Anne M Stiggelbout

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

281 papers	16,299 citations	15495 65 h-index	20943 115 g-index
290 all docs	290 docs citations	290 times ranked	16148 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Shared decision making: really putting patients at the centre of healthcare. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2012, 344, e256-e256.	2.4	709
2	Assessment of autonomic dysfunction in Parkinson's disease: The SCOPA-AUT. Movement Disorders, 2004, 19, 1306-1312.	2.2	598
3	Impact of Short-Term Preoperative Radiotherapy on Health-Related Quality of Life and Sexual Functioning in Primary Rectal Cancer: Report of a Multicenter Randomized Trial. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2005, 23, 1847-1858.	0.8	583
4	Shared decision making: Concepts, evidence, and practice. Patient Education and Counseling, 2015, 98, 1172-1179.	1.0	555
5	Systematic evaluation of rating scales for impairment and disability in Parkinson's disease. Movement Disorders, 2002, 17, 867-876.	2.2	526
6	Cosmesis and body image after laparoscopic-assisted and open ileocolic resection for Crohn's disease. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 1998, 12, 1334-1340.	1.3	328
7	Assessment of cognition in Parkinsonâ€™s disease. Neurology, 2003, 61, 1222-1228.	1.5	311
8	What is the impact of fecal incontinence on quality of life?. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2001, 44, 67-71.	0.7	309
9	Follow-Up of Patients with Colorectal Cancer A Meta-Analysis. Annals of Surgery, 1994, 219, 174-182.	2.1	294
10	Patient-reported autonomic symptoms in Parkinson disease. Neurology, 2007, 69, 333-341.	1.5	274
11	Second cancer risk following testicular cancer: a follow-up study of 1,909 patients.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 1993, 11, 415-424.	0.8	249
12	Nutritional factors in colorectal cancer risk: A case-control study in majorca. International Journal of Cancer, 1991, 49, 161-167.	2.3	247
13	A population-based case-control study of colorectal cancer in majorca. I. Dietary factors. International Journal of Cancer, 1990, 45, 69-76.	2.3	243
14	Health related quality of life in Parkinson's disease: a systematic review of disease specific instruments. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry, 2002, 72, 241-248.	0.9	228
15	Assessment of Sleep and Sleepiness in Parkinson Disease. Sleep, 2003, 26, 1049-1054.	0.6	226
16	Risk factors for sexual dysfunction after rectal cancer treatment. European Journal of Cancer, 2009, 45, 1578-1588.	1.3	217
17	The Utility of Health States After Stroke. Stroke, 2001, 32, 1425-1429.	1.0	213
18	Salzburg statement on shared decision making. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2011, 342, d1745-d1745.	2.4	213

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry, 2007, 78, 1182-1187.	0.9	204
20	Key components of shared decision making models: a systematic review. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e031763.	0.8	198
21	Clarifying values: an updated review. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2013, 13, S8.	1.5	188
22	Reliability and validity of the Beck depression inventory in patients with Parkinson's disease. Movement Disorders, 2006, 21, 668-672.	2.2	184
23	Collaborative deliberation: A model for patient care. Patient Education and Counseling, 2014, 97, 158-164.	1.0	181
24	A short scale for the assessment of motor impairments and disabilities in Parkinson's disease: the SPES/SCOPA. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry, 2004, 75, 388-395.	0.9	178
25	Evaluation of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale in Patients With Parkinson's Disease. Clinical Neuropharmacology, 2002, 25, 318-324.	0.2	164
26	Utility Assessment in Cancer Patients. Medical Decision Making, 1994, 14, 82-90.	1.2	159
27	Clinical Nature and Prognosis of Locally Recurrent Rectal Cancer After Total Mesorectal Excision With or Without Preoperative Radiotherapy. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2004, 22, 3958-3964.	0.8	159
28	Patient Preference for Cancer Therapy: An Overview of Measurement Approaches. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2001, 19, 220-230.	0.8	157
29	Shared Decision Making and the Importance of Time. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2019, 322, 25.	3.8	143
30	The quality of instruments to assess the process of shared decision making: A systematic review. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0191747.	1.1	126
31	Follow-up of colorectal cancer patients: quality of life and attitudes towards follow-up. British Journal of Cancer, 1997, 75, 914-920.	2.9	117
32	Patient's needs and preferences in routine follow-up after treatment for breast cancer. British Journal of Cancer, 2004, 90, 1144-1150.	2.9	116
33	Scientific Contribution. Empirical data and moral theory. A plea for integrated empirical ethics. Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy, 2004, 7, 55-69.	0.9	112
34	Implicit normativity in evidence-based medicine: a plea for integrated empirical ethics research. Health Care Analysis, 2003, 11, 69-92.	1.4	110
35	Dutch Translation and Psychometric Testing of the 9-Item Shared Decision Making Questionnaire (SDM-Q-9) and Shared Decision Making Questionnaire-Physician Version (SDM-Q-Doc) in Primary and Secondary Care. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0132158.	1.1	109
36	Health State Valuations of Patients and the General Public Analytically Compared: A Meta-Analytical Comparison of Patient and Population Health State Utilities. Value in Health, 2010, 13, 306-309.	0.1	104

