Christian Arsov

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/538332/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

394421 361022 1,283 46 19 35 citations g-index h-index papers 49 49 49 1700 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Prospective Randomized Trial Comparing Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)-guided In-bore Biopsy to MRI-ultrasound Fusion and Transrectal Ultrasound-guided Prostate Biopsy in Patients with Prior Negative Biopsies. European Urology, 2015, 68, 713-720.	1.9	155
2	Prediction of High-grade Prostate Cancer Following Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging: Improving the Rotterdam European Randomized Study of Screening for Prostate Cancer Risk Calculators. European Urology, 2019, 75, 310-318.	1.9	129
3	Prospective Evaluation of Magnetic Resonance Imaging Guided In-bore Prostate Biopsy versus Systematic Transrectal Ultrasound Guided Prostate Biopsy in Biopsy NaÃve Men with Elevated Prostate Specific Antigen. Journal of Urology, 2014, 192, 1374-1379.	0.4	98
4	Magnetic resonance imaging of the prostate at 1.5 versus 3.0 T: A prospective comparison study of image quality. European Journal of Radiology, 2017, 90, 192-197.	2.6	80
5	MR-sequences for prostate cancer diagnostics: validation based on the PI-RADS scoring system and targeted MR-guided in-bore biopsy. European Radiology, 2014, 24, 2582-2589.	4.5	78
6	MRI-Guided In-Bore Biopsy: Differences Between Prostate Cancer Detection and Localization in Primary and Secondary Biopsy Settings. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2016, 206, 92-99.	2.2	54
7	Feasibility of diffusional kurtosis tensor imaging in prostate MRI for the assessment of prostate cancer: Preliminary results. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2014, 32, 880-885.	1.8	52
8	The use of targeted MR-guided prostate biopsy reduces the risk of Gleason upgrading on radical prostatectomy. Journal of Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology, 2015, 141, 2061-2068.	2.5	48
9	Prospective Randomized Evaluation of Risk-adapted Prostate-specific Antigen Screening in Young Men: The PROBASE Trial. European Urology, 2013, 64, 873-875.	1.9	43
10	Association of PITX2 mRNA down-regulation in prostate cancer with promoter hypermethylation and poor prognosis. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2013, 31, 622-627.	1.6	39
11	Risk Stratification of Equivocal Lesions on Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Prostate. Journal of Urology, 2018, 199, 691-698.	0.4	38
12	Predictive power of the ESUR scoring system for prostate cancer diagnosis verified with targeted MR-guided in-bore biopsy. European Journal of Radiology, 2014, 83, 2103-2108.	2.6	37
13	Hyoscine butylbromide significantly decreases motion artefacts and allows better delineation of anatomic structures in mp-MRI of the prostate. European Radiology, 2018, 28, 17-23.	4.5	34
14	Advanced diffusion weighted imaging of the prostate: Comparison of readout-segmented multi-shot, parallel-transmit and single-shot echo-planar imaging. European Journal of Radiology, 2020, 130, 109161.	2.6	29
15	Comparison of patient comfort between MR-guided in-bore and MRI/ultrasound fusion-guided prostate biopsies within a prospective randomized trial. World Journal of Urology, 2016, 34, 215-220.	2.2	23
16	A randomized trial of riskâ€adapted screening for prostate cancer in young men—Results of the first screening round of the ⟨scp⟩PROBASE⟨/scp⟩ trial. International Journal of Cancer, 2022, 150, 1861-1869.	5.1	23
17	Targeted MRI-guided prostate biopsy: are two biopsy cores per MRI-lesion required?. European Radiology, 2016, 26, 3858-3864.	4.5	21
18	Largeâ€scale evaluation of SLC18A2 in prostate cancer reveals diagnostic and prognostic biomarker potential at three molecular levels. Molecular Oncology, 2016, 10, 825-837.	4.6	20

