

Danya F Vears

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5406250/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

84
papers

3,010
citations

218677

26
h-index

197818

49
g-index

87
all docs

87
docs citations

87
times ranked

3835
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Should we respect parents'™ views about which results to return from genomic sequencing?. <i>Human Genetics</i> , 2022, 141, 1059-1068.	3.8	6
2	Informing relatives of their genetic risk: an examination of the Belgian legal context. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2022, 30, 766-771.	2.8	8
3	A framework for reporting secondary and incidental findings in prenatal sequencing: When and for whom?. <i>Prenatal Diagnosis</i> , 2022, 42, 697-704.	2.3	10
4	Exploring Parent Support Needs during the Newborn Hearing Diagnosis Pathway. <i>Journal of Clinical Medicine</i> , 2022, 11, 1389.	2.4	3
5	Moving from 'fully'™ to 'appropriately'™ informed consent in genomics: The PROMICE framework. <i>Bioethics</i> , 2022, 36, 655-665.	1.4	10
6	The patient with 41 reports: Analysis of laboratory exome sequencing reporting of a 'virtual patient'™. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2022, 24, 1306-1315.	2.4	1
7	Clinicians'™ Views and Experiences with Offering and Returning Results from Exome Sequencing to Parents of Infants with Hearing Loss. <i>Journal of Clinical Medicine</i> , 2022, 11, 35.	2.4	4
8	Analysis of laboratory reporting practices using a quality assessment of a virtual patient. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2021, 23, 562-570.	2.4	8
9	Old Challenges or New Issues? Genetic Health Professionals'™ Experiences Obtaining Informed Consent in Diagnostic Genomic Sequencing. <i>AJOB Empirical Bioethics</i> , 2021, 12, 12-23.	1.6	20
10	Views on genomic research result delivery methods and informed consent: a review. <i>Personalized Medicine</i> , 2021, 18, 295-310.	1.5	4
11	Disclosure of genetic information to family members: a systematic review of normative documents. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2021, 23, 2038-2046.	2.4	15
12	The social shaping of a diagnosis in Next Generation Sequencing. <i>New Genetics and Society</i> , 2021, 40, 425-448.	1.2	5
13	Return of individual research results from genomic research: A systematic review of stakeholder perspectives. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2021, 16, e0258646.	2.5	32
14	GA4GH: International policies and standards for data sharing across genomic research and healthcare. <i>Cell Genomics</i> , 2021, 1, 100029.	6.5	94
15	Consent for rapid genomic sequencing for critically ill children: legal and ethical issues. <i>Monash Bioethics Review</i> , 2021, 39, 117-129.	0.8	5
16	Exploration of genetic health professional - laboratory specialist interactions in diagnostic genomic sequencing. <i>European Journal of Medical Genetics</i> , 2020, 63, 103749.	1.3	5
17	Genetic health professionals' experiences returning results from diagnostic genomic sequencing to patients. <i>Journal of Genetic Counseling</i> , 2020, 29, 807-815.	1.6	14
18	Members of the public in the USA, UK, Canada and Australia expressing genetic exceptionalism say they are more willing to donate genomic data. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2020, 28, 424-434.	2.8	29

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Digital tools for sharing genetic information with family members. <i>Lancet Oncology</i> , The, 2020, 21, 891-892.	10.7	1
20	Genetics experience impacts attitudes towards germline gene editing: a survey of over 1500 members of the public. <i>Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2020, 65, 1055-1065.	2.3	12
21	Human Genetics Society of Australasia Position Statement: Predictive and Presymptomatic Genetic Testing in Adults and Children. <i>Twin Research and Human Genetics</i> , 2020, 23, 184-189.	0.6	13
22	Communicating genetic information to family members: analysis of consent forms for diagnostic genomic sequencing. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2020, 28, 1160-1167.	2.8	7
23	Genetic health professionals' experiences with initiating reanalysis of genomic sequence data. <i>Familial Cancer</i> , 2020, 19, 273-280.	1.9	9
24	On the Epistemic Status of Prenatal Ultrasound: Are Ultrasound Scans Photographic Pictures?. <i>Journal of Medicine and Philosophy</i> , 2020, 45, 231-250.	0.8	2
25	Why genomics researchers are sometimes morally required to hunt for secondary findings. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , 2020, 21, 11.	2.4	10
26	Trust in genomic data sharing among members of the general public in the UK, USA, Canada and Australia. <i>Human Genetics</i> , 2019, 138, 1237-1246.	3.8	69
27	Searching for secondary findings: considering actionability and preserving the right not to know. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2019, 27, 1481-1484.	2.8	13
28	A Systematic Analysis of Online Marketing Materials Used by Providers of Expanded Carrier Screening. <i>Obstetrical and Gynecological Survey</i> , 2019, 74, 59-61.	0.4	0
29	Kufs disease due to mutation of <i>CLN6</i> : clinical, pathological and molecular genetic features. <i>Brain</i> , 2019, 142, 59-69.	7.6	28
30	Attitudes of publics who are unwilling to donate DNA data for research. <i>European Journal of Medical Genetics</i> , 2019, 62, 316-323.	1.3	53
31	Genuine participation in participant-centred research initiatives: the rhetoric and the potential reality. <i>Journal of Community Genetics</i> , 2018, 9, 133-142.	1.2	7
32	Key Implications of Data Sharing in Pediatric Genomics. <i>JAMA Pediatrics</i> , 2018, 172, 476.	6.2	29
33	Expanded carrier screening for monogenic disorders: where are we now?. <i>Prenatal Diagnosis</i> , 2018, 38, 59-66.	2.3	77
34	The challenges of the expanded availability of genomic information: an agenda-setting paper. <i>Journal of Community Genetics</i> , 2018, 9, 103-116.	1.2	45
35	Readability of informed consent forms for whole-exome and whole-genome sequencing. <i>Journal of Community Genetics</i> , 2018, 9, 143-151.	1.2	16
36	A systematic analysis of online marketing materials used by providers of expanded carrier screening. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2018, 20, 976-984.	2.4	13

