## Guillaume Ploussard

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5269350/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

108 papers 3,470 citations

147726 31 h-index 54 g-index

116 all docs

116 docs citations

116 times ranked 3970 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Critical Analysis of Bladder Sparing with Trimodal Therapy in Muscle-invasive Bladder Cancer: A Systematic Review. European Urology, 2014, 66, 120-137.	0.9	277
2	The Contemporary Concept of Significant Versus Insignificant Prostate Cancer. European Urology, 2011, 60, 291-303.	0.9	267
3	A Novel Nomogram to Identify Candidates for Extended Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection Among Patients with Clinically Localized Prostate Cancer Diagnosed with Magnetic Resonance Imaging-targeted and Systematic Biopsies. European Urology, 2019, 75, 506-514.	0.9	188
4	Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection During Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy: Efficacy, Limitations, and Complications—A Systematic Review of the Literature. European Urology, 2014, 65, 7-16.	0.9	180
5	Prostate Cancer Antigen 3 Score Accurately Predicts Tumour Volume and Might Help in Selecting Prostate Cancer Patients for Active Surveillance. European Urology, 2011, 59, 422-429.	0.9	136
6	Conditional Survival After Radical Cystectomy for Bladder Cancer: Evidence for a Patient Changing Risk Profile over Time. European Urology, 2014, 66, 361-370.	0.9	125
7	Urine biomarkers in prostate cancer. Nature Reviews Urology, 2010, 7, 101-109.	1.9	102
8	The Key Combined Value of Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging, and Magnetic Resonance Imaging–targeted and Concomitant Systematic Biopsies for the Prediction of Adverse Pathological Features in Prostate Cancer Patients Undergoing Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology, 2020, 77, 733-741.	0.9	85
9	Pathological Findings and Prostate Specific Antigen Outcomes After Radical Prostatectomy in Men Eligible for Active Surveillanceâ€"Does the Risk of Misclassification Vary According to Biopsy Criteria?. Journal of Urology, 2010, 183, 539-545.	0.2	78
10	Conditional Survival After Radical Nephroureterectomy for Upper Tract Carcinoma. European Urology, 2015, 67, 803-812.	0.9	78
11	Can we expand active surveillance criteria to include biopsy Gleason 3+4 prostate cancer? A multi-institutional study of 2,323 patients. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2015, 33, 71.e1-71.e9.	0.8	62
12	The Role of Biopsy Core Number in Selecting Prostate Cancer Patients for Active Surveillance. European Urology, 2009, 56, 891-898.	0.9	58
13	Precision Matters in MR Imaging–targeted Prostate Biopsies: Evidence from a Prospective Study of Cognitive and Elastic Fusion Registration Transrectal Biopsies. Radiology, 2018, 287, 534-542.	3.6	56
14	Radical Prostatectomy for High-risk Prostate Cancer Defined by Preoperative Criteria: Oncologic Follow-up in National Multicenter Study in 813 Patients and Assessment of Easy-to-use Prognostic Substratification. Urology, 2011, 78, 607-613.	0.5	55
15	External Validation of the 2019 Briganti Nomogram for the Identification of Prostate Cancer Patients Who Should Be Considered for an Extended Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection. European Urology, 2020, 78, 138-142.	0.9	55
16	Differences in trends in the use of robotâ€essisted and open radical cystectomy and changes over time in periâ€operative outcomes among selected centres in North America and Europe: an international multicentre collaboration. BJU International, 2019, 124, 656-664.	1.3	53
17	Reliability of Serial Prostate Magnetic Resonance Imaging to Detect Prostate Cancer Progression During Active Surveillance: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. European Urology, 2021, 80, 549-563.	0.9	53
18	Effect of Extended Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection on Oncologic Outcomes in Patients with D'Amico Intermediate and High Risk Prostate Cancer Treated with Radical Prostatectomy: A Multi-Institutional Study. Journal of Urology, 2020, 203, 338-343.	0.2	53

