees recall and interpret BRCA 1/2-test results. Clinical Genetics, 2011, 79, 207-218.	1.0	35
166	Postoperative anemia after joint replacement surgery is not related to quality of life during the first two weeks postoperatively. Transfusion, 2011, 51, 71-81.	0.8	31
167	A whisper-game perspective on the family communication of DNA-test results: a retrospective study on the communication process of BRCA1/2-test results between proband and relatives. Familial Cancer, 2011, 10, 87-96.	0.9	48
168	The relation between illness cognitions and quality of life in people with and without a stoma following rectal cancer treatment. Psycho-Oncology, 2011, 20, 428-434.	1.0	42
169	Validation of the pelvic floor inventories Leiden (PelFIs) in English. Neurourology and Urodynamics, 2011, 30, 536-540.	0.8	12
170	Family communication matters: The impact of telling relatives about unclassified variants and uninformative DNA-test results. Genetics in Medicine, 2011, 13, 333-341.	1.1	33
171	Salzburg statement on shared decision making. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2011, 342, d1745-d1745.	2.4	213
172	Onderzoek naar de kwaliteit van leven van kankerpatiënten. , 2011, , 617-623.		0
172 173	Onderzoek naar de kwaliteit van leven van kankerpatiënten., 2011,, 617-623. Adaptive Conjoint Analysis as individual preference assessment tool: Feasibility through the internet and reliability of preferences. Patient Education and Counseling, 2010, 78, 224-233.	1.0	0 25
	Adaptive Conjoint Analysis as individual preference assessment tool: Feasibility through the internet	1.0	
173	Adaptive Conjoint Analysis as individual preference assessment tool: Feasibility through the internet and reliability of preferences. Patient Education and Counseling, 2010, 78, 224-233. How to integrate individual patient values and preferences in clinical practice guidelines? A research		25
173	Adaptive Conjoint Analysis as individual preference assessment tool: Feasibility through the internet and reliability of preferences. Patient Education and Counseling, 2010, 78, 224-233. How to integrate individual patient values and preferences in clinical practice guidelines? A research protocol. Implementation Science, 2010, 5, 10. Health State Valuations of Patients and the General Public Analytically Compared: A Meta-Analytical	2.5	25 96
173 174 175	Adaptive Conjoint Analysis as individual preference assessment tool: Feasibility through the internet and reliability of preferences. Patient Education and Counseling, 2010, 78, 224-233. How to integrate individual patient values and preferences in clinical practice guidelines? A research protocol. Implementation Science, 2010, 5, 10. Health State Valuations of Patients and the General Public Analytically Compared: A Meta-Analytical Comparison of Patient and Population Health State Utilities. Value in Health, 2010, 13, 306-309. Methodologic evaluation of adaptive conjoint analysis to assess patient preferences: an application in	2.5	2596104
173 174 175 176	Adaptive Conjoint Analysis as individual preference assessment tool: Feasibility through the internet and reliability of preferences. Patient Education and Counseling, 2010, 78, 224-233. How to integrate individual patient values and preferences in clinical practice guidelines? A research protocol. Implementation Science, 2010, 5, 10. Health State Valuations of Patients and the General Public Analytically Compared: A Meta-Analytical Comparison of Patient and Population Health State Utilities. Value in Health, 2010, 13, 306-309. Methodologic evaluation of adaptive conjoint analysis to assess patient preferences: an application in oncology. Health Expectations, 2010, 13, 392-405. Adjuvant! and Other Prediction Models in the Clinical Encounter with Cancer Patients. Medical	2.5 0.1 1.1	25 96 104 12
