## Penny Wright

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/540504/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

361413 361022 1,369 57 20 35 citations h-index g-index papers 57 57 57 2356 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Patients report improvements in continuity of care when quality of life assessments are used routinely in oncology practice: Secondary outcomes of a randomised controlled trial. European Journal of Cancer, 2010, 46, 2381-2388.	2.8	150
2	Health-Related Quality of Life After Colorectal Cancer in England: A Patient-Reported Outcomes Study of Individuals 12 to 36 Months After Diagnosis. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2015, 33, 616-624.	1.6	114
3	Quality of life in men living with advanced and localised prostate cancer in the UK: a population-based study. Lancet Oncology, The, 2019, 20, 436-447.	10.7	100
4	Integrating Patient Reported Outcomes With Clinical Cancer Registry Data: A Feasibility Study of the Electronic Patient-Reported Outcomes From Cancer Survivors (ePOCS) System. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2013, 15, e230.	4.3	80
5	Quality of Life After Bladder Cancer: A Cross-sectional Survey of Patient-reported Outcomes. European Urology, 2021, 79, 621-632.	1.9	77
6	Health-related quality of life after treatment for bladder cancer in England. British Journal of Cancer, 2018, 118, 1518-1528.	6.4	55
7	The Social Difficulties Inventory (SDI): development of subscales and scoring guidance for staff. Psycho-Oncology, 2011, 20, 36-43.	2.3	51
8	Ethnicity and the prostate cancer experience: a qualitative metasynthesis. Psycho-Oncology, 2016, 25, 1147-1156.	2.3	46
9	A Rasch and factor analysis of the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-General (FACT-G). Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2007, 5, 19.	2.4	45
10	Functional Outcomes and Health-Related Quality of Life After Curative Treatment for Rectal Cancer: A Population-Level Study in England. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2019, 103, 1132-1142.	0.8	43
11	Psychometric evaluation of the Revised Illness Perception Questionnaire (IPQ-R) in cancer patients: Confirmatory factor analysis and Rasch analysis. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 2013, 75, 556-562.	2.6	39
12	Illness perceptions within 6Âmonths of cancer diagnosis are an independent prospective predictor of health-related quality of life 15Âmonths post-diagnosis. Psycho-Oncology, 2015, 24, 1463-1470.	2.3	38
13	Evaluating patientâ€reported outcome measures ( <scp>PROM</scp> s) for bladder cancer: a systematic review using the <scp>CO</scp> nsensusâ€based Standards for the selection of health Measurement INstruments ( <scp>COSMIN</scp> ) checklist. BJU International, 2018, 122, 760-773.	2.5	34
14	Identifying Social Distress: A Cross-Sectional Survey of Social Outcomes 12 to 36 Months After Colorectal Cancer Diagnosis. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2015, 33, 3423-3430.	1.6	30
15	Feasibility test of a UK-scalable electronic system for regular collection of patient-reported outcome measures and linkage with clinical cancer registry data: The electronic Patient-reported Outcomes from Cancer Survivors (ePOCS) system. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2011, 11, 66.	3.0	28
16	Life after prostate cancer diagnosis: protocol for a UK-wide patient-reported outcomes study. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e013555.	1.9	27
17	Decision regret in men living with and beyond nonmetastatic prostate cancer in the United Kingdom: A populationâ€based patientâ€reported outcome study. Psycho-Oncology, 2020, 29, 886-893.	2.3	26
18	The economic burden of cancer in the UK: a study of survivors treated with curative intent. Psycho-Oncology, 2016, 25, 77-83.	2.3	24

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Measuring social difficulties in routine patient-centred assessment: a Rasch analysis of the social difficulties inventory. Quality of Life Research, 2007, 16, 823-831.	3.1	23
20	Developing a useful, user-friendly website for cancer patient follow-up: users' perspectives on ease of access and usefulness. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2012, 21, 747-757.	1.5	21
21	A Needs-led Framework for Understanding the Impact of Caring for a Family Member With Dementia. Gerontologist, The, 2018, 58, e68-e77.	3.9	21
22	Cancer-related symptoms, mental well-being, and psychological distress in men diagnosed with prostate cancer treated with androgen deprivation therapy. Quality of Life Research, 2019, 28, 2741-2751.	3.1	21
23	Factors influencing job loss and early retirement in working men with prostate cancer—findings from the population-based Life After Prostate Cancer Diagnosis (LAPCD) study. Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 2018, 12, 669-678.	2.9	20
24	Validation of an item bank for detecting and assessing psychological distress in cancer patients. Psycho-Oncology, 2009, 18, 195-199.	2.3	19
25	Measurement and interpretation of social distress using the social difficulties inventory (SDI). European Journal of Cancer, 2008, 44, 1529-1535.	2.8	18
26	Urinary, bowel and sexual health in older men from Northern Ireland. BJU International, 2018, 122, 845-857.	2.5	18
27	Traditional and Rasch psychometric analyses of the Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors (QLACS) questionnaire in shorter-term cancer survivors 15months post-diagnosis. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 2014, 77, 322-329.	2.6	15
28	Key factors associated with social distress after prostate cancer: Results from the United Kingdom Life after Prostate Cancer diagnosis study. Cancer Epidemiology, 2019, 60, 201-207.	1.9	15
29	Regional multidisciplinary team intervention programme to improve colorectal cancer outcomes: study protocol for the Yorkshire Cancer Research Bowel Cancer Improvement Programme (YCR BCIP). BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e030618.	1.9	15
30	Cancer patients' and clinicians' opinions on the best time in secondary care to approach patients for recruitment to longitudinal questionnaire-based research. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2012, 20, 3365-3372.	2.2	13
31	Managing social difficulties: roles and responsibilities of patients and staff. Psycho-Oncology, 2012, 21, 20-28.	2.3	13
32	Changing Current Practice in Urology: Improving Guideline Development and Implementation Through Stakeholder Engagement. European Urology, 2017, 72, 161-163.	1.9	13
33	Transforming discrete choice experiment latent scale values for EQ-5D-3L using the visual analogue scale. European Journal of Health Economics, 2020, 21, 787-800.	2.8	13
34	Development of an Item Pool for a Needs-Based Measure of Quality of Life of Carers of a Family Member with Dementia. Patient, 2019, 12, 125-136.	2.7	12
35	Routine assessment of social difficulties in cancer patients: are we opening Pandora's box?. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2009, 17, 1425-1432.	2.2	11
36	A Qualitative Exploration of Prostate Cancer Survivors Experiencing Psychological Distress: Loss of Self, Function, Connection, and Control. Oncology Nursing Forum, 2020, 47, 318-330.	1.2	9