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Oncologic Outcome after Extraperitoneal Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy: Midterm Follow-up of 1115 Procedures. European Urology, 2010, 57, 267-273.	0.9	52
20	Trends in Radical Prostatectomy Risk Group Distribution in a European Multicenter Analysis of 28 572 Patients: Towards Tailored Treatment. European Urology Focus, 2019, 5, 171-178.	1.6	50
21	Low pretreatment total testosterone (<3Âng/mL) predicts extraprostatic disease in prostatectomy specimens from patients with preoperative localized prostate cancer. BJU International, 2011, 107, 1400-1403.	1.3	49
22	External Validation of a Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging–based Nomogram for the Prediction of Extracapsular Extension and Seminal Vesicle Invasion in Prostate Cancer Patients Undergoing Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology, 2021, 79, 180-185.	0.9	47
23	Robotic surgery in urology. Current Opinion in Urology, 2018, 28, 153-158.	0.9	46
24	Predictive Factors of Oncologic Outcomes in Patients Who do not Achieve Undetectable Prostate Specific Antigen after Radical Prostatectomy. Journal of Urology, 2013, 190, 1750-1756.	0.2	44
25	A combination of enhanced recovery after surgery and prehabilitation pathways improves perioperative outcomes and costs for robotic radical prostatectomy. Cancer, 2020, 126, 4148-4155.	2.0	41
26	Local Recurrence After Nephron-Sparing Surgery in von Hippel-Lindau Disease. Urology, 2007, 70, 435-439.	0.5	40
27	Architectural Patterns are a Relevant Morphologic Grading System for Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma Prognosis Assessment. American Journal of Surgical Pathology, 2018, 42, 423-441.	2.1	38
28	Positive pre-biopsy MRI: are systematic biopsies still useful in addition to targeted biopsies?. World Journal of Urology, 2019, 37, 243-251.	1.2	37
29	Comparative Effectiveness in Perioperative Outcomes of Robotic versus Open Radical Cystectomy: Results from a Multicenter Contemporary Retrospective Cohort Study. European Urology Focus, 2020, 6, 1233-1239.	1.6	33
30	Assessment of the Minimal Targeted Biopsy Core Number per MRI Lesion for Improving Prostate Cancer Grading Prediction. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2020, 9, 225.	1.0	33
31	Multi-Institutional Assessment of Routine Same Day Discharge Surgery for Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Journal of Urology, 2020, 204, 956-961.	0.2	33
32	Robotâ€assisted extraperitoneal laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: experience in a highâ€volume laparoscopy reference centre. BJU International, 2010, 105, 1155-1160.	1.3	32
33	Nephron-Sparing Surgery for Renal Tumors Measuring More Than 7 cm: Morbidity, and Functional and Oncological Outcomes. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer, 2014, 12, e19-e27.	0.9	31
34	Prognostic Implications of Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Concomitant Systematic Biopsy in Predicting Biochemical Recurrence After Radical Prostatectomy in Prostate Cancer Patients Diagnosed with Magnetic Resonance Imaging–targeted Biopsy. European Urology Oncology, 2020, 3, 739-747.	2.6	31
35	Current practice and access to prostate MR imaging in France. Diagnostic and Interventional Imaging, 2016, 97, 1125-1129.	1.8	30
36	The Risk of Upstaged Disease Increases with Body Mass Index in Low-Risk Prostate Cancer Patients Eligible for Active Surveillance. European Urology, 2012, 61, 356-362.	0.9	28