173 174 175 176	Adaptive Conjoint Analysis as individual preference assessment tool: Feasibility through the internet and reliability of preferences. Patient Education and Counseling, 2010, 78, 224-233. How to integrate individual patient values and preferences in clinical practice guidelines? A research protocol. Implementation Science, 2010, 5, 10. Health State Valuations of Patients and the General Public Analytically Compared: A Meta-Analytical Comparison of Patient and Population Health State Utilities. Value in Health, 2010, 13, 306-309. Methodologic evaluation of adaptive conjoint analysis to assess patient preferences: an application in oncology. Health Expectations, 2010, 13, 392-405. Adjuvant! and Other Prediction Models in the Clinical Encounter with Cancer Patients. Medical Decision Making, 2010, 30, 422-423. Revisiting Decision Aids: About Definitions and Classifications. Medical Decision Making, 2010, 30,	2.5 0.1 1.1	25 96 104 12

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
181	Valuing Health: Does Enriching a Scenario Lead to Higher Utilities?. Medical Decision Making, 2009, 29, 334-342.	1.2	7
182	Disentangling the Babylonian speech confusion in genetic counseling: An analysis of the reliability and validity of the nomenclature for BRCA1/2 DNA-test results other than pathogenic. Genetics in Medicine, 2009, 11 , $742-749$.	1.1	6
183	A Longitudinal Evaluation of Health-Related Quality of Life of Patients with Parkinson's Disease. Value in Health, 2009, 12, 392-396.	0.1	52
184	The effect of information on preferences stated in a choice-based conjoint analysis. Patient Education and Counseling, 2009, 74, 264-271.	1.0	22
185	Risk factors for sexual dysfunction after rectal cancer treatment. European Journal of Cancer, 2009, 45, 1578-1588.	1.3	217
186	Individual quality of life: adaptive conjoint analysis as an alternative for direct weighting?. Quality of Life Research, 2008, 17, 641-649.	1.5	17
187	A comprehensive model of health-related quality of life in Parkinson's disease. Journal of Neurology, 2008, 255, 1580-1587.	1.8	101
188	Development and validation of the pelvic floor inventories Leiden (PelFIs). Neurourology and Urodynamics, 2008, 27, 301-305.	0.8	18
189	The construction of standard gamble utilities. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2008, 17, 31-40.	0.8	59
190	Clinician and cancer patient views on patient participation in treatment decision-making: a quantitative and qualitative exploration. British Journal of Cancer, 2008, 99, 875-882.	2.9	89
191	Health State Utilities: A Framework for Studying the Gap Between the Imagined and the Real. Value in Health, 2008, 11, 76-87.	0.1	60
192	Evaluation of the Dutch version of the Parkinson's Disease Questionnaire 39. Parkinsonism and Related Disorders, 2008, 14, 24-27.	1.1	20
193	Patients' preferences for low rectal cancer surgery. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2008, 34, 42-48.	0.5	48
194	First the facts, then the values? Implicit normativity in evidence-based decision aids for shared decision-making. Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen, 2008, 102, 415-420.	0.7	4
195	The Use of Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis Weight Elicitation Techniques in Patients with MildÂCognitive Impairment. Patient, 2008, 1, 127-135.	1.1	21
196	The Impact of Individualized Evidence-Based Decision Support on Aneurysm Patients' Decision Making, Ideals of Autonomy, and Quality of Life. Medical Decision Making, 2008, 28, 751-762.	1.2	23
197	Evaluation of the Treatment Tradeoff Method in Rectal Cancer Patients: Is Surgery Preference Related to Outcome Utilities?. Medical Decision Making, 2008, 28, 888-898.	1.2	10
198	Clinical Relevance of Quality of Life Outcome in Cochlear Implantation in Postlingually Deafened Adults. Otology and Neurotology, 2008, 29, 615-621.	0.7	69

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
199	Benefit from preoperative radiotherapy in rectal cancer treatment: disease-free patients' and oncologists' preferences. British Journal of Cancer, 2007, 97, 717-724.	2.9	48
200	Patient-reported autonomic symptoms in Parkinson disease. Neurology, 2007, 69, 333-341.	1.5	274
201	Cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry, 2007, 78, 1182-1187.	0.9	204
