

# Natalie Blencowe

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5320489/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

68  
papers

12,648  
citations

331259

21  
h-index

110170

64  
g-index

72  
all docs

72  
docs citations

72  
times ranked

16060  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Development of a Reliable Surgical Quality Assurance System for 2-stage Esophagectomy in Randomized Controlled Trials. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2022, 275, 121-130.	2.1	16
2	Understanding stage of innovation of invasive procedures and devices: protocol for a systematic review and thematic analysis. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2022, 12, e057842.	0.8	0
3	Diagnostic Test Accuracy of Artificial Intelligence Models Used in Cross-Sectional Radiological Imaging of Surgical Pathology in the Abdominopelvic Cavity: A Systematic Review. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2022, 109, .	0.1	0
4	Interim Foundation Year One (FIY1) and preparedness for foundation year 1: A national survey of UK foundation doctors. <i>Medical Teacher</i> , 2022, 44, 622-628.	1.0	10
5	Identification of outcomes to inform the development of a core outcome set for surgical innovation: a targeted review of case studies of novel surgical devices. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2022, 12, e056003.	0.8	1
6	A systematic review of reporting quality for anaesthetic interventions in randomised controlled trials. <i>Anaesthesia</i> , 2021, 76, 832-836.	1.8	6
7	The Odon Device, for assisted vaginal birth: a feasibility study to investigate safety and efficacy The ASSIST II study. <i>Pilot and Feasibility Studies</i> , 2021, 7, 72.	0.5	7
8	Pregnancy outcomes following different types of bariatric surgery: A national cohort study. <i>European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology</i> , 2021, 260, 10-17.	0.5	12
9	Outcomes of the novel Odon Device in indicated operative vaginal birth. <i>American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology</i> , 2021, 224, 607.e1-607.e17.	0.7	15
10	Randomised controlled trial to establish the clinical and cost-effectiveness of expectant management versus preoperative imaging with magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography in patients with symptomatic gallbladder disease undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy at low or moderate risk of common bile duct stones (The Sunflower Study): a study protocol. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e044281.	0.8	7
11	Overnight, things changed. Suddenly, we were in it: a qualitative study exploring how surgical teams mitigated risks of COVID-19. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e046662.	0.8	3
12	Exploring the reporting standards of RCTs involving invasive procedures for assisted vaginal birth: A systematic review. <i>European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology</i> , 2021, 262, 166-173.	0.5	1
13	Making large-scale surgical trials possible: collaboration and the role of surgical trainees. <i>Trials</i> , 2021, 22, 567.	0.7	4
14	Placebo comparator group selection and use in surgical trials: the ASPIRE project including expert workshop. <i>Health Technology Assessment</i> , 2021, 25, 1-52.	1.3	6
15	Systematic review of the introduction, early phase study and evaluation of pyrocarbon proximal interphalangeal joint arthroplasty. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2021, 16, e0257497.	1.1	1
16	A Systematic Review of Minimally Invasive Trans-thoracic Liver Resection to Examine Intervention Description, Governance, and Outcome Reporting of an Innovative Technique. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2021, 273, 882-889.	2.1	8
17	Artificial intelligence as a diagnostic aid in cross-sectional radiological imaging of the abdominopelvic cavity: a protocol for a systematic review. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e054411.	0.8	2
18	Reporting Modifications in Surgical Innovation: A Systematic Scoping Review Protocol. <i>International Journal of Surgery Protocols</i> , 2021, 25, 250-256.	0.5	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	P-O05â€fDeconstructing Operations to Improve Recording of Surgical Training Experience. British Journal of Surgery, 2021, 108, .	0.1	0
20	Using qualitative research methods to understand how surgical procedures and devices are introduced into NHS hospitals: the Lotus study protocol. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e049234.	0.8	2
21	Womenâ€™s experiences of the Odon Device to assist vaginal birth and participation in intrapartum research: a qualitative study in a maternity unit in the Southwest of England. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e057023.	0.8	4
22	A modified Delphi process to establish future research priorities in malignant oesophagogastric surgery. Journal of the Royal College of Surgeons of Edinburgh, 2020, 18, 321-326.	0.8	9
23	Reporting of key methodological issues in placebo-controlled trials of surgery needs improvement: a systematic review. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 119, 109-116.	2.4	12
24	Protocol for a systematic review of reporting standards of anaesthetic interventions in randomised controlled trials. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e034372.	0.8	1
25	Optimizing the design of invasive placebo interventions in randomized controlled trials. British Journal of Surgery, 2020, 107, 1114-1122.	0.1	12
26	Considerations and methods for placebo controls in surgical trials (ASPIRE guidelines). Lancet, The, 2020, 395, 828-838.	6.3	54
27	Author response to: Comment on: Systematic review of the introduction and evaluation of magnetic augmentation of the lower oesophageal sphincter for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. British Journal of Surgery, 2020, 107, e210-e210.	0.1	0
28	Discussing surgical innovation with patients: a qualitative study of surgeonsâ€™ and governance representativesâ€™ views. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e035251.	0.8	10
29	What is an invasive procedure? A definition to inform study design, evidence synthesis and research tracking. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e028576.	0.8	55
30	A qualitative study to identify indicators of the quality of wound closure. Journal of Infection Prevention, 2019, 20, 214-223.	0.5	1
31	Exploring standardisation, monitoring and training of medical devices in assisted vaginal birth studies: protocol for a systematic review. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e028300.	0.8	5
32	Protocol for developing quality assurance measures to use in surgical trials: an example from the ROMIO study. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e026209.	0.8	18
33	Development of reporting guidance and core outcome sets for seamless, standardised evaluation of innovative surgical procedures and devices: a study protocol for content generation and a Delphi consensus process (COHESIVE study). BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e029574.	0.8	15
34	RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2019, 366, l4898.	2.4	10,984
35	Introduction and adoption of innovative invasive procedures and devices in the NHS: an in-depth analysis of written policies and qualitative interviews (the INTRODUCE study protocol). BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e029963.	0.8	11
36	Systematic review of the introduction and evaluation of magnetic augmentation of the lower oesophageal sphincter for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. British Journal of Surgery, 2019, 107, 44-55.	0.1	15

