## Jelena Ristic

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5289900/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



IFLENA RISTIC

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Face Masks Impair Basic Emotion Recognition. Social Psychology, 2023, 54, 4-15.	0.7	15
2	Intrapersonal Behavioral Coordination and Expressive Accuracy During First Impressions. Social Psychological and Personality Science, 2022, 13, 150-159.	3.9	3
3	Attentional gaze dynamics in group interactions. Visual Cognition, 2022, 30, 135-150.	1.6	5
4	Infrequent faces bias social attention differently in manual and oculomotor measures. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2022, 84, 829.	1.3	0
5	Interactive Cognition: An introduction. Visual Cognition, 2022, 30, 1-5.	1.6	3
6	Social attention as a general mechanism? Demonstrating the influence of stimulus content factors on social attentional biasing Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2022, 48, 289-311.	0.9	1
7	Transparent masks reduce the negative impact of opaque masks on understanding emotional states but not on sharing them. Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications, 2022, 7, .	2.0	4
8	Prior attentional bias is modulated by social gaze. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2021, 83, 1-6.	1.3	5
9	Standing out from the crowd: Both cue numerosity and social information affect attention in multi-agent contexts. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2021, 74, 174702182110130.	1.1	6
10	The eyes do not have it after all? Attention is not automatically biased towards faces and eyes. Psychological Research, 2020, 84, 1407-1423.	1.7	13
11	Attention promotes accurate impression formation. Journal of Personality, 2020, 88, 544-554.	3.2	10
12	Trait-Level Variability in Attention Modulates Mind Wandering and Academic Achievement. Frontiers in Psychology, 2020, 11, 909.	2.1	7
13	Attention <i>AND</i> mentalizing? Reframing a debate on social orienting of attention. Visual Cognition, 2020, 28, 97-105.	1.6	27
14	Taking it out of context: The role of contextual coherence during social event segmentation. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2019, 81, 2003-2013.	1.3	2
15	Tracking the Leader: Gaze Behavior in Group Interactions. IScience, 2019, 16, 242-249.	4.1	31
16	Attention Combines Similarly in Covert and Overt Conditions. Vision (Switzerland), 2019, 3, 16.	1.2	9
17	Contextually-Based Social Attention Diverges across Covert and Overt Measures. Vision (Switzerland), 2019, 3, 29.	1.2	4
18	It's not all in the face: reduced face visibility does not modulate social segmentation. Visual Cognition, 2019, 27, 38-45.	1.6	3

Jelena Ristic

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Combined attention controls complex behavior by suppressing unlikely events. Brain and Cognition, 2018, 120, 17-25.	1.8	2
20	An investigation of global-local processing bias in a large sample of typical individuals varying in autism traits. Consciousness and Cognition, 2018, 65, 271-279.	1.5	7
21	How attention gates social interactions. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2018, 1426, 179-198.	3.8	61
22	Changes in Tonic Alertness but Not Voluntary Temporal Preparation Modulate the Attention Elicited by Task-Relevant Gaze and Arrow Cues. Vision (Switzerland), 2018, 2, 18.	1.2	4
23	Gaze following in multiagent contexts: Evidence for a quorum-like principle. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2018, 25, 2260-2266.	2.8	18
24	The role of perceptual and contextual information in social event segmentation. Journal of Vision, 2018, 18, 447.	0.3	1
25	Looking at faces is differentially modulated by context and novelty. Journal of Vision, 2018, 18, 168.	0.3	0
26	Looking at faces supports the segmentation of both social and nonsocial events Journal of Vision, 2018, 18, 1341.	0.3	0
27	Feature and motion-based gaze cuing is linked with reduced social competence. Scientific Reports, 2017, 7, 44221.	3.3	28
28	Where Is Your Attention? Assessing Individual Instances of Covert Attentional Orienting in Response to Gaze and Arrow Cues. Vision (Switzerland), 2017, 1, 19.	1.2	9
29	Staring reality in the face: A comparison of social attention across laboratory and real world measures suggests little common ground Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2017, 71, 212-225.	0.8	39
30	The more, the better? It depends on consistency! Gaze cuing in multi-agent contexts Journal of Vision, 2017, 17, 966.	0.3	0
31	Where is your attention?: Estimating the frequency of gaze following in the cuing task using a trial-by trial analysis Journal of Vision, 2017, 17, 686.	0.3	0
32	Automated symbolic orienting is not modulated by explicit temporal attention. Acta Psychologica, 2016, 171, 93-98.	1.5	5
33	Combining attention: a novel way of conceptualizing the links between attention, sensory processing, and behavior. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2015, 77, 36-49.	1.3	20
34	A new form of human spatial attention: Automated symbolic orienting. Visual Cognition, 2012, 20, 244-264.	1.6	78
35	Automated Symbolic Orienting: The Missing Link. Frontiers in Psychology, 2012, 3, 560.	2.1	22
36	Electrophysiological Evidence for Spatiotemporal Flexibility in the Ventrolateral Attention Network. PLoS ONE, 2011, 6, e24436.	2.5	14

Jelena Ristic

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	The number line effect reflects top-down control. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2006, 13, 862-868.	2.8	116
38	Attention to Arrows: Pointing to a New Direction. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2006, 59, 1921-1930.	1.1	139
39	Eyes are special but not for everyone: The case of autism. Cognitive Brain Research, 2005, 24, 715-718.	3.0	199
40	Taking control of reflexive social attention. Cognition, 2005, 94, B55-B65.	2.2	113