Isabelle Boutron

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4915631/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

230 papers 87,556 citations

67 h-index 219 g-index

248 all docs

248 docs citations

times ranked

248

66741 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ, The, 2021, 372, n71.	6.0	26,066
2	RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2019, 366, l4898.	2.3	10,984
3	ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. BMJ, The, 2016, 355, i4919.	6.0	8,654
4	Better reporting of interventions: template for intervention description and replication (TIDieR) checklist and guide. BMJ, The, 2014, 348, g1687-g1687.	6.0	5,661
5	The PRISMA Extension Statement for Reporting of Systematic Reviews Incorporating Network Meta-analyses of Health Care Interventions: Checklist and Explanations. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2015, 162, 777-784.	3.9	4,590
6	The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. Systematic Reviews, 2021, 10, 89.	5.3	3,624
7	The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. International Journal of Surgery, 2021, 88, 105906.	2.7	3,487
8	PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: updated guidance and exemplars for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ, The, 2021, 372, n160.	6.0	3,413
9	Extending the CONSORT Statement to Randomized Trials of Nonpharmacologic Treatment: Explanation and Elaboration. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2008, 148, 295.	3.9	1,865
10	The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. PLoS Medicine, 2021, 18, e1003583.	8.4	1,340
11	Updating guidance for reporting systematic reviews: development of the PRISMA 2020 statement. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 134, 103-112.	5.0	1,022
12	The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 134, 178-189.	5.0	995
13	Reducing waste from incomplete or unusable reports of biomedical research. Lancet, The, 2014, 383, 267-276.	13.7	982
14	CONSORT Statement for Randomized Trials of Nonpharmacologic Treatments: A 2017 Update and a CONSORT Extension for Nonpharmacologic Trial Abstracts. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2017, 167, 40.	3.9	833
15	Comparison of Registered and Published Primary Outcomes in Randomized Controlled Trials. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2009, 302, 977.	7.4	620
16	Reporting and Interpretation of Randomized Controlled Trials With Statistically Nonsignificant Results for Primary Outcomes. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2010, 303, 2058.	7.4	585
17	Challenges in evaluating surgical innovation. Lancet, The, 2009, 374, 1097-1104.	13.7	523
18	Declaración PRISMA 2020: una guÃa actualizada para la publicación de revisiones sistemáticas. Revista Espanola De Cardiologia, 2021, 74, 790-799.	1.2	473

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Methods and Processes of the CONSORT Group: Example of an Extension for Trials Assessing Nonpharmacologic Treatments. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2008, 148, W-60.	3.9	415
20	Influence of trial sample size on treatment effect estimates: meta-epidemiological study. BMJ, The, 2013, 346, f2304-f2304.	6.0	377
21	Observer bias in randomized clinical trials with measurement scale outcomes: a systematic review of trials with both blinded and nonblinded assessors. Cmaj, 2013, 185, E201-E211.	2.0	370
22	Increasing value and reducing waste in biomedical research: who's listening?. Lancet, The, 2016, 387, 1573-1586.	13.7	346
23	Observer bias in randomised clinical trials with binary outcomes: systematic review of trials with both blinded and non-blinded outcome assessors. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2012, 344, e1119-e1119.	2.3	304
24	A checklist to evaluate a report of a nonpharmacological trial (CLEAR NPT) was developed using consensus. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2005, 58, 1233-1240.	5.0	287
25	Impact of Spin in the Abstracts of Articles Reporting Results of Randomized Controlled Trials in the Field of Cancer: The SPIIN Randomized Controlled Trial. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2014, 32, 4120-4126.	1.6	281
26	Reporting Methods of Blinding in Randomized Trials Assessing Nonpharmacological Treatments. PLoS Medicine, 2007, 4, e61.	8.4	270
27	Considerations in boosting COVID-19 vaccine immune responses. Lancet, The, 2021, 398, 1377-1380.	13.7	267
28	Reporting of Safety Results in Published Reports of Randomized Controlled Trials. Archives of Internal Medicine, 2009, 169, 1756.	3.8	230
29	Blinding was judged more difficult to achieve and maintain in nonpharmacologic than pharmacologic trials. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2004, 57, 543-550.	5.0	228
30	Single-Center Trials Show Larger Treatment Effects Than Multicenter Trials: Evidence From a Meta-epidemiologic Study. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2011, 155, 39.	3.9	224
31	Evaluation of the Cochrane tool for assessing risk of bias in randomized clinical trials: overview of published comments and analysis of user practice in Cochrane and non-Cochrane reviews. Systematic Reviews, 2016, 5, 80.	5.3	207
32	Misrepresentation of Randomized Controlled Trials in Press Releases and News Coverage: A Cohort Study. PLoS Medicine, 2012, 9, e1001308.	8.4	192
33	Timing and Completeness of Trial Results Posted at ClinicalTrials.gov and Published in Journals. PLoS Medicine, 2013, 10, e1001566.	8.4	191
34	Comparison of Treatment Effect Estimates From Prospective Nonrandomized Studies With Propensity Score Analysis and Randomized Controlled Trials of Surgical Procedures. Annals of Surgery, 2014, 259, 18-25.	4.2	190
35	Systematic review adherence to methodological or reporting quality. Systematic Reviews, 2017, 6, 131.	5.3	180
36	Effect of editors' implementation of CONSORT guidelines on the reporting of abstracts in high impact medical journals: interrupted time series analysis. BMJ, The, 2012, 344, e4178-e4178.	6.0	178