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	No Surgical Innovation Without Evaluation. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2019, 269, 211-220.	2.1	257
38	Laparoscopically assisted versus open oesophagectomy for patients with oesophageal cancer—the Randomised Oesophagectomy: Minimally Invasive or Open (ROMIO) study: protocol for a randomised controlled trial (RCT). <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e030907.	0.8	23
39	Three wound-dressing strategies to reduce surgical site infection after abdominal surgery: the Bluebelle feasibility study and pilot RCT. <i>Health Technology Assessment</i> , 2019, 23, 1-166.	1.3	10
40	Development of a Core Outcome Set for Clinical Effectiveness Trials in Esophageal Cancer Resection Surgery. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2018, 267, 700-710.	2.1	38
41	Multicentre observational study of adherence to Sepsis Six guidelines in emergency general surgery. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2017, 104, e165-e171.	0.1	16
42	Delivering successful randomized controlled trials in surgery: Methods to optimize collaboration and study design. <i>Clinical Trials</i> , 2017, 14, 211-218.	0.7	32
43	The effect of trainee research collaboratives in the UK. <i>The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2017, 2, 247-248.	3.7	35
44	Development of a single, practical measure of surgical site infection (SSI) for patient report or observer completion. <i>Journal of Infection Prevention</i> , 2017, 18, 170-179.	0.5	23
45	A mixed-methods feasibility and external pilot study to inform a large pragmatic randomised controlled trial of the effects of surgical wound dressing strategies on surgical site infections (Bluebelle Phase B): study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2017, 18, 401.	0.7	13
46	Three nested randomized controlled trials of peer-only or multiple stakeholder group feedback within Delphi surveys during core outcome and information set development. <i>Trials</i> , 2016, 17, 409.	0.7	74
47	Response to a pilot single-centre randomized trial: the PATRASTOM trial. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2016, 18, 622-623.	0.7	2
48	Feasibility work to inform the design of a randomized clinical trial of wound dressings in elective and unplanned abdominal surgery. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2016, 103, 1738-1744.	0.1	10
49	Dressings for the prevention of surgical site infection. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2016, 2016, CD003091.	1.5	75
50	Standardizing and monitoring the delivery of surgical interventions in randomized clinical trials. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2016, 103, 1377-1384.	0.1	66
51	A Systematic Review of Patient-reported Outcomes in Randomized Controlled Trials of Unplanned General Surgery. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2016, 40, 267-276.	0.8	13
52	“Trial Exegesis”™: Methods for Synthesizing Clinical and Patient Reported Outcome (PRO) Data in Trials to Inform Clinical Practice. A Systematic Review. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2016, 11, e0160998.	1.1	4
53	Interventions in randomised controlled trials in surgery: issues to consider during trial design. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, 392.	0.7	46
54	Novel ways to explore surgical interventions in randomised controlled trials: applying case study methodology in the operating theatre. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, 589.	0.7	18

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Core information set for oesophageal cancer surgery. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2015, 102, 936-943.	0.1	70
56	Systematic review of intervention design and delivery in pragmatic and explanatory surgical randomized clinical trials. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2015, 102, 1037-1047.	0.1	39
57	Investigating the collection and assessment of patient-reported outcome data amongst unplanned surgical hospital admissions: a feasibility study. <i>Pilot and Feasibility Studies</i> , 2015, 1, 16.	0.5	12
58	How good are surgeons at identifying appendicitis? Results from a multi-centre cohort study. <i>International Journal of Surgery</i> , 2015, 15, 107-112.	1.1	31
59	Systematic review of surgical innovation reporting in laparoendoscopic colonic polyp resection. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2015, 102, e108-e116.	0.1	25
60	RE: Recommended Patient-Reported Core Set of Symptoms to Measure in Adult Cancer Treatment Trials. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2015, 107, dju506-dju506.	3.0	2
61	“From scared to prepared”: targeted structured induction training during the transition from medical school to foundation doctor. <i>Perspectives on Medical Education</i> , 2015, 4, 90-92.	1.8	20
62	Assessing the quality of written information provision for surgical procedures: a case study in oesophagectomy. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2015, 5, e008536.	0.8	9
63	Outcome Reporting in Neoadjuvant Surgical Trials: A Systematic Review of the Literature and Proposals for New Standards. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2014, 106, dju217-dju217.	3.0	9
64	Spontaneous oesophageal rupture. <i>BMJ</i> , The, 2013, 346, f3095-f3095.	3.0	28
65	Evaluating the role of fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography-computed tomography in multi-disciplinary team recommendations for oesophago-gastric cancer. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2013, 109, 1445-1450.	2.9	31
66	Reporting of Short-Term Clinical Outcomes After Esophagectomy. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2012, 255, 658-666.	2.1	243
67	Standards of Outcome Reporting in Surgical Oncology: A Case Study in Esophageal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2012, 19, 4012-4018.	0.7	21
68	Effects of changing work patterns on general surgical training over the last decade. <i>Postgraduate Medical Journal</i> , 2011, 87, 795-799.	0.9	40