Janine Astrid van Til

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

58	1,470	331670 21	330143 37
papers	citations	h-index	g-index

59	59	59	1987
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	The ICECAP-A instrument for capabilities: assessment of construct validity and testâ€“retest reliability in a general Dutch population. Quality of Life Research, 2022, 31, 687-696.	3.1	7
2	The ICEpop Capability Measure for Adults Instrument for Capabilities: Development of a Tariff for the Dutch General Population. Value in Health, 2022, 25, 125-132.	0.3	6
3	Professionalsâ€™ Treatment Preferences in the Prodromal Phase of Parkinsonâ€™s Disease: A Discrete Choice Experiment. Journal of Parkinson's Disease, 2022, 12, 1655-1664.	2.8	1
4	Surgeonsâ€™ preferences for using sentinel lymph node biopsy in patients with ductal carcinoma in situ. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0269551.	2.5	0
5	Selecting Image-Guided Surgical Technologies in Oncology: A Surgeon's Perspective. Journal of Surgical Research, 2021, 257, 333-343.	1.6	1
6	Quantitative Benefitâ€“Risk Assessment: State of the Practice Within Industry. Therapeutic Innovation and Regulatory Science, 2021, 55, 415-425.	1.6	13
7	Public Preferences in Priority Setting when Admitting Patients to the ICU During the COVID-19 Crisis: A Pilot Study. Patient, 2021, 14, 331-338.	2.7	5
8	Chasing Certainty After Cardiac Arrest: Can a Technological Innovation Solve a Moral Dilemma?. Neuroethics, 2021, 14, 541-559.	2.8	1
9	Preferences of Treatment Strategies among Women with Low-Risk DCIS and Oncologists. Cancers, 2021, 13, 3962.	3.7	8
10	Use of the left radial artery as vascular access for coronary angiography and as a bypass conduit: A clinical dilemma?. Cardiovascular Revascularization Medicine, 2021, 34, 134-134.	0.8	3
11	Prognostication of patients in coma after cardiac arrest: Public perspectives. Resuscitation, 2021, 169, 4-10.	3.0	7
12	Development and usability testing of a multi-criteria value clarification methods for patients with localized prostate cancer. Health Informatics Journal, 2020, 26, 486-498.	2.1	2
13	Health Preference Research in Europe: A Review of Its Use in Marketing Authorization, Reimbursement, and Pricing Decisionsâ€”Report of the ISPOR Stated Preference Research Special Interest Group. Value in Health, 2020, 23, 831-841.	0.3	37
14	Heterogeneity in Preferences for Anti-coagulant Use in Atrial Fibrillation: A Latent Class Analysis. Patient, 2020, 13, 445-455.	2.7	0
15	Support Tools for Preference-Sensitive Decisions in Healthcare: Where Are We? Where Do We Go? How Do We Get There?. Patient, 2019, 12, 439-443.	2.7	11
16	Multicriteria Decision Analysis to Support Health Technology Assessment Agencies: Benefits, Limitations, and the Way Forward. Value in Health, 2019, 22, 1283-1288.	0.3	97
17	Primary care in five European countries: A citizensâ€™ perspective on the quality of care for children. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0224550.	2.5	1
18	Opportunities for personalised followâ€up care among patients with breast cancer: A scoping review to identify preferenceâ€sensitive decisions. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2019, 28, e13092.	1.5	24

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Bringing MOCHA Lessons to Your Service. , 2019, , 359-370.		3
20	PP177 Health Preference Research In Europe: A Review Of Its Use. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2019, 35, 70-70.	0.5	0
21	Individual Value Clarification Methods Based on Conjoint Analysis: A Systematic Review of Common Practice in Task Design, Statistical Analysis, and Presentation of Results. Medical Decision Making, 2018, 38, 746-755.	2.4	11
22	Patient preference for radial versus femoral vascular access for elective coronary procedures: The PREVAS study. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2018, 91, 17-24.	1.7	75
23	What Does the Public Want? Structural Consideration of Citizen Preferences in Health Care Coverage Decisions. MDM Policy and Practice, 2018, 3, 238146831879962.	0.9	0
24	Women’s preferences, willingness-to-pay, and predicted uptake for single-nucleotide polymorphism gene testing to guide personalized breast cancer screening strategies: a discrete choice experiment. Patient Preference and Adherence, 2018, Volume 12, 1837-1852.	1.8	13
25	Are component endpoints equal? A preference study into the practice of composite endpoints in clinical trials. Health Expectations, 2018, 21, 1046-1055.	2.6	12
26	Patientsâ€™ Priorities for Oral Anticoagulation Therapy in Non-valvular Atrial Fibrillation: a Multi-criteria Decision Analysis. American Journal of Cardiovascular Drugs, 2018, 18, 493-502.	2.2	14
27	Perceived advantages and disadvantages of oral anticoagulants, and the trade-offs patients make in choosing anticoagulant therapy and adhering to their drug regimen. Patient Education and Counseling, 2018, 101, 1982-1989.	2.2	18
28	Pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatment preferences of healthcare professionals and proxies for challenging behaviors in patients with dementia. International Psychogeriatrics, 2017, 29, 1377-1389.	1.0	10
29	Patient and Public Preferences for Treatment Attributes in Parkinsonâ€™s Disease. Patient, 2017, 10, 763-772.	2.7	4
30	Early EEG for outcome prediction of postanoxic coma: prospective cohort study with cost-minimization analysis. Critical Care, 2017, 21, 111.	5.8	75
31	A qualitative study on Singaporean womenâ€™s views towards breast cancer screening and Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) gene testing to guide personalised screening strategies. BMC Cancer, 2017, 17, 776.	2.6	17
32	Dealing with Uncertainty in the Analysis and Reporting of MCDA. , 2017, , 67-85.		6
33	Early Assessment of Medical Devices in Development for Company Decision Making: an Exploration of Best Practices. Journal of Commercial Biotechnology, 2017, 23, .	0.4	8
34	Valuing Treatments for Parkinson Disease Incorporating Process Utility: Performance of Best-Worst Scaling, Time Trade-Off, and Visual Analogue Scales. Value in Health, 2016, 19, 226-232.	0.3	18
35	Commercial viability of medical devices using Headroom and return on investment calculation. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 2016, 112, 338-346.	11.6	18
36	Exploring how individuals complete the choice tasks in a discrete choice experiment: an interview study. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2016, 16, 45.	3.1	23