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Predictive Psychiatric Genetic Testing in Minors: An Exploration of the Non-Medical Benefits. <i>Journal of Bioethical Inquiry</i> , 2018, 15, 111-120.	1.5	9
38	Points to consider for laboratories reporting results from diagnostic genomic sequencing. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2018, 26, 36-43.	2.8	58
39	Raw Genomic Data: Storage, Access, and Sharing. <i>Trends in Genetics</i> , 2018, 34, 8-10.	6.7	18
40	Health, wealth and behavioural change: an exploration of role responsibilities in the wake of epigenetics. <i>Journal of Community Genetics</i> , 2018, 9, 153-167.	1.2	18
41	Reproductive autonomy in expanded carrier screening: more than meets the eye?. <i>Expert Review of Molecular Diagnostics</i> , 2018, 18, 993-994.	3.1	6
42	Analysis of VUS reporting, variant reinterpretation and recontact policies in clinical genomic sequencing consent forms. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2018, 26, 1743-1751.	2.8	53
43	â€œYour DNA, Your Sayâ€™: global survey gathering attitudes toward genomics: design, delivery and methods. <i>Personalized Medicine</i> , 2018, 15, 311-318.	1.5	26
44	How do consent forms for diagnostic high-throughput sequencing address unsolicited and secondary findings? A content analysis. <i>Clinical Genetics</i> , 2018, 94, 321-329.	2.0	15
45	Unsolved challenges in pediatric whole-exome sequencing: A literature analysis. <i>Critical Reviews in Clinical Laboratory Sciences</i> , 2017, 54, 134-142.	6.1	21
46	Genomic newborn screening: public health policy considerations and recommendations. <i>BMC Medical Genomics</i> , 2017, 10, 9.	1.5	78
47	Growing complexity of (expanded) carrier screening: Direct-to-consumer, physician-mediated, and clinic-based offers. <i>Best Practice and Research in Clinical Obstetrics and Gynaecology</i> , 2017, 44, 57-67.	2.8	22
48	â€œThey Just Want to Knowâ€•Genetic Health Professionals' Beliefs About Why Parents Want to Know their Child's Carrier Status. <i>Journal of Genetic Counseling</i> , 2017, 26, 1314-1323.	1.6	2
49	Reporting practices for unsolicited and secondary findings from next-generation sequencing technologies: Perspectives of laboratory personnel. <i>Human Mutation</i> , 2017, 38, 905-911.	2.5	30
50	Reply to C Harling. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2017, 25, 1030-1030.	2.8	0
51	Public Views on Genetics and Genetic Testing: A Survey of the General Public in Belgium. <i>Genetic Testing and Molecular Biomarkers</i> , 2017, 21, 195-201.	0.7	29
52	Attitudes of European Geneticists Regarding Expanded Carrier Screening. <i>JOGNN - Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic, and Neonatal Nursing</i> , 2017, 46, 63-71.	0.5	26
53	Genetic epilepsy with febrile seizures plus. <i>Neurology</i> , 2017, 89, 1210-1219.	1.1	112
54	Reply to Kranendonk et al. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2017, 25, 166-167.	2.8	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Reporting practices for variants of uncertain significance from next generation sequencing technologies. <i>European Journal of Medical Genetics</i> , 2017, 60, 553-558.	1.3	83
56	Pre- and post-testing counseling considerations for the provision of expanded carrier screening: exploration of European geneticists' views. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , 2017, 18, 46.	2.4	14
57	Ethical sharing of health data in online platforms – which values should be considered?. <i>Life Sciences, Society and Policy</i> , 2017, 13, 12.	3.2	32
58	Parents' experiences with requesting carrier testing for their unaffected children. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2016, 18, 1199-1205.	2.4	8
59	Participation of Children in Medical Decision-Making: Challenges and Potential Solutions. <i>Journal of Bioethical Inquiry</i> , 2016, 13, 525-534.	1.5	31
60	Legal approaches regarding health-care decisions involving minors: implications for next-generation sequencing. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2016, 24, 1559-1564.	2.8	12
61	Why Do Parents Want to Know their Child's Carrier Status? A Qualitative Study. <i>Journal of Genetic Counseling</i> , 2016, 25, 1257-1266.	1.6	12
62	Designing expanded carrier screening panels: results of a qualitative study with European geneticists. <i>Personalized Medicine</i> , 2016, 13, 553-562.	1.5	10
63	Reflexivity and the clinician-researcher: managing participant misconceptions. <i>Qualitative Research Journal</i> , 2016, 16, 13-25.	0.7	20
64	Carrier testing in children: exploration of genetic health professionals' practices in Australia. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2015, 17, 380-385.	2.4	9
65	‘It's good to know’ Experiences of gene identification and result disclosure in familial epilepsies. <i>Epilepsy Research</i> , 2015, 112, 64-71.	1.6	11
66	Genome-based newborn screening: a conceptual analysis of the best interests of the child standard. <i>Personalized Medicine</i> , 2015, 12, 439-441.	1.5	3
67	Carrier testing in children and adolescents. <i>European Journal of Medical Genetics</i> , 2015, 58, 659-667.	1.3	11
68	Current Ethical Issues Related to the Implementation of Whole-Exome and Whole-Genome Sequencing. , 2015, , 481-497.		0
69	Abnormal Processing of Autophagosomes in Transformed B Lymphocytes from SCARB2-Deficient Subjects. <i>BioResearch Open Access</i> , 2013, 2, 40-46.	2.6	9
70	‘North Sea’ progressive myoclonus epilepsy: phenotype of subjects with GOSR2 mutation. <i>Brain</i> , 2013, 136, 1146-1154.	7.6	129
71	Clinical genetic study of the epilepsy-aphasia spectrum. <i>Epilepsia</i> , 2013, 54, 280-287.	5.1	44
72	Family studies of individuals with eyelid myoclonia with absences. <i>Epilepsia</i> , 2012, 53, 2141-2148.	5.1	32