202	3.502 A comprehensive model of health-related quality of life in Parkinson's disease: Challenges for patient management. Parkinsonism and Related Disorders, 2007, 13, S187-S188.	1.1	0
203	Assessment of psychiatric complications in Parkinson's disease: The SCOPAâ€PC. Movement Disorders, 2007, 22, 2221-2228.	2.2	96
204	Cochlear Implant Outcomes and Quality of Life in Adults with Prelingual Deafness. Laryngoscope, 2007, 117, 1982-1987.	1.1	77
205	Stability of preferences with regard to adjuvant chemotherapy: impact of treatment decision, experience and the passing of time. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2007, 17, 070611034311008-???.	0.7	5
206	How important is the opinion of significant others to cancer patients' adjuvant chemotherapy decision-making?. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2007, 15, 319-325.	1.0	36
207	Responsiveness of impairments and disabilities in Parkinson's disease. Parkinsonism and Related Disorders, 2006, 12, 314-318.	1.1	21
208	Effects of magnetic stimulation in the treatment of pelvic floor dysfunction. BJU International, 2006, 97, 1035-1038.	1.3	48
209	Factors affecting patients' perceptions of choice regarding adjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2006, 99, 35-45.	1.1	36
210	Reliability and validity of the Beck depression inventory in patients with Parkinson's disease. Movement Disorders, 2006, 21, 668-672.	2.2	184
211	Clinical ethical dilemmas: convergent and divergent views of two scholarly communities. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2006, 32, 381-388.	1.0	8
212	Exploring the Reference Point in Prospect Theory: Gambles for Length of Life. Medical Decision Making, 2006, 26, 338-346.	1.2	51
213	Health State Classification Systems: How Comparable Are Our Cost-Effectiveness Ratios?. Medical Decision Making, 2006, 26, 223-225.	1.2	5
214	Self-reports of health-care utilization: Diary or questionnaire?. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2005, 21, 298-304.	0.2	74
215	The Impact of Diagnosis and Treatment of Rectal Cancer on Paid and Unpaid Labor. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2005, 48, 1875-1882.	0.7	30
216	Missing forms and dropout in the TME quality of life substudy. Quality of Life Research, 2005, 14, 857-865.	1.5	4

#	Article	IF	Citations
217	Understanding VAS Valuations: Qualitative Data on the Cognitive Process. Quality of Life Research, 2005, 14, 2171-2175.	1.5	14
218	Impact of Short-Term Preoperative Radiotherapy on Health-Related Quality of Life and Sexual Functioning in Primary Rectal Cancer: Report of a Multicenter Randomized Trial. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2005, 23, 1847-1858.	0.8	583
219	Explaining Differences in Attitude Toward Adjuvant Chemotherapy Between Experienced and Inexperienced Breast Cancer Patients. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2005, 23, 6623-6630.	0.8	28
220	What You May Learn From the Dutch Experience. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2005, 23, 3632-3633.	0.8	1
221	Choice-Based Evaluation for the Improvement of Upper-Extremity Function Compared With Other Impairments in Tetraplegia. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 2005, 86, 1623-1630.	0.5	30
222	A short scale for the assessment of motor impairments and disabilities in Parkinson's disease: the SPES/SCOPA. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry, 2004, 75, 388-395.	0.9	178
223	Cost-Utility Analysis of Preoperative Radiotherapy in Patients With Rectal Cancer Undergoing Total Mesorectal Excision: A Study of the Dutch Colorectal Cancer Group. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2004, 22, 244-253.	0.8	81
224	Ideals of patient autonomy in clinical decision making: a study on the development of a scale to assess patients' and physicians' views. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2004, 30, 268-274.	1.0	92
225	Clinical Nature and Prognosis of Locally Recurrent Rectal Cancer After Total Mesorectal Excision With or Without Preoperative Radiotherapy. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2004, 22, 3958-3964.	0.8	159