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Involving Patients in Weighting Benefits and Harms of Treatment in Parkinson's Disease. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0160771.	2.5	27
38	Patient preference regarding assessment of clinical follow-up after percutaneous coronary intervention: the PAPAYA study. EuroIntervention, 2016, 11, 1487-1494.	3.2	3
39	Words or graphics to present a Discrete Choice Experiment: Does it matter?. Patient Education and Counseling, 2015, 98, 1376-1384.	2.2	31
40	A Review and Classification of Approaches for Dealing with Uncertainty in Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis for Healthcare Decisions. Pharmacoeconomics, 2015, 33, 445-455.	3.3	96
41	MEDICAL DEVICES EARLY ASSESSMENT METHODS: SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2014, 30, 137-146.	0.5	65
42	Does technique matter; a pilot study exploring weighting techniques for a multi-criteria decision support framework. Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation, 2014, 12, 22.	1.5	43
43	Why Should Regulators Consider Using Patient Preferences in Benefit-risk Assessment?. Pharmacoeconomics, 2014, 32, 1-4.	3.3	52
44	A Systematic Review to Identify the Use of Preference Elicitation Methods in Healthcare Decision Making. Pharmaceutical Medicine, 2014, 28, 175-185.	1.9	42
45	Parentsâ€™ decision for helmet therapy in infants with skull deformation. Child's Nervous System, 2014, 30, 1225-1232.	1.1	7
46	Public stated preferences and predicted uptake for genome-based colorectal cancer screening. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2014, 14, 18.	3.0	25
47	WHICH CRITERIA ARE CONSIDERED IN HEALTHCARE DECISIONS? INSIGHTS FROM AN INTERNATIONAL SURVEY OF POLICY AND CLINICAL DECISION MAKERS. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2013, 29, 456-465.	0.5	80
48	A Comparison of Analytic Hierarchy Process and Conjoint Analysis Methods in Assessing Treatment Alternatives for Stroke Rehabilitation. Patient, 2012, 5, 45-56.	2.7	52
49	From efficacy to equity: Literature review of decision criteria for resource allocation and healthcare decisionmaking. Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation, 2012, 10, 9.	1.5	163
50	The potential for shared decision-making and decision aids in rehabilitation medicine. Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine, 2010, 42, 598-604.	1.1	26
51	Feasibility of web-based decision aids in neurological patients. Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare, 2010, 16, 48-52.	2.7	14
52	The effect of information on preferences stated in a choice-based conjoint analysis. Patient Education and Counseling, 2009, 74, 264-271.	2.2	22
53	Decision for reconstructive interventions of the upper limb in individuals with tetraplegia: the effect of treatment characteristics. Spinal Cord, 2008, 46, 228-233.	1.9	18
54	The Use of the Analytic Hierarchy Process to Aid Decision Making in Acquired Equinovarus Deformity. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 2008, 89, 457-462.	0.9	39

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	The Use of Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis Weight Elicitation Techniques in Patients with MildÂCognitive Impairment. Patient, 2008, 1, 127-135.	2.7	21
56	Comparison of Two Multi-Criteria Decision Techniques for Eliciting Treatment Preferences in People withÂNeurological Disorders. Patient, 2008, 1, 265-272.	2.7	40
57	A preliminary economic evaluation of percutaneous neuromuscular electrical stimulation in the treatment of hemiplegic shoulder pain. Disability and Rehabilitation, 2006, 28, 645-651.	1.8	19
58	A multicriteria decision analysis of augmentative treatment of upper limbs in persons with tetraplegia. Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development, 2005, 42, 635.	1.6	36