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Explaining Distortions in Utility Elicitation through the Rank-dependent Model for Risky Choices. Medical Decision Making, 1995, 15, 180-186.	1.2	102
38	Correcting Biases in Standard Gamble and Time Tradeoff Utilities. Medical Decision Making, 2004, 24, 511-517.	1.2	102
39	A comprehensive model of health-related quality of life in Parkinsonâ€™s disease. Journal of Neurology, 2008, 255, 1580-1587.	1.8	101
40	The â€œutilityâ€•of the time trade-off method in cancer patients: Feasibility and proportional trade-off. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 1995, 48, 1207-1214.	2.4	97
41	Response shift in quality of life measurement in early-stage breast cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy. Quality of Life Research, 2000, 9, 603-615.	1.5	97
42	A short psychosocial questionnaire for patients with Parkinson's disease. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2003, 56, 61-67.	2.4	97
43	Assessment of psychiatric complications in Parkinson's disease: The SCOPAâ€PC. Movement Disorders, 2007, 22, 2221-2228.	2.2	96
44	How to integrate individual patient values and preferences in clinical practice guidelines? A research protocol. Implementation Science, 2010, 5, 10.	2.5	96
45	Palliative chemotherapy or best supportive care? A prospective study explaining patients' treatment preference and choice. British Journal of Cancer, 2003, 89, 2219-2226.	2.9	95
46	Importance of clarifying patients' desired role in shared decision making to match their level of engagement with their preferences. BMJ, The, 2013, 347, f7066-f7066.	3.0	94
47	Ideals of patient autonomy in clinical decision making: a study on the development of a scale to assess patients' and physicians' views. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2004, 30, 268-274.	1.0	92
48	Clinician and cancer patient views on patient participation in treatment decision-making: a quantitative and qualitative exploration. British Journal of Cancer, 2008, 99, 875-882.	2.9	89
49	The â€˜Utilityâ€™ of the Visual Analog Scale in Medical Decision Making and Technology Assessment: Is It an Alternative to the Time Trade-off?. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 1996, 12, 291-298.	0.2	88
50	Patients' Utilities for Cancer Treatments. Medical Decision Making, 1998, 18, 391-399.	1.2	86
51	Patientsâ€™ preferences for adjuvant chemotherapy in early-stage breast cancer: is treatment worthwhile?. British Journal of Cancer, 2001, 84, 1577-1585.	2.9	85
52	Unstable Preferences:. Medical Decision Making, 2000, 20, 62-71.	1.2	82
53	Tradeoffs between Quality and Quantity of Life. Medical Decision Making, 1996, 16, 184-192.	1.2	81
54	Cost-Utility Analysis of Preoperative Radiotherapy in Patients With Rectal Cancer Undergoing Total Mesorectal Excision: A Study of the Dutch Colorectal Cancer Group. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2004, 22, 244-253.	0.8	81

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Validity of Adjuvant! Online program in older patients with breast cancer: a population-based study. Lancet Oncology, The, 2014, 15, 722-729.	5.1	81
56	Predicting and Communicating the Risk of Recurrence and Death in Women With Early-Stage Breast Cancer: A Systematic Review of Risk Prediction Models. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2014, 32, 238-250.	0.8	80
57	Challenges in shared decision making in advanced cancer care: a qualitative longitudinal observational and interview study. Health Expectations, 2017, 20, 69-84.	1.1	80
58	Different formats for communicating surgical risks to patients and the effect on choice of treatment. Patient Education and Counseling, 2004, 54, 255-263.	1.0	78
59	Cochlear Implant Outcomes and Quality of Life in Adults with Prelingual Deafness. Laryngoscope, 2007, 117, 1982-1987.	1.1	77
60	Development of a Decision Aid about fertility preservation for women with breast cancer in the Netherlands. Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2013, 34, 170-178.	1.1	77
61	How can clinical practice guidelines be adapted to facilitate shared decision making? A qualitative key-informant study. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2013, 22, 855-863.	1.8	76
62	Shared decision making: Physiciansâ€™ preferred role, usual role and their perception of its key components. Patient Education and Counseling, 2020, 103, 77-82.	1.0	75
63	Self-reports of health-care utilization: Diary or questionnaire?. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2005, 21, 298-304.	0.2	74
64	Clinical Relevance of Quality of Life Outcome in Cochlear Implantation in Postlingually Deafened Adults. Otology and Neurotology, 2008, 29, 615-621.	0.7	69
65	Cultural targeting and tailoring of shared decision making technology: A theoretical framework for improving the effectiveness of patient decision aids in culturally diverse groups. Social Science and Medicine, 2014, 105, 1-8.	1.8	69
66	Deciding about (neo-)adjuvant rectal and breast cancer treatment: Missed opportunities for shared decision making. Acta OncolÃ³gica, 2016, 55, 134-139.	0.8	68
67	Patientsâ€™ preferences for surgical and adjuvant systemic treatment in early breast cancer: A systematic review. Cancer Treatment Reviews, 2014, 40, 1005-1018.	3.4	66
68	Cervical cancer survivorsâ€™ and partnersâ€™ experiences with sexual dysfunction and psychosexual support. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2016, 24, 1679-1687.	1.0	66
69	Cost Measurement in Economic Evaluations of Health Care. Medical Care, 2004, 42, 740-746.	1.1	61
70	Health State Utilities: A Framework for Studying the Gap Between the Imagined and the Real. Value in Health, 2008, 11, 76-87.	0.1	60
71	Opening the psychological black box in genetic counseling. The psychological impact of DNA testing is predicted by the counselees' perception, the medical impact by the pathogenic or uninformative BRCA1/2â€result. Psycho-Oncology, 2012, 21, 29-42.	1.0	60
72	The construction of standard gamble utilities. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2008, 17, 31-40.	0.8	59