226	Review of Determinants of Patients' Preferences for Adjuvant Therapy in Cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2004, 22, 3181-3190.	0.8	58
227	The impact of the perception of treatment choice on satisfaction with treatment, experienced chemotherapy burden and current quality of life. British Journal of Cancer, 2004, 91, 56-61.	2.9	43
228	Anchor Levels as a New Tool for the Theory and Measurement of Multiattribute Utility. Decision Analysis, 2004, 1, 217-234.	1.2	15
229	Patient's needs and preferences in routine follow-up after treatment for breast cancer. British Journal of Cancer, 2004, 90, 1144-1150.	2.9	116
230	Scientific Contribution. Empirical data and moral theory. A plea for integrated empirical ethics. Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy, 2004, 7, 55-69.	0.9	112
231	Different formats for communicating surgical risks to patients and the effect on choice of treatment. Patient Education and Counseling, 2004, 54, 255-263.	1.0	78
232	Assessing comorbidity in patients with Parkinson's disease. Movement Disorders, 2004, 19, 824-828.	2.2	32
233	Assessment of autonomic dysfunction in Parkinson's disease: The SCOPA-AUT. Movement Disorders, 2004, 19, 1306-1312.	2.2	598
234	Correcting Biases in Standard Gamble and Time Tradeoff Utilities. Medical Decision Making, 2004, 24, 511-517.	1.2	102

#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
235	Cost Measurement in Economic Evaluations of Health Care. Medical Care, 2004, 42, 740-746.	1.1	61
236	Short-term Menopausal Hormone Therapy for Symptom Relief. Archives of Internal Medicine, 2004, 164, 1634.	4.3	31
237	Hoofdstuk 20 Kwaliteit van leven en de ziekte van Parkinson: klinimetrische eigenschappen van de Nederlandse versie van de Parkinson Disease Quality of Life Questionnaire 39., 2004, , 247-262.		O
238	Implicit normativity in evidence-based medicine: a plea for integrated empirical ethics research. Health Care Analysis, 2003, 11, 69-92.	1.4	110
239	A short psychosocial questionnaire for patients with Parkinson's disease. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2003, 56, 61-67.	2.4	97
240	Palliative chemotherapy or best supportive care? A prospective study explaining patients' treatment preference and choice. British Journal of Cancer, 2003, 89, 2219-2226.	2.9	95
241	Assessment of cognition in Parkinson's disease. Neurology, 2003, 61, 1222-1228.	1.5	311
242	Assessment of Sleep and Sleepiness in Parkinson Disease. Sleep, 2003, 26, 1049-1054.	0.6	226
243	Health related quality of life in Parkinson's disease: a systematic review of disease specific instruments. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry, 2002, 72, 241-248.	0.9	228
244	Activity-Based Diary for Parkinson's Disease. Clinical Neuropharmacology, 2002, 25, 43-50.	0.2	27
245	Evaluation of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale in Patients With Parkinson's Disease. Clinical Neuropharmacology, 2002, 25, 318-324.	0.2	164
246	Cost-effectiveness analysis of colorectal cancer treatments. European Journal of Cancer, 2002, 38, 953-963.	1.3	23
247	Systematic evaluation of rating scales for impairment and disability in Parkinson's disease. Movement Disorders, 2002, 17, 867-876.	2.2	526
248	Patient Preference for Cancer Therapy: An Overview of Measurement Approaches. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2001, 19, 220-230.	0.8	157
249	The Utility of Health States After Stroke. Stroke, 2001, 32, 1425-1429.	1.0	213
250	What is the impact of fecal incontinence on quality of life?. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2001, 44, 67-71.	0.7	309
251	Patients' preferences for adjuvant chemotherapy in early-stage breast cancer: is treatment worthwhile?. British Journal of Cancer, 2001, 84, 1577-1585.	2.9	85
252	What Should Be Reported in a Methods Section on Utility Assessment?. Medical Decision Making, 2001, 21, 200-207.	1.2	53

#	Article	IF	Citations
253	Stability of Patients' Preferences for Chemotherapy: The Impact of Experience. Medical Decision Making, 2001, 21, 295-306.	1.2	55
