

Peter Tams

List of Publications by Citations

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4985470/peter-tamas-publications-by-citations.pdf>

Version: 2024-04-28

This document has been generated based on the publications and citations recorded by exaly.com. For the latest version of this publication list, visit the link given above.

The third column is the impact factor (IF) of the journal, and the fourth column is the number of citations of the article.

19
papers

125
citations

7
h-index

11
g-index

19
ext. papers

157
ext. citations

3
avg, IF

3.05
L-index

#	Paper	IF	Citations
19	Overly ambitious: contributions and current status of Q methodology. <i>Quality and Quantity</i> , 2014 , 48, 3109-3126	2.4	32
18	A systematic review of local vulnerability to climate change in developing country agriculture. <i>Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change</i> , 2017 , 8, e464	8.4	15
17	Should I take this seriously? A simple checklist for calling bullshit on policy supporting research. <i>Quality and Quantity</i> , 2014 , 48, 1213-1223	2.4	13
16	Spoken moments of a pernicious discourse? Querying Foucauldian critics/Vrepresentations of development professionals. <i>Third World Quarterly</i> , 2007 , 28, 901-916	1.5	13
15	Searching for evidence or approval? A commentary on database search in systematic reviews and alternative information retrieval methodologies. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> , 2018 , 9, 124-131	7.2	13
14	Governance of food systems across scales in times of social-ecological change: a review of indicators. <i>Food Security</i> , 2018 , 10, 287-310	6.7	11
13	Misrecognitions and missed opportunities: post-structuralism and the practice of development. <i>Third World Quarterly</i> , 2004 , 25, 649-660	1.5	9
12	Systematic Review of Methods in Low-Consensus Fields: Supporting Commensuration through Construct-Centered Methods Aggregation/Vn the Case of Climate Change Vulnerability Research. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2016 , 11, e0149071	3.7	5
11	Claim-making through subjectivation: A governmentality analysis of associational performance to claim land in the hybridity of peri-urban Bukavu. <i>Geoforum</i> , 2020 , 109, 152-161	2.9	4
10	Heresy and the Church of Q: a reply. <i>Quality and Quantity</i> , 2015 , 49, 539-540	2.4	3
9	The Chick Diffusion: How Newspapers Fail to Meet Normative Expectations Regarding Their Democratic Role in Public Debate. <i>Journalism Studies</i> , 2020 , 21, 636-658	1.9	2
8	How to present the analysis of qualitative data within interdisciplinary studies for readers in the life and natural sciences. <i>Quality and Quantity</i> , 1	2.4	2
7	Which standards from which disciplines? A test of systematic review for designing interdisciplinary evaluations. <i>Journal of Development Effectiveness</i> , 2017 , 9, 82-100	0.6	1
6	Reflections on and suggestions for reporting vulnerability research: How can peer reviewed articles reflect complex practice in low consensus fields such that they better support review and synthesis?. <i>Environmental Science and Policy</i> , 2019 , 97, 78-80	6.2	1
5	Autochthony and insecure land tenure: the spatiality of ethnicized hybridity in the periphery of post-conflict Bukavu, DRC. <i>Journal of Eastern African Studies</i> , 2018 , 12, 290-309	1.3	1
4	Articles reporting research on Latin American social movements are only rarely transparent. <i>Social Movement Studies</i> , 2018 , 17, 736-748	1.6	0
3	Is the Non-unitary Subject a Plausible and Productive Way to Understand Development Bureaucrats?. <i>Third World Quarterly</i> , 2012 , 33, 1511-1525	1.5	0

- 2 Something wicked this way comes: How well did UK newspapers support the public debate of Avian Influenza as a wicked problem?. *Journalism*, **2020**, 146488492097778 1.6
- 1 The standard of integrity may be useful when assessing arguments over qualitative review methods: The case of the Joanna Briggs Institute's rebuttal of a fundamental critique. *Nursing Inquiry*, **2021**, e12465 2.2