

Giuseppe A Rattã

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5113337/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

70
papers

1,429
citations

279798

23
h-index

345221

36
g-index

73
all docs

73
docs citations

73
times ranked

1629
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Overview of the JET results in support to ITER. Nuclear Fusion, 2017, 57, 102001.	3.5	150
2	An advanced disruption predictor for JET tested in a simulated real-time environment. Nuclear Fusion, 2010, 50, 025005.	3.5	96
3	Overview of the JET preparation for deuterium-tritium operation with the ITER like-wall. Nuclear Fusion, 2019, 59, 112021.	3.5	87
4	Confinement transitions in TJ-II under Li-coated wall conditions. Nuclear Fusion, 2009, 49, 104018.	3.5	75
5	Efficient generation of energetic ions in multi-ion plasmas by radio-frequency heating. Nature Physics, 2017, 13, 973-978.	16.7	73
6	Overview of the JET results with the ITER-like wall. Nuclear Fusion, 2013, 53, 104002.	3.5	70
7	Overview of the JET results. Nuclear Fusion, 2015, 55, 104001.	3.5	50
8	Prediction of exhaust emission in transient conditions of a diesel engine fueled with animal fat using Artificial Neural Network and Symbolic Regression. Energy, 2018, 149, 675-683.	8.8	50
9	Overview of JET results. Nuclear Fusion, 2009, 49, 104006.	3.5	46
10	Overview of JET results. Nuclear Fusion, 2003, 43, 1540-1554.	3.5	38
11	Overview of physics studies on ASDEX Upgrade. Nuclear Fusion, 2019, 59, 112014.	3.5	38
12	Unbiased and non-supervised learning methods for disruption prediction at JET. Nuclear Fusion, 2009, 49, 055028.	3.5	35
13	Neutron spectroscopy measurements of 14 MeV neutrons at unprecedented energy resolution and implications for deuterium-tritium fusion plasma diagnostics. Measurement Science and Technology, 2018, 29, 045502.	2.6	35
14	Dependence on plasma shape and plasma fueling for small edge-localized mode regimes in TCV and ASDEX Upgrade. Nuclear Fusion, 2019, 59, 086020.	3.5	34
15	Overview of JET results. Nuclear Fusion, 2011, 51, 094008.	3.5	33
16	Improved feature selection based on genetic algorithms for real time disruption prediction on JET. Fusion Engineering and Design, 2012, 87, 1670-1678.	1.9	29
17	Performance of an automatic quantitative ultrasound analysis of the fetal lung to predict fetal lung maturity. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2012, 207, 504.e1-504.e5.	1.3	26
18	Automatic feature extraction in large fusion databases by using deep learning approach. Fusion Engineering and Design, 2016, 112, 979-983.	1.9	26

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Automatic Quantitative MRI Texture Analysis in Small-for-Gestational-Age Fetuses Discriminates Abnormal Neonatal Neurobehavior. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e69595.	2.5	25
20	Disruption prediction with artificial intelligence techniques in tokamak plasmas. Nature Physics, 2022, 18, 741-750.	16.7	25
21	Overview of TJ-II experiments. Nuclear Fusion, 2011, 51, 094022.	3.5	24
22	Automated estimation of L/H transition times at JET by combining Bayesian statistics and support vector machines. Nuclear Fusion, 2009, 49, 085023.	3.5	23
23	Overview of progress in European medium sized tokamaks towards an integrated plasma-edge/wall solution ^a. Nuclear Fusion, 2017, 57, 102014.	3.5	23
24	14 MeV calibration of JET neutron detectorsâ€™ phase 1: calibration and characterization of the neutron source. Nuclear Fusion, 2018, 58, 026012.	3.5	22
25	Feature extraction for improved disruption prediction analysis at JET. Review of Scientific Instruments, 2008, 79, 10F328.	1.3	21
26	Overview of intelligent data retrieval methods for waveforms and images in massive fusion databases. Fusion Engineering and Design, 2009, 84, 1916-1919.	1.9	21
27	Analysis of deposited layers with deuterium and impurity elements on samples from the divertor of JET with ITER-like wall. Journal of Nuclear Materials, 2019, 516, 202-213.	2.7	18
28	Recent ASDEX Upgrade research in support of ITER and DEMO. Nuclear Fusion, 2015, 55, 104010.	3.5	16
29	3D effects on transport and plasma control in the TJ-II stellarator. Nuclear Fusion, 2017, 57, 102022.	3.5	16
30	Disruption precursor detection: Combining the time and frequency domains. , 2015, , .		13
31	Real Time Plasma Disruptions Detection in JET Implemented With the ITMS Platform Using FPGA Based IDAQ. IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science, 2011, 58, 1576-1581.	2.0	12
32	Overview of recent TJ-II stellarator results. Nuclear Fusion, 2019, 59, 112019.	3.5	12
33	A linear equation based on signal increments to predict disruptive behaviours and the time to disruption on JET. Nuclear Fusion, 2020, 60, 026001.	3.5	11
34	PHAD: a phase-oriented disruption prediction strategy for avoidance, prevention, and mitigation in JET. Nuclear Fusion, 2021, 61, 116055.	3.5	11
35	An overview on power transformer management: Individual Assets and Fleets. , 2012, , .		10
36	Simulation and real-time replacement of missing plasma signals for disruption prediction: an implementation with APODIS. Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion, 2014, 56, 114004.	2.1	10