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging can exclude prostate cancer progression in patients on active surveillance: a retrospective cohort study. European Radiology, 2020, 30, 6042-6051.	4.5	20
20	MRI grading for the prediction of prostate cancer aggressiveness. European Radiology, 2022, 32, 2351-2359.	4.5	20
21	Increased signal intensity of prostate lesions on high b-value diffusion-weighted images as a predictive sign of malignancy. European Radiology, 2014, 24, 209-213.	4.5	19
22	Value of Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced (DCE) MR Imaging in Peripheral Lesions in PI-RADS-4 Patients. RoFo Fortschritte Auf Dem Gebiet Der Rontgenstrahlen Und Der Bildgebenden Verfahren, 2020, 192, 441-447.	1.3	19
23	PSMA PET/CT vs. CT alone in newly diagnosed biochemical recurrence of prostate cancer after radical prostatectomy: Comparison of detection rates and therapeutic implications. European Journal of Radiology, 2021, 136, 109556.	2.6	17
24	Repeat transrectal ultrasound biopsies with additional targeted cores according to results of functional prostate MRI detects high-risk prostate cancer in patients with previous negative biopsy and increased PSA - a pilot study. Anticancer Research, 2012, 32, 1087-92.	1.1	16
25	Robotic Assisted Retroperitoneal Lymph Node Dissection for Small Volume Metastatic Testicular Cancer. Journal of Urology, 2020, 204, 1242-1248.	0.4	14
26	Magnetic resonance imaging improves the prediction of tumor staging in localized prostate cancer. Abdominal Radiology, 2021, 46, 2751-2759.	2.1	13
27	Influence of arterial input function (AIF) on quantitative prostate dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE) MRI and zonal prostate anatomy. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2018, 53, 28-33.	1.8	12
28	Comparison and prediction of artefact severity due to total hip replacement in 1.5ÂT versus 3ÂT MRI of the prostate. European Journal of Radiology, 2021, 144, 109949.	2.6	12
29	Holmium laser ablation of the prostate (HoLAP): intermediate-term results of 144 patients. World Journal of Urology, 2013, 31, 1253-1259.	2.2	11
30	Analysis of PI-RADS 4 cases: Management recommendations for negatively biopsied patients. European Journal of Radiology, 2019, 113 , 1 -6.	2.6	11
31	Pre-operative magnetic resonance imaging can predict prostate cancer with risk for positive surgical margins. Abdominal Radiology, 2022, 47, 2486-2493.	2.1	10
32	Impact of qualitative, semi-quantitative, and quantitative analyses of dynamic contrast-enhanced magnet resonance imaging on prostate cancer detection. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0249532.	2.5	9
33	Reasons for missing clinically significant prostate cancer by targeted magnetic resonance imaging/ultrasound fusion-guided biopsy. European Journal of Radiology, 2021, 137, 109587.	2.6	9
34	Value of <scp>T₂</scp> Mapping <scp>MRI</scp> for Prostate Cancer Detection and Classification. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2022, 56, 413-422.	3.4	8
35	Prognostic value of a cell-cycle progression score in men with prostate cancer managed with active surveillance after MRI-guided prostate biopsy-a pilot study. Anticancer Research, 2014, 34, 2459-66.	1.1	8
36	Is there a diagnostic benefit of late-phase abdomino-pelvic PET/CT after urination as part of whole-body 68ÂGa-PSMA-11 PET/CT for restaging patients with biochemical recurrence of prostate cancer after radical prostatectomy?. EJNMMI Research, 2022, 12, 12.	2.5	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Equivocal PI-RADS Three Lesions on Prostate Magnetic Resonance Imaging: Risk Stratification Strategies to Avoid MRI-Targeted Biopsies. Journal of Personalized Medicine, 2020, 10, 270.	2.5	7
38	Current second-line treatment options for patients with castration resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) resistant to docetaxel. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2012, 30, 762-771.	1.6	6
39	Current Utilization and Acceptance of Multiparametric MRI in the Diagnosis of Prostate Cancer. A Regional Survey. RoFo Fortschritte Auf Dem Gebiet Der Rontgenstrahlen Und Der Bildgebenden Verfahren, 2018, 190, 419-426.	1.3	6
40	Comparison of 3ÂT mpMRI and pelvic CT examinations for detection of lymph node metastases in patients with prostate cancer. European Journal of Radiology, 2022, 147, 110110.	2.6	6
41	Oncological outcome of patients treated with spot-specific salvage lymphnode dissection (sLND) for positron-emission tomography (PET)-positive prostate cancer (PCa) relapse. World Journal of Urology, 2019, 37, 2081-2090.	2.2	5
42	Arterial spin labelling as a gadolinium-free alternative in the detection of prostate cancer. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2021, 80, 33-38.	1.8	5
43	Single center analysis of an advisable control interval for follow-up of patients with PI-RADS category 3 in multiparametric MRI of the prostate. Scientific Reports, 2022, 12, 6746.	3.3	4
44	Comparison of analgesic techniques in MRI-guided in-bore prostate biopsy. European Radiology, 2019, 29, 6965-6970.	4.5	3
45	Arterial input function for quantitative dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI to diagnose prostate cancer. , 2022, 28, 108-114.		2
46	Can you trust the Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System (PI-RADS) in special cases?. RoFo Fortschritte Auf Dem Gebiet Der Rontgenstrahlen Und Der Bildgebenden Verfahren, 2020, 192, 580-583.	1.3	O