#	Article	IF	Citations
73	Review of Determinants of Patientsâ€™ Preferences for Adjuvant Therapy in Cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2004, 22, 3181-3190.	0.8	58
74	Shared decision making, a buzz-word in the Netherlands, the pace quickens towards nationwide implementationâ€¦. Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen, 2017, 123-124, 69-74.	0.7	56
75	Stability of Patients' Preferences for Chemotherapy: The Impact of Experience. Medical Decision Making, 2001, 21, 295-306.	1.2	55
76	Theory-informed design of values clarification methods: A cognitive psychological perspective on patient health-related decision making. Social Science and Medicine, 2013, 77, 156-163.	1.8	54
77	Quality of Life in 807ÂPatients with Vestibular Schwannoma: Comparing Treatment Modalities. Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, 2017, 157, 92-98.	1.1	54
78	The impact of a restrictive transfusion trigger on post-operative complication rate and well-being following elective orthopaedic surgery: a post-hoc analysis of a randomised study. Blood Transfusion, 2013, 11, 289-95.	0.3	54
79	Use of implicit persuasion in decision making about adjuvant cancer treatment: A potential barrier to shared decision making. European Journal of Cancer, 2016, 66, 55-66.	1.3	53
80	What Should Be Reported in a Methods Section on Utility Assessment?. Medical Decision Making, 2001, 21, 200-207.	1.2	53
81	A Longitudinal Evaluation of Health-Related Quality of Life of Patients with Parkinson's Disease. Value in Health, 2009, 12, 392-396.	0.1	52
82	OPTION5 versus OPTION12 instruments to appreciate the extent to which healthcare providers involve patients in decision-making. Patient Education and Counseling, 2016, 99, 1062-1068.	1.0	52
83	Exploring the Reference Point in Prospect Theory: Gambles for Length of Life. Medical Decision Making, 2006, 26, 338-346.	1.2	51
84	Effects of magnetic stimulation in the treatment of pelvic floor dysfunction. BJU International, 2006, 97, 1035-1038.	1.3	48
85	Benefit from preoperative radiotherapy in rectal cancer treatment: disease-free patients' and oncologists' preferences. British Journal of Cancer, 2007, 97, 717-724.	2.9	48
86	Patients' preferences for low rectal cancer surgery. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2008, 34, 42-48.	0.5	48
87	A whisper-game perspective on the family communication of DNA-test results: a retrospective study on the communication process of BRCA1/2-test results between proband and relatives. Familial Cancer, 2011, 10, 87-96.	0.9	48
88	Difference in quality of life, fatigue and societal participation between living and deceased donor kidney transplant recipients. Clinical Transplantation, 2013, 27, E415-23.	0.8	47
89	Treatment preferences and involvement in treatment decision making of patients with endometrial cancer and clinicians. British Journal of Cancer, 2014, 111, 674-679.	2.9	47
90	Does the Use of a Decision Aid Improve Decision Making in Prosthetic Heart Valve Selection?. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2017, 10, .	0.9	47

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	Integrating evidence on patient preferences in healthcare policy decisions: protocol of the patient-VIP study. Implementation Science, 2013, 8, 64.	2.5	46
92	Considering patient values and treatment preferences enhances patient involvement in rectal cancer treatment decision making. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2015, 117, 338-342.	0.3	45
93	Sexual issues among cervical cancer survivors: how can we help women seek help?. Psycho-Oncology, 2015, 24, 458-464.	1.0	45
94	Choices in oncology: factors that influence patients' treatment preference. Quality of Life Research, 1994, 3, 175-182.	1.5	44
95	Value of the time trade off method for measuring utilities in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, 2000, 59, 892-897.	0.5	44
96	Barriers and facilitators to implement shared decision making in multidisciplinary sciatica care: a qualitative study. Implementation Science, 2013, 8, 95.	2.5	44
97	Understanding Statin Non-Adherence: Knowing Which Perceptions and Experiences Matter to Different Patients. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0146272.	1.1	44
98	The impact of the perception of treatment choice on satisfaction with treatment, experienced chemotherapy burden and current quality of life. British Journal of Cancer, 2004, 91, 56-61.	2.9	43
99	The relation between illness cognitions and quality of life in people with and without a stoma following rectal cancer treatment. Psycho-Oncology, 2011, 20, 428-434.	1.0	42
100	Reduced quality of life in living kidney donors: association with fatigue, societal participation and pre-donation variables. Transplant International, 2012, 25, 967-975.	0.8	42
101	Women's experiences with information provision and deciding about fertility preservation in the Netherlands: â€˜satisfaction in general, but unmet needsâ€™. Health Expectations, 2015, 18, 956-968.	1.1	42
102	Minimally important change values of a measurement instrument depend more on baseline values than on the type of intervention. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2015, 68, 518-524.	2.4	41
103	The EORTC QLQ-CR29 quality of life questionnaire for colorectal cancer: validation of the Dutch version. Quality of Life Research, 2016, 25, 1853-1858.	1.5	41
104	Achieving consensus on the definition of conversion to laparotomy: a Delphi study among general surgeons, gynecologists, and urologists. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2013, 27, 4631-4639.	1.3	40
105	Long-Term Morbidity and Quality of Life in Cervical Cancer Survivors: A Multicenter Comparison Between Surgery and Radiotherapy as Primary Treatment. International Journal of Gynecological Cancer, 2017, 27, 350-356.	1.2	40
106	Preoperative risk information and patient involvement in surgical treatment for rectal and sigmoid cancer. Colorectal Disease, 2014, 16, O43-9.	0.7	39
107	Advance Care Planning in Glioblastoma Patients. Cancers, 2016, 8, 102.	1.7	39
108	Disclosing the Uncertainty Associated with Prognostic Estimates in Breast Cancer. Medical Decision Making, 2017, 37, 179-192.	1.2	39