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Same-day discharge surgery for robot-assisted radical prostatectomy in the era of ERAS and prehabilitation pathways: a contemporary, comparative, feasibility study. World Journal of Urology, 2022, 40, 1359-1365.	1.2	28
38	Indications for and complications of pelvic lymph node dissection in prostate cancer: accuracy of available nomograms for the prediction of lymph node invasion. BJU International, 2021, 127, 318-325.	1.3	28
39	How can we expand active surveillance criteria in patients with low†and intermediate†isk prostate cancer without increasing the risk of misclassification? Development of a novel risk calculator. BJU International, 2018, 122, 823-830.	1.3	27
40	Added Value of Concomitant Systematic and Fusion Targeted Biopsies for Grade Group Prediction Based on Radical Prostatectomy Final Pathology on Positive Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Journal of Urology, 2019, 202, 1182-1187.	0.2	25
41	Triptorelin in the management of prostate cancer. Future Oncology, 2013, 9, 93-102.	1.1	24
42	Challenging treatment decision-making in older urologic cancer patients. World Journal of Urology, 2014, 32, 299-308.	1.2	24
43	Clinical outcomes after salvage radiotherapy without androgen deprivation therapy in patients with persistently detectable PSA after radical prostatectomy: results from a national multicentre study. World Journal of Urology, 2014, 32, 1331-1338.	1.2	23
44	Management of Persistently Elevated Prostate-specific Antigen After Radical Prostatectomy: A Systematic Review of the Literature. European Urology Oncology, 2021, 4, 150-169.	2.6	23
45	The Prognostic Association of Prostate MRI PI-RADSâ,,¢ v2 Assessment Category and Risk of Biochemical Recurrence after Definitive Local Therapy for Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Urology, 2021, 206, 507-516.	0.2	22
46	Pathological findings and prostateâ€specific antigen outcomes after laparoscopic radical prostatectomy for highâ€risk prostate cancer. BJU International, 2010, 106, 86-90.	1.3	21
47	A Systematic Review of the Impact of Surgeon and Hospital Caseload Volume on Oncological and Nononcological Outcomes After Radical Prostatectomy for Nonmetastatic Prostate Cancer. European Urology, 2021, 80, 531-545.	0.9	21
48	Decreased accuracy of the prostate cancer EAU risk group classification in the era of imaging-guided diagnostic pathway: proposal for a new classification based on MRI-targeted biopsies and early oncologic outcomes after surgery. World Journal of Urology, 2020, 38, 2493-2500.	1.2	20
49	The current role of MRI for guiding active surveillance in prostate cancer. Nature Reviews Urology, 2022, 19, 357-365.	1.9	18
50	Biomarker in Active Surveillance for Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review. Cancers, 2021, 13, 4251.	1.7	17
51	Prognostic Value of Loss of Heterozygosity at Chromosome 9p in Non–muscle-invasive Bladder Cancer. Urology, 2010, 76, 513.e13-513.e18.	0.5	15
52	What do we know about treatment sequencing of abiraterone, enzalutamide, and chemotherapy in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer?. World Journal of Urology, 2016, 34, 617-624.	1.2	15
53	Robot-assisted laparoscopic partial nephrectomy: Early single Canadian institution experience. Canadian Urological Association Journal, 2013, 7, 348.	0.3	14
54	Prognostic effect of neuroendocrine differentiation in prostate cancer: A critical review. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2015, 33, 265.e1-265.e7.	0.8	14

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	MRI Characteristics Accurately Predict Biochemical Recurrence after Radical Prostatectomy. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2020, 9, 3841.	1.0	14
56	Overview of the Development and Use of Akt Inhibitors in Prostate Cancer. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2022, 11, 160.	1.0	14
57	Refining the risk-stratification of transrectal biopsy-detected prostate cancer by elastic fusion registration transperineal biopsies. World Journal of Urology, 2019, 37, 269-275.	1.2	13
58	Extensive Biopsies and Transurethral Prostate Resection in Men With Previous Negative Biopsies and High or Increasing Prostate Specific Antigen. Journal of Urology, 2009, 182, 1342-1349.	0.2	12
59	MRI-guided active surveillance in prostate cancer: not yet ready for practice. Nature Reviews Urology, 2021, 18, 77-78.	1.9	12
60	Independent Evaluation of the Respective Predictive Values for High-Grade Prostate Cancer of Clinical Information and RNA Biomarkers after Upfront MRI and Image-Guided Biopsies. Cancers, 2020, 12, 285.	1.7	12
61	A Combination of Hemostatic Agents May Safely Replace Deep Medullary Suture during Laparoscopic Partial Nephrectomy in a Pig Model. Journal of Urology, 2015, 193, 318-324.	0.2	11
62	Practice Patterns Compared with Evidence-based Strategies for the Management of Androgen Deprivation Therapy–Induced Side Effects in Prostate Cancer Patients: Results of a European Web-based Survey. European Urology Focus, 2016, 2, 514-521.	1.6	11
63	Annual nationwide analysis of costs and post-operative outcomes after radical prostatectomy according to the surgical approach (open, laparoscopic, and robotic). World Journal of Urology, 2022, 40, 419-425.	1.2	11
64	The effect of prostateâ€specific antigen screening during the last decade: development of clinicopathological variables independently of the biopsy core number. BJU International, 2010, 106, 1293-1297.	1.3	10
65	Improved decision making in intermediate-risk prostate cancer: a multicenter study on pathologic and oncologic outcomes after radical prostatectomy. World Journal of Urology, 2017, 35, 1191-1197.	1.2	10
66	Performance of systematic, MRI-targeted biopsies alone or in combination for the prediction of unfavourable disease in MRI-positive low-risk prostate cancer patients eligible for active surveillance. World Journal of Urology, 2020, 38, 663-671.	1.2	10
67	Same Day Discharge versus Inpatient Surgery for Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy: A Comparative Study. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2021, 10, 661.	1.0	10
68	Impact of Hospital volume on postoperative outcomes after radical prostatectomy: A 5-Year nationwide database analysis. European Urology Focus, 2022, 8, 1169-1175.	1.6	10
69	Bladder Cancer in HIV-infected Adults: An Emerging Issue? Case-Reports and Systematic Review. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0144237.	1.1	9
70	Re: Andrew Vickers, Sigrid V. Carlsson, Matthew Cooperberg. Routine Use of Magnetic Resonance Imaging for Early Detection of Prostate Cancer Is Not Justified by the Clinical Trial Evidence. Eur Urol 2020;78:304–6. European Urology, 2020, 78, 310-313.	0.9	9
71	Survival Outcomes of Patients with Pathologically Proven Positive Lymph Nodes at Time of Radical Cystectomy with or without Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2020, 9, 1962.	1.0	9
72	Safety and feasibility of same-day discharge laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: a systematic review. World Journal of Urology, 2022, 40, 1367-1375.	1.2	9