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Viability Assessment of a Cross-Tokamak AUG-JET Disruption Predictor. Fusion Science and Technology, 2018, 74, 13-22.	1.1	10
38	Tritium distributions on W-coated divertor tiles used in the third JET ITER-like wall campaign. Nuclear Materials and Energy, 2019, 18, 258-261.	1.3	10
39	Transport, stability and plasma control studies in the TJ-II stellarator. Nuclear Fusion, 2015, 55, 104014.	3.5	9
40	Overview of the TJ-II stellarator research programme towards model validation in fusion plasmas. Nuclear Fusion, 2022, 62, 042025.	3.5	9
41	A locked mode indicator for disruption prediction on JET and ASDEX upgrade. Fusion Engineering and Design, 2019, 138, 254-266.	1.9	8
42	First applications of structural pattern recognition methods to the investigation of specific physical phenomena at JET. Fusion Engineering and Design, 2008, 83, 467-470.	1.9	7
43	Machine learning for the identification of scaling laws and dynamical systems directly from data in fusion. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, 2010, 623, 850-854.	1.6	7
44	Improved neutron activation dosimetry for fusion. Fusion Engineering and Design, 2019, 139, 109-114.	1.9	7
45	Structural pattern recognition methods based on string comparison for fusion databases. Fusion Engineering and Design, 2008, 83, 421-424.	1.9	6
46	Innovative signal processing and data analysis methods on JET for control in the perspective of next-step devices. Nuclear Fusion, 2010, 50, 055005.	3.5	6
47	Global optimization driven by genetic algorithms for disruption predictors based on APODIS architecture. Fusion Engineering and Design, 2016, 112, 1014-1018.	1.9	6
48	A multidimensional linear model for disruption prediction in JET. Fusion Engineering and Design, 2019, 146, 2393-2396.	1.9	5
49	Recent results on structural pattern recognition for Fusion massive databases. , 2007, , .		4
50	Progress on statistical learning systems as data mining tools for the creation of automatic databases in Fusion environments. Fusion Engineering and Design, 2010, 85, 399-402.	1.9	3
51	Feature selection for disruption prediction from scratch in JET by using genetic algorithms and probabilistic predictors. Fusion Engineering and Design, 2015, 96-97, 907-911.	1.9	3
52	Review of disruption predictors in nuclear fusion: Classical, from scratch and anomaly detection approaches. , 2016, , .		3
53	Analysis of the outer divertor hot spot activity in the protection video camera recordings at JET. Fusion Engineering and Design, 2019, 139, 115-123.	1.9	3
54	INSPECTION OF DISRUPTIVE BEHAVIOURS AT JET USING GENERATIVE TOPOGRAPHIC MAPPING. World Scientific Series on Nonlinear Science, Series B, 2010, , 315-320.	0.2	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Test-bed of a real time detection system for L/H and H/L transitions implemented with the ITMS platform. Fusion Engineering and Design, 2010, 85, 360-366.	1.9	2
56	New information processing methods for control on JET. Fusion Engineering and Design, 2010, 85, 428-432.	1.9	2
57	Real time plasma disruptions detection in JET implemented with the ITMS platform using FPGA based IDAQ. , 2010, , .		2
58	Real-time implementation with FPGA-based DAQ system of a probabilistic disruption predictor from scratch. Fusion Engineering and Design, 2018, 129, 179-182.	1.9	2
59	New Techniques and Technologies for Information Retrieval and Knowledge Extraction from Nuclear Fusion Massive Databases. , 2007, , .		1
60	Structural Pattern Recognition Techniques for Data Retrieval in Massive Fusion Databases. AIP Conference Proceedings, 2008, , .	0.4	1
61	Recent developments in data mining and soft computing for JET with a view on ITER. Fusion Engineering and Design, 2009, 84, 1372-1375.	1.9	1
62	New signal processing methods and information technologies for the real time control of JET reactor relevant plasmas. Fusion Engineering and Design, 2011, 86, 544-547.	1.9	1
63	Population modelling of the He II energy levels in tokamak plasmas: I. Collisional excitation model. Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics, 2019, 52, 045001.	1.5	1
64	Automatic recognition of plasma relevant events: Implications for ITER. Fusion Engineering and Design, 2020, 156, 111638.	1.9	1
65	How to Extract Information and Knowledge from Fusion Massive Databases. AIP Conference Proceedings, 2008, , .	0.4	0
66	Design of an advanced intelligent instrument with waveform recognition based on the ITMS platform. International Journal of Nuclear Knowledge Management, 2010, 4, 10.	0.3	0
67	25: Performance of an automatic quantitative ultrasound analysis (AQUA) texture extractor to predict fetal lung maturity assessed by TDx-FLM in amniotic fluid. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2012, 206, S16-S17.	1.3	0
68	Estimaci3n de Da±o de Miocardio Producido por el Mal de Chagas Mediante T3cnicas no Invasivas. IFMBE Proceedings, 2013, , 870-873.	0.3	0
69	DEVELOPMENT OF LEARNING SYSTEMS WITH DATA TOURS TECHNIQUES FOR FUSION DATABASES. , 2008, , .		0
70	DESIGN OF AN ADVANCED INTELLIGENT INSTRUMENT WITH WAVEFORM RECOGNITION BASED ON THE ITMS PLATFORM. , 2008, , .		0