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Score equivalence of electronic and paper versions of the Social Difficulties Inventory (SDI-21): a randomised crossover trial in cancer patients. Quality of Life Research, 2013, 22, 1435-1440.	3.1	8
38	Measuring Quality of Life in Carers of People With Dementia: Development and Psychometric Evaluation of Scales measuring the Impact of DEmentia on CARers (SIDECAR). Gerontologist, The, 2021, 61, e1-e11.	3.9	8
39	Healthâ€related quality of life in cancer survivorship: Predictive power of the Social Difficulties Inventory. Psycho-Oncology, 2017, 26, 1994-1997.	2.3	6
40	The psychological impact of being on a monitoring pathway for localised prostate cancer: A UKâ€wide mixed methods study. Psycho-Oncology, 2019, 28, 1567-1575.	2.3	6
41	Regional Variations in Quality of Survival Among Men with Prostate Cancer Across the United Kingdom. European Urology, 2019, 76, 228-237.	1.9	6
42	Life and bladder cancer: protocol for a longitudinal and cross-sectional patient-reported outcomes study of Yorkshire (UK) patients. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e030850.	1.9	6
43	Influence of deprivation and rurality on patient-reported outcomes of men living with and beyond prostate cancer diagnosis in the UK: A population-based study. Cancer Epidemiology, 2020, 69, 101830.	1.9	6
44	Translation of the Social Difficulties Inventory (SDI-21) into three south Asian languages and preliminary evaluation of SDI-21 (Urdu). Quality of Life Research, 2011, 20, 431-438.	3.1	4
45	Quality of life among symptomatic compared to PSA-detected prostate cancer survivors - results from a UK wide patient-reported outcomes study. BMC Cancer, 2019, 19, 947.	2.6	4
46	Experiences of Support for Sexual Dysfunction in Men with Prostate Cancer: Findings from a U.KWide Mixed Methods Study. Journal of Sexual Medicine, 2021, 18, 515-525.	0.6	4
47	A data flow process for confidential data and its application in a health research project. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0262609.	2.5	3
48	Measurement invariance of the 16-item social distress scale. Quality of Life Research, 2011, 20, 507-511.	3.1	2
49	Strategies for living well with hormone-responsive advanced prostate cancerâ€"a qualitative exploration. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2021, 29, 1317-1325.	2.2	2
50	Collection of cancer Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMS) to link with primary and secondary electronic care records to understand and improve long term cancer outcomes: A protocol paper. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0266804.	2.5	2
51	Using International Web Sites as a Cancer Resource. Cancer Practice, 2002, 10, 167-169.	0.7	1
52	Improvements in measuring the health-related quality of life of cancer patients. Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research, 2006, 6, 97-105.	1.4	1
53	The social difficulties of cancer patients of South Asian Indian and Pakistani origin: a cross-sectional questionnaire and interview study. BMJ Supportive and Palliative Care, 2011, 1, 154-161.	1.6	1
54	Maximising Recruitment to Randomised Controlled Trials: The Role of Qualitative Research to Inform Recruitment Challenges. European Urology, 2017, 72, 799-800.	1.9	1

## PENNY WRIGHT

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Stability of health-related quality of life and morbidity burden from 18Âmonths after diagnosis of prostate cancer: results of a UK-wide population-based outcome cohort. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2022, 30, 3151-3164.	2.2	1
56	Using the Clinical Research Network for psychosocial cancer research: lessons learned from two observational studies. BMJ Supportive and Palliative Care, 2014, 4, 202-211.	1.6	0
57	UK General Population Utility Values for the SIDECAR-D Instrument Measuring the Impact of Caring for People With Dementia. Value in Health, 2020, 23, 1079-1086.	0.3	0