#	Article	IF	Citations
109	What makes a patient ready for Shared Decision Making? A qualitative study. Patient Education and Counseling, 2021, 104, 571-577.	1.0	39
110	Stability of Patients' Preferences for Chemotherapy: The Impact of Experience. Medical Decision Making, 2001, 21, 295-306.	1.2	39
111	Differences in the Valuation of Birth Outcomes Among Pregnant Women, Mothers, and Obstetricians. Birth, 1999, 26, 178-183.	1.1	38
112	Effective follow-up consultations: the importance of patient-centered communication and shared decision making. Paediatric Respiratory Reviews, 2013, 14, 224-228.	1.2	38
113	Accuracy of the online prognostication tools PREDICT and Adjuvant! for early-stage breast cancer patients younger than 50 years. European Journal of Cancer, 2017, 78, 37-44.	1.3	38
114	Preferred and Perceived Participation of Younger and Older Patients in Decision Making About Treatment for Early Breast Cancer: A Prospective Study. Clinical Breast Cancer, 2018, 18, e245-e253.	1.1	38
115	Endocrine Therapy for Breast Cancer: Assessing anÂArray of Women's Treatment Experiences andÂPerceptions, Their Perceived Self-Efficacy andÂNonadherence. Clinical Breast Cancer, 2014, 14, 460-467.e2.	1.1	37
116	Factors affecting patientsâ€™ perceptions of choice regarding adjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2006, 99, 35-45.	1.1	36
117	How important is the opinion of significant others to cancer patientsâ€™ adjuvant chemotherapy decision-making?. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2007, 15, 319-325.	1.0	36
118	Accelerating implementation of shared decision-making in the Netherlands: An exploratory investigation. Patient Education and Counseling, 2018, 101, 2097-2104.	1.0	36
119	Shared decision making in oncology: A model based on patients', health care professionals', and researchers' views. Psycho-Oncology, 2019, 28, 139-146.	1.0	36
120	Perceiving cancer-risks and heredity-likelihood in genetic-counseling: how counselees recall and interpret BRCA 1/2-test results. Clinical Genetics, 2011, 79, 207-218.	1.0	35
121	Trade-off preferences regarding adjuvant endocrine therapy among women with estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. Annals of Oncology, 2013, 24, 2324-2329.	0.6	34
122	Psychosexual support for gynecological cancer survivors: professionalsâ€™ current practices and need for assistance. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2015, 23, 831-839.	1.0	34
123	Family communication matters: The impact of telling relatives about unclassified variants and uninformative DNA-test results. Genetics in Medicine, 2011, 13, 333-341.	1.1	33
124	Optimal Treatment Strategy in Rectal Cancer Surgery: Should We Be Cowboys or Chickens?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 22, 3582-3589.	0.7	33
125	A Prospective Comparison of Younger and Older Patients' Preferences for Adjuvant Chemotherapy and Hormonal Therapy in Early Breast Cancer. Clinical Breast Cancer, 2016, 16, 379-388.	1.1	33
126	Assessment of values, utilities and preferences in cancer patients. Cancer Treatment Reviews, 1996, 22, 13-26.	3.4	32

#	Article	IF	Citations
127	Assessing comorbidity in patients with Parkinson's disease. Movement Disorders, 2004, 19, 824-828.	2.2	32
128	A role for the sick role. Patient preferences regarding information and participation in clinical decision-making. Cmaj, 1997, 157, 383-9.	0.9	32
129	Short-term Menopausal Hormone Therapy for Symptom Relief. Archives of Internal Medicine, 2004, 164, 1634.	4.3	31
130	Postoperative anemia after joint replacement surgery is not related to quality of life during the first two weeks postoperatively. Transfusion, 2011, 51, 71-81.	0.8	31
131	Exploring the short-term impact of DNA-testing in breast cancer patients: The counseleesâ€™ perception matters, but the actual BRCA1/2 result does not. Patient Education and Counseling, 2012, 86, 239-251.	1.0	31
132	Sexual distress and associated factors among cervical cancer survivors: A crossâ€sectional multicenter observational study. Psycho-Oncology, 2017, 26, 1470-1477.	1.0	31
133	The Impact of Diagnosis and Treatment of Rectal Cancer on Paid and Unpaid Labor. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2005, 48, 1875-1882.	0.7	30
134	Choice-Based Evaluation for the Improvement of Upper-Extremity Function Compared With Other Impairments in Tetraplegia. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 2005, 86, 1623-1630.	0.5	30
135	Professionalsâ€™ opinion on follow-up in breast cancer patients; perceived purpose and influence of patientsâ€™ risk factors. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2011, 37, 217-224.	0.5	30
136	Decision making around living and deceased donor kidney transplantation: a qualitative study exploring the importance of expected relationship changes. BMC Nephrology, 2012, 13, 103.	0.8	30
137	Role of patient preferences in clinical practice guidelines: a multiple methods study using guidelines from oncology as a case. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e032483.	0.8	30
138	Surveillance versus adjuvant chemotherapy in stage I non-seminomatous testicular cancer: A decision analysis. European Journal of Cancer, 1996, 32, 2267-2274.	1.3	29
139	Explaining Differences in Attitude Toward Adjuvant Chemotherapy Between Experienced and Inexperienced Breast Cancer Patients. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2005, 23, 6623-6630.	0.8	28
140	Activity-Based Diary for Parkinson's Disease. Clinical Neuropharmacology, 2002, 25, 43-50.	0.2	27
141	Disentangling breast cancer patients' perceptions and experiences with regard to endocrine therapy: Nature and relevance for non-adherence. Breast, 2013, 22, 661-666.	0.9	27
142	Decision consultations on preoperative radiotherapy for rectal cancer: large variation in benefits and harms that are addressed. British Journal of Cancer, 2015, 112, 39-43.	2.9	27
143	Patientsâ€™ needs and preferences in routine follow-up for early breast cancer; an evaluation of the changing role of the nurse practitioner. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2011, 37, 765-773.	0.5	26
144	Shared decision making in the Netherlands, is the time ripe for nationwide, structural implementation?. Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen, 2011, 105, 283-288.	0.7	26