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Clinical genetic studies in benign childhood epilepsy with centrotemporal spikes. <i>Epilepsia</i> , 2012, 53, 319-324.	5.1	49
74	Efficacy of the ketogenic diet: Which epilepsies respond?. <i>Epilepsia</i> , 2012, 53, e55-9.	5.1	77
75	Investigation of the 15q13.3 CNV as a genetic modifier for familial epilepsies with variable phenotypes. <i>Epilepsia</i> , 2011, 52, e139-e142.	5.1	9
76	Kufs Disease, the Major Adult Form of Neuronal Ceroid Lipofuscinosis, Caused by Mutations in CLN6. <i>American Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2011, 88, 566-573.	6.2	253
77	A Mutation in the Golgi Qb-SNARE Gene GOSR2 Causes Progressive Myoclonus Epilepsy with Early Ataxia. <i>American Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2011, 88, 657-663.	6.2	166
78	Familial mesial temporal lobe epilepsy: a benign epilepsy syndrome showing complex inheritance. <i>Brain</i> , 2010, 133, 3221-3231.	7.6	74
79	<i>SCARB2</i> mutations in progressive myoclonus epilepsy (PME) without renal failure. <i>Annals of Neurology</i> , 2009, 66, 532-536.	5.3	90
80	Neuropsychological and functional MRI studies provide converging evidence of anterior language dysfunction in BECTS. <i>Epilepsia</i> , 2009, 50, 2276-2284.	5.1	104
81	Array-Based Gene Discovery with Three Unrelated Subjects Shows <i>SCARB2</i> /LIMP-2 Deficiency Causes Myoclonus Epilepsy and Glomerulosclerosis. <i>American Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2008, 82, 673-684.	6.2	230
82	Action myoclonus-renal failure syndrome: A cause for worsening tremor in young adults. <i>Neurology</i> , 2006, 67, 1310-1311.	1.1	13
83	The non-neurologists' view on epilepsy syndromes classification. <i>Epileptic Disorders</i> , 2006, 8, 160-1.	1.3	0
84	Failure to confirm association of a polymorphism in <i>ABCB1</i> with multidrug-resistant epilepsy. <i>Neurology</i> , 2004, 63, 1090-1092.	1.1	273