

# Brian H Bornstein

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5108548/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

23  
papers

598  
citations

933447

10  
h-index

713466

21  
g-index

24  
all docs

24  
docs citations

24  
times ranked

390  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Mock juror sampling issues in jury simulation research: A meta-analysis.. Law and Human Behavior, 2017, 41, 13-28.	0.7	130
2	Jury Decision Making: Implications For and From Psychology. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 2011, 20, 63-67.	5.3	62
3	Child abuse in the eyes of the beholder: Lay perceptions of child sexual and physical abuse. Child Abuse and Neglect, 2007, 31, 375-391.	2.6	61
4	The Effects of Victimâ€™s Substance Use and Relationship Closeness on Mock Jurorsâ€™ Judgments in an Acquaintance Rape Case. Sex Roles, 2006, 54, 547-555.	2.4	50
5	The effects of defendant remorse on mock juror decisions in a malpractice case. Behavioral Sciences and the Law, 2002, 20, 393-409.	0.8	49
6	Juror reactions to jury duty: perceptions of the system and potential stressors. Behavioral Sciences and the Law, 2005, 23, 321-346.	0.8	46
7	Effects of exposure time and cognitive operations on facial identification accuracy: a meta-analysis of two variables associated with initial memory strength. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2012, 18, 473-490.	1.0	42
8	The Cross-Race Effect: Resistant to Instructions. Journal of Criminology, 2013, 2013, 1-6.	0.5	16
9	Jury simulation research: Pros, cons, trends, and alternatives.. , 2017, , 207-226.		16
10	Extending the revelation effect to faces: Haven't we met before?. Memory, 2004, 12, 140-146.	1.7	14
11	Pregnancy, drug testing, and the Fourth Amendment: Legal and behavioral implications.. Journal of Family Psychology, 2003, 17, 220-228.	1.3	10
12	Beliefs and expectancies in legal decision making: an introduction to the Special Issue. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2012, 18, 1-10.	1.0	9
13	Do Judicial Instructions Aid in Distinguishing Between Reliable and Unreliable Jailhouse Informants?. Criminal Justice and Behavior, 2020, 47, 582-600.	1.8	9
14	Age and lineup type differences in the own-race bias. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2015, 21, 490-506.	1.0	8
15	â€œIt will be your dutyâ€:â€The Psychology of Criminal Jury Instructions. Advances in Psychology and Law, 2016, , 119-158.	0.3	8
16	Basic and applied issues in eyewitness research: A MÃ¼nsterberg centennial retrospective. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2008, 22, 733-736.	1.6	7
17	Secondary Confessions as Post-identification Feedback: How Jailhouse Informant Testimony Can Alter Eyewitnessesâ€™ Identification Decisions. Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology, 2018, 33, 375-384.	1.9	6
18	The truth about snitches: an archival analysis of informant testimony. Psychiatry, Psychology and Law, 2021, 28, 508-530.	1.2	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	JUST: a measure of jury system trustworthiness. <i>Psychology, Crime and Law</i> , 2020, 26, 797-822.	1.0	4
20	The Perception of a Jailhouse Informant in a Sexual Assault Case. <i>Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology</i> , 2023, 38, 281-292.	1.9	4
21	The disappearing trial: how social scientists can help save the jury from extinction. <i>Psychology, Crime and Law</i> , 2023, 29, 1-24.	1.0	4
22	Juror Decision-Making in the Twenty-First Century: Confronting Science and Technology in Court. , 2005, , 301-327.		2
23	The role of semantic relatedness in producing the revelation effect: A test of the global-matching model. <i>Journal of Cognitive Psychology</i> , 2015, 27, 207-217.	0.9	2