#	Article	IF	Citations
145	The implementation of value-based healthcare: a scoping review. BMC Health Services Research, 2022, 22, 270.	0.9	26
146	Adaptive Conjoint Analysis as individual preference assessment tool: Feasibility through the internet and reliability of preferences. Patient Education and Counseling, 2010, 78, 224-233.	1.0	25
147	A counselee-oriented perspective on risk communication in genetic counseling: Explaining the inaccuracy of the counselees' risk perception shortly after BRCA1/2 test result disclosure. Genetics in Medicine, 2011, 13, 800-811.	1.1	25
148	Long-term Pelvic Floor Function and Quality of Life After Radical Surgery for Cervical Cancer: A Multicenter Comparison Between Different Techniques for Radical Hysterectomy With Pelvic Lymphadenectomy. International Journal of Gynecological Cancer, 2016, 26, 1538-1543.	1.2	25
149	Test-Retest Reliabilities of Two Treatment-preference Instruments in Measuring Utilities. Medical Decision Making, 1993, 13, 133-140.	1.2	24
150	Breast cancer specialistsâ€™ views on and use of risk prediction models in clinical practice: A mixed methods approach. Acta OncolÃ³gica, 2015, 54, 361-367.	0.8	24
151	Feasibility and effects of a decision aid about fertility preservation. Human Fertility, 2017, 20, 104-112.	0.7	24
152	Quadruple Decision Making for Parkinsonâ€™s Disease Patients: Combining Expert Opinion, Patient Preferences, Scientific Evidence, and Big Data Approaches to Reach Precision Medicine. Journal of Parkinson's Disease, 2020, 10, 223-231.	1.5	24
153	Cost-effectiveness analysis of colorectal cancer treatments. European Journal of Cancer, 2002, 38, 953-963.	1.3	23
154	The Impact of Individualized Evidence-Based Decision Support on Aneurysm Patients' Decision Making, Ideals of Autonomy, and Quality of Life. Medical Decision Making, 2008, 28, 751-762.	1.2	23
155	A scoping review of practice recommendations for cliniciansâ€™ communication of uncertainty. Health Expectations, 2021, 24, 1025-1043.	1.1	23
156	The effect of information on preferences stated in a choice-based conjoint analysis. Patient Education and Counseling, 2009, 74, 264-271.	1.0	22
157	A Delphi consensus study among patients and clinicians in the Netherlands on the procedure of informing young breast cancer patients about Fertility Preservation. Acta OncolÃ³gica, 2012, 51, 1062-1069.	0.8	22
158	Psychological factors and mental health in persons with spinal cord injury: An exploration of change or stability. Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine, 2015, 47, 531-537.	0.8	22
159	The bigger picture of shared decision making: A service design perspective using the care path of locally advanced pancreatic cancer as a case. Cancer Medicine, 2021, 10, 5907-5916.	1.3	22
160	Responsiveness of impairments and disabilities in Parkinson's disease. Parkinsonism and Related Disorders, 2006, 12, 314-318.	1.1	21
161	The Use of Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis Weight Elicitation Techniques in Patients with MildÂCognitive Impairment. Patient, 2008, 1, 127-135.	1.1	21
162	Oncologistsâ€™ practice and attitudes regarding fertility preservation in female cancer patients: a pilot study in the Netherlands. Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2013, 34, 129-132.	1.1	21

#	Article	IF	Citations
163	Evaluation of the Dutch version of the Parkinson's Disease Questionnaire 39. Parkinsonism and Related Disorders, 2008, 14, 24-27.	1.1	20
164	Response rate of patient reported outcomes: the delivery method matters. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2021, 21, 220.	1.4	20
165	Genetic counseling does not fulfill the counselees' need for certainty in hereditary breast/ovarian cancer families: an explorative assessment. Psycho-Oncology, 2013, 22, 1167-1176.	1.0	19
166	Values clarification in a decision aid about fertility preservation: does it add to information provision?. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2014, 14, 68.	1.5	19
167	Development and validation of the pelvic floor inventories Leiden (PelFIs). Neurourology and Urodynamics, 2008, 27, 301-305.	0.8	18
168	Focusing illusion, adaptation and EQâ€5D health state descriptions: the difference between patients and public. Health Expectations, 2012, 15, 367-378.	1.1	18
169	Which benefits and harms of preoperative radiotherapy should be addressed? A Delphi consensus study among rectal cancer patients and radiation oncologists. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2015, 114, 212-217.	0.3	18
170	Factors associated with frequency of discussion of or referral for counselling about fertility issues in female cancer patients. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2018, 27, e12602.	0.7	18
171	Uncertainty related to multigene panel testing for cancer: a qualitative study on counsellorsâ€™ and counseleesâ€™ views. Journal of Community Genetics, 2019, 10, 303-312.	0.5	18
172	The effect of individually assessed preference weights on the relationship between holistic utilities and nonpreference-based assessment. Quality of Life Research, 2000, 9, 541-557.	1.5	17
173	Adjuvant chemotherapy in node negative breast cancer: Patterns of use and oncologistsâ€™ preferences. Annals of Oncology, 2000, 11, 631-634.	0.6	17
174	Individual quality of life: adaptive conjoint analysis as an alternative for direct weighting?. Quality of Life Research, 2008, 17, 641-649.	1.5	17
175	Probabilities of benefit and harms of preoperative radiotherapy for rectal cancer: What do radiation oncologists tell and what do patients understand?. Patient Education and Counseling, 2015, 98, 1092-1098.	1.0	17
176	Patient explicit consideration of tradeoffs in decision making about rectal cancer treatment: benefits for decision process and quality of life. Acta OncolÃ³gica, 2019, 58, 1069-1076.	0.8	17
177	Cancer Patients' Preferences for Quantity or Quality of Life: German Translation and Validation of the Quality and Quantity Questionnaire. Oncology Research and Treatment, 2014, 37, 472-478.	0.8	16
178	Patients' and clinicians' perspectives on shared decisionâ€making regarding treatment decisions for depression, anxiety disorders, and obsessiveâ€compulsive disorder in specialized psychiatric care. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2020, 26, 645-658.	0.9	16
179	Anchor Levels as a New Tool for the Theory and Measurement of Multiattribute Utility. Decision Analysis, 2004, 1, 217-234.	1.2	15
180	A comprehensive representation of the birth-experience: identification and prioritization of birth-specific domains based on a mixed-method design. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2014, 14, 147.	0.9	15

