

William J Brady

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/514602/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

16
papers

1,813
citations

759233

12
h-index

996975

15
g-index

18
all docs

18
docs citations

18
times ranked

2533
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Emotion shapes the diffusion of moralized content in social networks. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2017, 114, 7313-7318.	7.1	527
2	Partisan differences in physical distancing are linked to health outcomes during the COVID-19 pandemic. Nature Human Behaviour, 2020, 4, 1186-1197.	12.0	385
3	Contextual sensitivity in scientific reproducibility. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2016, 113, 6454-6459.	7.1	283
4	The MAD Model of Moral Contagion: The Role of Motivation, Attention, and Design in the Spread of Moralized Content Online. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 2020, 15, 978-1010.	9.0	164
5	Crowdsourcing hypothesis tests: Making transparent how design choices shape research results.. Psychological Bulletin, 2020, 146, 451-479.	6.1	87
6	How social learning amplifies moral outrage expression in online social networks. Science Advances, 2021, 7, .	10.3	73
7	An ideological asymmetry in the diffusion of moralized content on social media among political leaders.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 2019, 148, 1802-1813.	2.1	72
8	Attentional capture helps explain why moral and emotional content go viral.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 2020, 149, 746-756.	2.1	60
9	How Effective Is Online Outrage?. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 2019, 23, 79-80.	7.8	46
10	In (visual) search for a new distraction: the efficiency of a novel attentional deployment versus semantic meaning regulation strategies. Frontiers in Psychology, 2014, 5, 346.	2.1	34
11	Moral dilemmas and trust in leaders during a global health crisis. Nature Human Behaviour, 2021, 5, 1074-1088.	12.0	27
12	Reply to Inbar: Contextual sensitivity helps explain the reproducibility gap between social and cognitive psychology. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2016, 113, E4935-6.	7.1	18
13	Troll and divide: the language of online polarization. , 2022, 1, .		12
14	Is the Political Slant of Psychology Research Related to Scientific Replicability?. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 2020, 15, 1310-1328.	9.0	10
15	The Effect of What We Think may Happen on our Judgments of Responsibility. Review of Philosophy and Psychology, 2013, 4, 259-269.	1.8	2
16	The role of generalizability in moral and political psychology. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 2022, 45, e19.	0.7	1