

Brandon McFadden

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5264246/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

36
papers

738
citations

567281

15
h-index

580821

25
g-index

36
all docs

36
docs citations

36
times ranked

615
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Private costs of carbon emissions abatement by limiting beef consumption and vehicle use in the United States. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0261372.	2.5	3
2	Impact of teaching methods on learner preferences and knowledge gained when informing adults about gene editing. Advancements in Agricultural Development, 2022, 3, 70-86.	0.5	0
3	The Influence of Choice Context on Consumers' Preference for GM Orange Juice. Journal of Agricultural Economics, 2021, 72, 547-563.	3.5	5
4	COVID-19 Induced Stigma in U.S. Consumers: Evidence and Implications. American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 2021, 103, 486-497.	4.3	12
5	What are the overall implications of rising demand for organic fruits and vegetables? Evidence from theory and simulations. Q Open, 2021, 1, .	1.7	3
6	The effect of scientific information and narrative on preferences for possible gene-edited solutions for citrus greening. Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy, 2021, 43, 1595-1620.	5.6	11
7	Consumers' decisions to access or avoid added sugars information on the updated Nutrition Facts label. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0249355.	2.5	9
8	Homegrown perceptions about the medical use and potential abuse of CBD and THC. Addictive Behaviors, 2021, 115, 106799.	3.0	8
9	Gene editing isn't just about food: comments from U.S. focus groups. GM Crops and Food, 2021, 12, 616-626.	3.8	4
10	Paying Americans to take the vaccine "would it help or backfire?. Journal of Law and the Biosciences, 2021, 8, lsab027.	1.6	26
11	A case for measuring negative willingness to pay for consumer goods. Food Policy, 2021, 104, 102126.	6.0	10
12	Reveal Preference Reversal in Consumer Preference for Sustainable Food Products. Food Quality and Preference, 2020, 79, 103754.	4.6	21
13	Floridians' propensity to support <i>ad valorem</i> water billing increases to protect water supply: a panel evaluation. Hydrological Sciences Journal, 2020, 65, 1-11.	2.6	6
14	Environmental and Regulatory Concerns During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Results from the Pandemic Food and Stigma Survey. Environmental and Resource Economics, 2020, 76, 1139-1148.	3.2	18
15	Beverage milk consumption patterns in the United States: Who is substituting from dairy to plant-based beverages?. Journal of Dairy Science, 2020, 103, 11209-11217.	3.4	33
16	Can the updated nutrition facts label decrease sugar-sweetened beverage consumption?. Economics and Human Biology, 2020, 37, 100867.	1.7	11
17	The interaction between country of origin and genetically modified orange juice in urban China. Food Quality and Preference, 2019, 71, 475-484.	4.6	43
18	Consumer preferences for beef with improved nutrient profile. Journal of Animal Science, 2019, 97, 4699-4709.	0.5	18

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	A review of nutrition labeling and food choice in the United States. <i>Obesity Science and Practice</i> , 2019, 5, 581-591.	1.9	27
20	Perceptions of Genetically Engineered Technology in Developed Areas. <i>Trends in Biotechnology</i> , 2019, 37, 447-451.	9.3	21
21	Do consumers care how a genetically engineered food was created or who created it?. <i>Food Policy</i> , 2018, 78, 81-90.	6.0	77
22	Nitrogen fertilizer recommendations based on plant sensing and Bayesian updating. <i>Precision Agriculture</i> , 2018, 19, 79-92.	6.0	13
23	Effects of the National Bioengineered Food Disclosure Standard: Willingness To Pay for Labels that Communicate the Presence or Absence of Genetic Modification. <i>Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy</i> , 2018, 40, 259-275.	5.6	33
24	How will mandatory labeling of genetically modified food nudge consumer decision-making?. <i>Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics</i> , 2018, 77, 186-194.	1.2	4
25	Impact of food choice on sodium intake patterns from multiple NHANES surveys. <i>Appetite</i> , 2017, 109, 144-153.	3.7	1
26	Consumer acceptance of food biotechnology based on policy context and upstream acceptance: evidence from an artefactual field experiment. <i>European Review of Agricultural Economics</i> , 2017, 44, 757-780.	3.1	15
27	The Unknowns and Possible Implications of Mandatory Labeling. <i>Trends in Biotechnology</i> , 2017, 35, 1-3.	9.3	24
28	What consumers don't know about genetically modified food, and how that affects beliefs. <i>FASEB Journal</i> , 2016, 30, 3091-3096.	0.5	62
29	Neural Activations Associated with Decision Time and Choice in a Milk Labeling Experiment. <i>American Journal of Agricultural Economics</i> , 2016, 98, 74-91.	4.3	9
30	Examining the Gap between Science and Public Opinion about Genetically Modified Food and Global Warming. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2016, 11, e0166140.	2.5	26
31	Can Neural Activation in Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex Predict Responsiveness to Information? An Application to Egg Production Systems and Campaign Advertising. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2015, 10, e0125243.	2.5	7
32	Which biotech foods are most acceptable to the public?. <i>Biotechnology Journal</i> , 2015, 10, 13-16.	3.5	55
33	Cognitive biases in the assimilation of scientific information on global warming and genetically modified food. <i>Food Policy</i> , 2015, 54, 35-43.	6.0	76
34	An fMRI investigation of consumer choice regarding controversial food technologies. <i>Food Quality and Preference</i> , 2015, 40, 209-220.	4.6	31
35	Consumers' neural and behavioral responses to food technologies and price.. <i>Journal of Neuroscience, Psychology, and Economics</i> , 2014, 7, 164-173.	1.0	12
36	Implicit and Explicit Biases for Recycled Water and Tap Water. <i>Water Resources Research</i> , 0, , .	4.2	4