#	Article	IF	Citations
181	Randomised study of tegafurâ€“uracil plus leucovorin versus capecitabine as first-line therapy in elderly patients with advanced colorectal cancer â€" TLC study. Journal of Geriatric Oncology, 2015, 6, 307-315.	0.5	15
182	Comprehensively Measuring Health-Related Subjective Well-Being: Dimensionality Analysis for Improved Outcome Assessment in Health Economics. Value in Health, 2016, 19, 167-175.	0.1	15
183	The potential of service design for improving the implementation of shared decision-making. Design for Health, 2017, 1, 194-209.	0.4	15
184	A prospective comparison of younger and older patients' preferences for breast-conserving surgery versus mastectomy in early breast cancer. Journal of Geriatric Oncology, 2018, 9, 170-173.	0.5	15
185	Psychological distress and quality of life following positive fecal occult blood testing in colorectal cancer screening. Psycho-Oncology, 2020, 29, 1084-1091.	1.0	15
186	Understanding VAS Valuations: Qualitative Data on the Cognitive Process. Quality of Life Research, 2005, 14, 2171-2175.	1.5	14
187	Feasibility of web-based decision aids in neurological patients. Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare, 2010, 16, 48-52.	1.4	14
188	Do individuals with and without depression value depression differently? And if so, why?. Quality of Life Research, 2015, 24, 2565-2575.	1.5	14
189	Treatment recommendations for older women with breast cancer: A survey among surgical, radiation and medical oncologists. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 43, 1288-1296.	0.5	14
190	A conceptual framework for patient-directed knowledge tools to support patient-centred care: Results from an evidence-informed consensus meeting. Patient Education and Counseling, 2019, 102, 1898-1904.	1.0	14
191	Shared decision-making in advanced kidney disease: a scoping review protocol. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e034142.	0.8	14
192	Development and validation of the PORTRET tool to predict recurrence, overall survival, and other-cause mortality in older patients with breast cancer in the Netherlands: a population-based study. The Lancet Healthy Longevity, 2021, 2, e704-e711.	2.0	14
193	Do consultants do what they say they do? Observational study of the extent to which clinicians involve their patients in the decision-making process. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e056471.	0.8	14
194	â€˜Check it out!â€™ Decision-making of vulnerable groups about participation in a two-stage cardiometabolic health check: A qualitative study. Patient Education and Counseling, 2015, 98, 234-244.	1.0	13
195	Oncologist, patient, and companion questions during pretreatment consultations about adjuvant cancer treatment: a shared decision-making perspective. Psycho-Oncology, 2017, 26, 943-950.	1.0	13
196	Womenâ€™s Acceptance of Overdetection in Breast Cancer Screening: Can We Assess Harm-Benefit Tradeoffs?. Medical Decision Making, 2020, 40, 42-51.	1.2	13
197	Identifying Entrustable Professional Activities for Shared Decision Making in Postgraduate Medical Education: A National Delphi Study. Academic Medicine, 2021, 96, 126-133.	0.8	13
198	Shared decision making in cancer treatment: A Dutch national survey on patients' preferences and perceptions. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2022, 31, e13534.	0.7	13

#	Article	IF	Citations
199	Shared decision making, patient-centered communication and patient satisfaction â€“ A cross-sectional analysis. Patient Education and Counseling, 2022, 105, 2145-2150.	1.0	13
200	Shared decision-making in the Netherlands: Progress is made, but not for all. Time to become inclusive to patients. Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen, 2022, 171, 98-104.	0.7	13
201	Development and relative validity of a food frequency questionnaire for the estimation of intake of retinol and Î²â€¢carotene. Nutrition and Cancer, 1989, 12, 289-299.	0.9	12
202	Methodologic evaluation of adaptive conjoint analysis to assess patient preferences: an application in oncology. Health Expectations, 2010, 13, 392-405.	1.1	12
203	Validation of the pelvic floor inventories Leiden (PelFIs) in English. Neurourology and Urodynamics, 2011, 30, 536-540.	0.8	12
204	Antidepressants in primary care: patients’ experiences, perceptions, self-efficacy beliefs, and nonadherence. Patient Preference and Adherence, 2014, 8, 179.	0.8	12
205	Good reliability and validity for a new utility instrument measuring the birth experience, the Labor and Delivery Index. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2015, 68, 1184-1194.	2.4	12
206	On how to define and measure SDM. Patient Education and Counseling, 2018, 101, 1307-1309.	1.0	12
207	Asking the right questions: towards a person-centered conception of shared decision-making regarding treatment of advanced chronic kidney disease in older patients. BMC Medical Ethics, 2022, 23, 47.	1.0	12
208	Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Interviewer Help Answering the Time Tradeoff. Medical Decision Making, 2014, 34, 655-665.	1.2	11
209	Primary-care patients' trade-off preferences with regard to antidepressants. Psychological Medicine, 2014, 44, 2301-2308.	2.7	11
210	Is There a Relationship between Shared Decision Making and Breast Cancer Patientsâ€™ Trust in Their Medical Oncologists?. Medical Decision Making, 2020, 40, 52-61.	1.2	11
211	Metastatic breast cancer in older patients: A longitudinal assessment of geriatric outcomes. Journal of Geriatric Oncology, 2020, 11, 969-975.	0.5	11
212	Why do medical residents prefer paternalistic decision making? An interview study. BMC Medical Education, 2022, 22, 155.	1.0	11
213	Evaluation of the Treatment Tradeoff Method in Rectal Cancer Patients: Is Surgery Preference Related to Outcome Utilities?. Medical Decision Making, 2008, 28, 888-898.	1.2	10
214	Uncertainty in consultations about genetic testing for cancer: an explorative observational study. Patient Education and Counseling, 2018, 101, 2083-2089.	1.0	10
215	The Cervical Radiculopathy Impact Scale: development and evaluation of a new functional outcome measure for cervical radicular syndrome. Disability and Rehabilitation, 2020, 42, 1894-1905.	0.9	10
216	â€˜We donâ€™t know for sureâ€™: discussion of uncertainty concerning multigene panel testing during initial cancer genetic consultations. Familial Cancer, 2020, 19, 65-76.	0.9	10