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	The prognostic significance of bladder neck invasion in prostate cancer: is microscopic involvement truly a T4 disease?. BJU International, 2010, 105, 776-781.	1.3	8
74	Pilot trial of adjuvant paclitaxel plus androgen deprivation for patients with high-risk prostate cancer after radical prostatectomy: results on toxicity, side effects and quality-of-life. Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases, 2010, 13, 97-101.	2.0	8
75	Impact of the type of ultrasound probe on prostate cancer detection rate and characterization in patients undergoing MRI-targeted prostate biopsies using cognitive fusion. World Journal of Urology, 2014, 32, 977-983.	1.2	8
76	A prehabilitation programme implemented before robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy improves periâ€operative outcomes and continence recovery. BJU International, 2022, 130, 357-363.	1.3	8
77	Impact of MRI and Targeted Biopsies on Eligibility and Disease Reclassification in MRI-positive Candidates for Active Surveillance on Systematic Biopsies. Urology, 2020, 137, 126-132.	0.5	7
78	A realâ€world comparison of docetaxel versus abiraterone acetate for metastatic hormoneâ€sensitive prostate cancer. Cancer Medicine, 2021, 10, 6354-6364.	1.3	7
79	Differences in practice patterns between urologists and radiation oncologists in the management of localized prostate cancer: a cross-sectional survey. World Journal of Urology, 2015, 33, 1741-1747.	1.2	6
80	Active surveillance eligibility of MRI-positive patients with grade group 2 prostate cancer: a pathological study. World Journal of Urology, 2020, 38, 1735-1740.	1.2	6
81	One-day Prehabilitation Program Before Robotic Radical Prostatectomy in Daily Practice: Routine Feasibility and Benefits for Patients and Hospitals. European Urology Open Science, 2020, 21, 14-16.	0.2	6
82	The prognostic value of high-grade prostate cancer pattern on MRI-targeted biopsies: predictors for downgrading and importance of concomitant systematic biopsies. World Journal of Urology, 2021, 39, 3315-3321.	1.2	6
83	Biopsy characteristics in men with a preoperative diagnosis of prostatic adenocarcinoma with high Gleason score (8-10) predict pathologic outcome in radical prostatectomy. Human Pathology, 2014, 45, 2006-2013.	1.1	5
84	Localized chromophobe carcinomas treated by nephron-sparing surgery have excellent oncologic outcomes. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2017, 35, 35.e15-35.e19.	0.8	5
85	Managing Discordant Findings Between Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Transrectal Magnetic Resonance Imaging–directed Prostate Biopsy—The Key Role of Magnetic Resonance Imaging–directed Transperineal Biopsy. European Urology Oncology, 2022, 5, 296-303.	2.6	5
86	A 5-Year Contemporary Nationwide Evolution of the Radical Prostatectomy Landscape. European Urology Open Science, 2021, 34, 1-4.	0.2	5
87	Systematic ultrasound-guided saturation and template biopsy of the prostate: indications and advantages of extended sampling. Archivos Espanoles De Urologia, 2015, 68, 296-306.	0.1	5
88	PARP Inhibitors as Monotherapy in Daily Practice for Advanced Prostate Cancers. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2022, 11, 1734.	1.0	5
89	Risk Estimation of Metastatic Recurrence After Prostatectomy: A Model Using Preoperative Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Targeted Biopsy. European Urology Open Science, 2022, 41, 24-34.	0.2	5
90	Restaging Transurethral Resection of Bladder Tumours after BCG Immunotherapy Induction in Patients with T1 Non-Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer Might not Be Associated with Oncologic Benefit. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2020, 9, 3306.	1.0	4