254	Stability of Patients' Preferences for Chemotherapy: The Impact of Experience. Medical Decision Making, 2001, 21, 295-306.	1.2	39
255	The effect of individually assessed preference weights on the relationship between holistic utilities and nonpreference-based assessment. Quality of Life Research, 2000, 9, 541-557.	1.5	17
256	Response shift in quality of life measurement in early-stage breast cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy. Quality of Life Research, 2000, 9, 603-615.	1.5	97
257	Adjuvant chemotherapy in node negative breast cancer: Patterns of use and oncologists' preferences. Annals of Oncology, 2000, 11, 631-634.	0.6	17
258	Value of the time trade off method for measuring utilities in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, 2000, 59, 892-897.	0.5	44
259	Unstable Preferences:. Medical Decision Making, 2000, 20, 62-71.	1.2	82
260	Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Preferences in Dutch Community-dwelling and Hospitalized Elderly People. Medical Decision Making, 2000, 20, 423-429.	1.2	7
261	Differences in the Valuation of Birth Outcomes Among Pregnant Women, Mothers, and Obstetricians. Birth, 1999, 26, 178-183.	1.1	38
262	Cosmesis and body image after laparoscopic-assisted and open ileocolic resection for Crohn's disease. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 1998, 12, 1334-1340.	1.3	328
263	Patients' Utilities for Cancer Treatments. Medical Decision Making, 1998, 18, 391-399.	1.2	86
264	Follow-up of colorectal cancer patients: quality of life and attitudes towards follow-up. British Journal of Cancer, 1997, 75, 914-920.	2.9	117
265	A role for the sick role. Patient preferences regarding information and participation in clinical decision-making. Cmaj, 1997, 157, 383-9.	0.9	32
266	Assessment of values, utilities and preferences in cancer patients. Cancer Treatment Reviews, 1996, 22, 13-26.	3.4	32
267	Surveillance versus adjuvant chemotherapy in stage I non-seminomatous testicular cancer: A decision analysis. European Journal of Cancer, 1996, 32, 2267-2274.	1.3	29
268	The â€`Utility' of the Visual Analog Scale in Medical Decision Making and Technology Assessment: <i>Is It an Alternative to the Time Trade-off?</i> i>. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 1996, 12, 291-298.	0.2	88
269	Tradeoffs between Quality and Quantity of Life. Medical Decision Making, 1996, 16, 184-192.	1.2	81
270	Explaining Distortions in Utility Elicitation through the Rank-dependent Model for Risky Choices. Medical Decision Making, 1995, 15, 180-186.	1.2	102

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
271	The "utility―of the time trade-off method in cancer patients: Feasibility and proportional trade-off. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 1995, 48, 1207-1214.	2.4	97
272	Utility Assessment in Cancer Patients. Medical Decision Making, 1994, 14, 82-90.	1.2	159
273	Choices in oncology: factors that influence patients' treatment preference. Quality of Life Research, 1994, 3, 175-182.	1.5	44
274	Follow-Up of Patients with Colorectal Cancer A Meta-Analysis. Annals of Surgery, 1994, 219, 174-182.	2.1	294
275	Second Cancer Risk Following Testicular Cancer. , 1994, , 359-369.		2
276	Test-Retest Reliabilities of Two Treatment-preference Instruments in Measuring Utilities. Medical Decision Making, 1993, 13, 133-140.	1.2	24
277	Second cancer risk following testicular cancer: a follow-up study of 1,909 patients Journal of Clinical Oncology, 1993, 11, 415-424.	0.8	249
278	Nutritional factors in colorectal cancer risk: A case-control study in majorca. International Journal of Cancer, 1991, 49, 161-167.	2.3	247
279	A population-based case-control study of colorectal cancer in majorca. I. Dietary factors. International Journal of Cancer, 1990, 45, 69-76.	2.3	243
280	Development and relative validity of a food frequency questionnaire for the estimation of intake of retinol and $\hat{l}^2 \hat{a} \in \mathfrak{c}$ arotene. Nutrition and Cancer, 1989, 12, 289-299.	0.9	12
281	Perspectives of people living with Parkinson's disease on personalized prediction models. Health Expectations, 0, , .	1.1	1