#	Article	IF	Citations
217	Laparoscopic Hysterectomy: Eliciting Preference of Performers andÂColleagues Via Conjoint Analysis. Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology, 2011, 18, 582-588.	0.3	9
218	Response and participation of underserved populations after a three-step invitation strategy for a cardiometabolic health check. BMC Public Health, 2015, 15, 854.	1.2	9
219	Validation of a Dutch Version of the Reproductive Concerns Scale (RCS) in Three Populations of Women. Health Care for Women International, 2015, 36, 1143-1159.	0.6	9
220	Attitude to health risk in the Canadian population: a cross-sectional survey. CMAJ Open, 2016, 4, E284-E291.	1.1	9
221	Do clinicians convey what they intend? Lay interpretation of verbal risk labels used in decision encounters. Patient Education and Counseling, 2020, 103, 418-422.	1.0	9
222	Measuring shared decision making in oncology: Development and first testing of the iSHAREpatient and iSHAREphysician questionnaires. Health Expectations, 2020, 23, 496-508.	1.1	9
223	How are patient-related characteristics associated with shared decision-making about treatment? A scoping review of quantitative studies. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e057293.	0.8	9
224	Development of an online patient decision aid for kidney failure treatment modality decisions. BMC Nephrology, 2022, 23, .	0.8	9
225	Clinical ethical dilemmas: convergent and divergent views of two scholarly communities. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2006, 32, 381-388.	1.0	8
226	Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Preferences in Dutch Community-dwelling and Hospitalized Elderly People. Medical Decision Making, 2000, 20, 423-429.	1.2	7
227	Valuing Health: Does Enriching a Scenario Lead to Higher Utilities?. Medical Decision Making, 2009, 29, 334-342.	1.2	7
228	Revisiting Decision Aids: About Definitions and Classifications. Medical Decision Making, 2010, 30, 696-698.	1.2	7
229	Determinants of participation in a cardiometabolic health check among underserved groups. Preventive Medicine Reports, 2016, 4, 33-43.	0.8	7
230	A randomized experimental study to test the effects of discussing uncertainty during cancer genetic counseling: different strategies, different outcomes?. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2021, 29, 789-799.	1.4	7
231	Disentangling the Babylonian speech confusion in genetic counseling: An analysis of the reliability and validity of the nomenclature for BRCA1/2 DNA-test results other than pathogenic. Genetics in Medicine, 2009, 11, 742-749.	1.1	6
232	Designing an implementation strategy to improve interprofessional shared decision making in sciatica: study protocol of the DISC study. Implementation Science, 2012, 7, 55.	2.5	6
233	Grading surgical skills curricula and training facilities for minimally invasive surgery. Gynecological Surgery, 2013, 10, 63-69.	0.9	6
234	Calculating Preference Weights for the Labor and Delivery Index: A Discrete Choice Experiment on Womenâ€™s Birth Experiences. Value in Health, 2015, 18, 856-864.	0.1	6

#	Article	IF	Citations
235	Patient and physician shared decision-making behaviors in oncology: Evidence on adequate measurement properties of the iSHARE questionnaires. Patient Education and Counseling, 2022, 105, 1089-1100.	1.0	6
236	Health State Classification Systems: How Comparable Are Our Cost-Effectiveness Ratios?. Medical Decision Making, 2006, 26, 223-225.	1.2	5
237	Stability of preferences with regard to adjuvant chemotherapy: impact of treatment decision, experience and the passing of time. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2007, 17, 070611034311008-???.	0.7	5
238	Societal preferences for standard health insurance coverage in the Netherlands: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open, 2012, 2, e001021.	0.8	5
239	Anticipated adaptation or scale recalibration?. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2013, 11, 171.	1.0	5
240	Do the benefits of statins outweigh their drawbacks? Assessing patients' trade-off preferences with conjoint analysis. International Journal of Cardiology, 2014, 176, 1220-1222.	0.8	5
241	Large variation in the use of defunctioning stomas after rectal cancer surgery. A lack of consensus. Acta OncolÃ³gica, 2016, 55, 509-515.	0.8	5
242	Utility approach to decision-making in extended T1 and limited T2 glottic carcinoma. Head and Neck, 2017, 39, 779-785.	0.9	5
243	Psychometric evaluation of the Health-Risk Attitude Scale (HRAS-13): assessing the reliability, dimensionality and validity in the general population and a patient population. Psychology and Health, 2022, 37, 34-50.	1.2	5
244	Choosing treatments and the role of shared decision-making. , 2020, , 283-316.		5
245	Estimating the Effect of Early Treatment Initiation in Parkinson's Disease Using Observational Data. Movement Disorders, 2021, 36, 407-414.	2.2	5
246	Implicit persuasion in medical decision-making. Journal of Argumentation in Context, 2018, 7, 209-227.	0.4	5
247	Shared Decision-making in Different Types of Decisions in Medical Specialist Consultations. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2022, 37, 2966-2972.	1.3	5
248	Patientsâ€™ preferred and perceived decision-making roles, and observed patient involvement in videotaped encounters with medical specialists. Patient Education and Counseling, 2022, 105, 2702-2707.	1.0	5
249	Missing forms and dropout in the TME quality of life substudy. Quality of Life Research, 2005, 14, 857-865.	1.5	4
250	First the facts, then the values? Implicit normativity in evidence-based decision aids for shared decision-making. Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen, 2008, 102, 415-420.	0.7	4
251	The Influence of Time and Adaptation on Health State Valuations in Patients With Spinal Cord Injury. Medical Decision Making, 2012, 32, 805-814.	1.2	4
252	Shared decision making: really putting patients at the centre of healthcare. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2012, 344, e863-e863.	2.4	4