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Improvement of the intermediate risk prostate cancer sub-classification by integrating MRI and fusion biopsy features. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2020, 38, 386-392.	0.8	4
92	Pathological features of Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System (Plâ€RADS) 3 MRI lesions in biopsy and radical prostatectomy specimens. BJU International, 2022, 129, 621-626.	1.3	4
93	Same-day-discharge Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy: An Annual Countrywide Analysis. European Urology Open Science, 2022, 36, 23-25.	0.2	4
94	Confirmation by Early Oncologic Outcomes After Surgery of the Accuracy of Intermediate-risk Prostate Cancer Classification Based on Magnetic Resonance Imaging Staging and Targeted Biopsy. European Urology Open Science, 2020, 21, 5-8.	0.2	3
95	Potential Targets Other Than PSMA for Prostate Cancer Theranostics: A Systematic Review. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2021, 10, 4909.	1.0	3
96	The prognostic value of FGFR3 mutational status for disease recurrence and progression depends on allelic losses at 9p22. American Journal of Cancer Research, 2011, 1, 498-507.	1.4	3
97	Oncologic Impact and Safety of Pre-Operative Radiotherapy in Localized Prostate and Bladder Cancer: A Comprehensive Review from the Cancerology Committee of the Association Française d'Urologie. Cancers, 2021, 13, 6070.	1.7	2
98	Management of patients with a persistently elevated PSA after radical prostatectomy: a narrative review. World Journal of Urology, 2022, , $1.$	1.2	2
99	Re: Wnt-pathway Activating Mutations Are Associated with Resistance to First-line Abiraterone and Enzalutamide in Castration-resistant Prostate Cancer. European Urology, 2020, 77, 393.	0.9	1
100	Intercenter reproducibility of software-based fusion biopsies for grade group prediction when targeting suspicious MRI lesions. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2020, 38, 734.e17.	0.8	1
101	Restaging of Patients with Persistently Elevated Prostate-specific Antigen After Radical Prostatectomy Using [68Ga]-PSMA-11 Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography: Impact on Disease Management. European Urology, 2022, , .	0.9	1
102	Pentafecta for Radical Nephroureterectomy in Patients with High-Risk Upper Tract Urothelial Carcinoma: A Proposal for Standardization of Quality Care Metrics. Cancers, 2022, 14, 1781.	1.7	1
103	SEMINAL VESICLE INVASION: WHAT IS THE BEST ADJUVANT TREATMENT AFTER RADICAL PROSTATECTOMY?. BJU International, 2012, 109, 531-532.	1.3	0
104	Left lobe of the prostate during clinical prostate cancer screening: the dark side of the gland for right-handed examiners. Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases, 2014, 17, 157-162.	2.0	0
105	Editorial Comment. Journal of Urology, 2014, 191, 637-637.	0.2	0
106	Kallikreins Panel for Prostate Cancer Aggressiveness Prediction: More Is Not Enough. European Urology Focus, 2017, 3, 100-101.	1.6	0
107	Improved recovery after uro-oncology surgery: the critical role of pre- and re-habilitation. World Journal of Urology, 2022, 40, 1287-1287.	1.2	0
108	Magnetic Resonance Imaging-Targeted Biopsy and Pretherapeutic Prostate Cancer Risk Assessment: a Systematic Review. Progres En Urologie, 2022, 32, 32/6S3-32/6S18.	0.3	0