#	Article	IF	Citations
253	Oncologistsâ€™ weighing of the benefits and side effects of adjuvant systemic therapy: Has it changed over time?. Acta OncolÃ³gica, 2015, 54, 956-959.	0.8	4
254	Patient-reported factors that influence the vestibular schwannoma treatment decision: a qualitative study. European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, 2021, 278, 3237-3244.	0.8	4
255	What Are Values, Utilities, and Preferences? A Clarification in the Context of Decision Making in Health Care, and an Exploration of Measurement Issues. , 2016, , 3-13.		4
256	Longâ€Term Quality of Life of Vestibular Schwannoma Patients: A Longitudinal Analysis. Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, 2023, 168, 210-217.	1.1	4
257	Effect of adaptive abilities on utilities, direct or mediated by mental health?. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2010, 8, 130.	1.0	3
258	Oncologists' Communication About Uncertain Information in Second Opinion Consultations: A Focused Qualitative Analysis. Frontiers in Psychology, 2021, 12, 635422.	1.1	3
259	Personalizing decision-making for persons with Parkinsonâ€™s disease: where do we stand and what to improve?. Journal of Neurology, 2022, , 1.	1.8	3
260	Decisionâ€making in the referral process of sonographers in primary care screening centers. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2016, 36, 555-560.	1.1	2
261	Communicating risk to patients in the emergency department. BMJ, The, 2016, 355, i6437.	3.0	2
262	Preferences for cervical cancer screening: The role of implicit associations. Patient Education and Counseling, 2018, 101, 310-317.	1.0	2
263	Clinical and sociodemographic associations with treatment selection in major depression. General Hospital Psychiatry, 2018, 54, 18-24.	1.2	2
264	COVID-19 and systemic anticancer therapy: exploiting uncertainty. Lancet Oncology, The, 2021, 22, 3-5.	5.1	2
265	Patients' and clinicians' preferences in adjuvant treatment for high-risk endometrial cancer: Implications for shared decision making. Gynecologic Oncology, 2021, 161, 727-733.	0.6	2
266	The impact of vestibular schwannoma and its management on employment. European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, 2022, 279, 2819-2826.	0.8	2
267	Second Cancer Risk Following Testicular Cancer. , 1994, , 359-369.		2
268	Fostering Patient Choice Awareness and Presenting Treatment Options Neutrally: A Randomized Trial to Assess the Effect on Perceived Room for Involvement in Decision Making. Medical Decision Making, 2022, 42, 375-386.	1.2	2
269	Effectiveness of Individual Feedback and Coaching on Shared Decision-making Consultations in Oncology Care: Protocol for a Randomized Clinical Trial. JMIR Research Protocols, 2022, 11, e35543.	0.5	2
270	What You May Learn From the Dutch Experience. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2005, 23, 3632-3633.	0.8	1

#	Article	IF	Citations
271	Towards Culturally Sensitive Shared Decision-Making in Oncology A Study Protocol Integrating Bioethical Qualitative Research on Shared Decision-Making Among Ethnic Minorities With Ethical Reflection. International Journal of Qualitative Methods, The, 2022, 21, 160940692210867.	1.3	1
272	Professionalsâ€™ Treatment Preferences in the Prodromal Phase of Parkinsonâ€™s Disease: A Discrete Choice Experiment. Journal of Parkinson's Disease, 2022, 12, 1655-1664.	1.5	1
273	Perspectives of people living with Parkinson's disease on personalized prediction models. Health Expectations, 0, , .	1.1	1
274	3.502 A comprehensive model of health-related quality of life in Parkinson's disease: Challenges for patient management. Parkinsonism and Related Disorders, 2007, 13, S187-S188.	1.1	0
275	Adjuvant! and Other Prediction Models in the Clinical Encounter with Cancer Patients. Medical Decision Making, 2010, 30, 422-423.	1.2	0
276	Authorsâ€™ response. Medical Decision Making, 2020, 40, 715-717.	1.2	0
277	Abstract PS6-08: The PORTRET-tool: A prediction tool for older patients with breast cancer that predicts recurrence, survival and other-cause mortality. , 2021, , .		0
278	Hoofdstuk 20 Kwaliteit van leven en de ziekte van Parkinson: klinimetrische eigenschappen van de Nederlandse versie van de Parkinson Disease Quality of Life Questionnaire 39. , 2004, , 247-262.		0
279	Onderzoek naar de kwaliteit van leven van kankerpatiÃ«nten. , 2011, , 617-623.		0
280	Patient role in SDM models: Re: Berger Z, Galasinski D, Scalia P, Dong K, Blunt HB, Elwyn G, â€œThe Submissive Silence of Others: Examining Definitions of Shared Decision Makingâ€•[Patient Educ Couns (2021) doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2021.10.026]. Patient Education and Counseling, 2022, , .	1.0	0
281	Leveraging the Similarities Between Cost-Effectiveness Analysis and Value-Based Healthcare. Value in Health, 2022, , .	0.1	0