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Guidelines for Reporting Trial Protocols and Completed Trials Modified Due to the COVID-19 Pandemic and Other Extenuating Circumstances. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2021, 326, 257.	7.4	168
38	Taking healthcare interventions from trial to practice. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2010, 341, c3852-c3852.	2.3	168
39	Misrepresentation and distortion of research in biomedical literature. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2018, 115, 2613-2619.	7.1	166
40	Association Between Analytic Strategy and Estimates of Treatment Outcomes in Meta-analyses. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2014, 312, 623.	7.4	161
41	Impact of blinding on estimated treatment effects in randomised clinical trials: meta-epidemiological study. BMJ, The, 2020, 368, 16802.	6.0	143
42	Methodological Differences in Clinical Trials Evaluating Nonpharmacological and Pharmacological Treatments of Hip and Knee Osteoarthritis. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2003, 290, 1062.	7.4	138
43	Impact of interventions to improve the quality of peer review of biomedical journals: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Medicine, 2016, 14, 85.	5.5	131
44	A new classification of spin in systematic reviews and meta-analyses was developed and ranked according to the severity. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 75, 56-65.	5.0	129
45	The Reporting of Randomized Clinical Trials Using a Surgical Intervention Is in Need of Immediate Improvement. Annals of Surgery, 2006, 244, 677-683.	4.2	125
46	Underrepresentation of Elderly People in Randomised Controlled Trials. The Example of Trials of 4 Widely Prescribed Drugs. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e33559.	2.5	125
47	Evolution of poor reporting and inadequate methods over time in 20 920 randomised controlled trials included in Cochrane reviews: research on research study. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2017, 357, j2490.	2.3	114
48	Methods of Blinding in Reports of Randomized Controlled Trials Assessing Pharmacologic Treatments: A Systematic Review. PLoS Medicine, 2006, 3, e425.	8.4	113
49	Avoidable waste of research related to inadequate methods in clinical trials. BMJ, The, 2015, 350, h809-h809.	6.0	106
50	Interleukin-6 blocking agents for treating COVID-19: a living systematic review. The Cochrane Library, 2021, 2021, CD013881.	2.8	106
51	The Scleroderma Patient-centered Intervention Network (SPIN) Cohort: protocol for a cohort multiple randomised controlled trial (cmRCT) design to support trials of psychosocial and rehabilitation interventions in a rare disease context. BMJ Open, 2013, 3, e003563.	1.9	104
52	Potential Pitfalls of Reporting and Bias in Observational Studies With Propensity Score Analysis Assessing a Surgical Procedure. Annals of Surgery, 2017, 265, 901-909.	4.2	99
53	Impact of single centre status on estimates of intervention effects in trials with continuous outcomes: meta-epidemiological study. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2012, 344, e813-e813.	2.3	98
54	Highâ€sensitivity Câ€reactive protein in chronic low back pain with vertebral endâ€plate modic signal changes. Arthritis and Rheumatism, 2007, 57, 1311-1315.	6.7	96

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Patients' and Practitioners' Views of Knee Osteoarthritis and Its Management: A Qualitative Interview Study. PLoS ONE, 2011, 6, e19634.	2.5	96
56	Classification and prevalence of spin in abstracts of non-randomized studies evaluating an intervention. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2015, 15, 85.	3.1	94
57	Observer bias in randomized clinical trials with time-to-event outcomes: systematic review of trials with both blinded and non-blinded outcome assessors. International Journal of Epidemiology, 2014, 43, 937-948.	1.9	93
58	Half of elderly patients routinely treated for colorectal cancer receive a sub-standard treatment. Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology, 2009, 71, 249-257.	4.4	92
59	Scoping review on interventions to improve adherence to reporting guidelines in health research. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e026589.	1.9	86
60	High-intensity versus low-intensity physical activity or exercise in people with hip or knee osteoarthritis. The Cochrane Library, 2015, 2015, CD010203.	2.8	85
61	Potential workload in applying clinical practice guidelines for patients with chronic conditions and multimorbidity: a systematic analysis. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e010119.	1.9	85
62	Mapping of reporting guidance for systematic reviews and meta-analyses generated a comprehensive item bank for future reporting guidelines. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 118, 60-68.	5.0	84
63	A review of blinding in randomized controlled trials found results inconsistent and questionable. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2005, 58, 1220-1226.	5.0	83
64	Impact of searching clinical trial registries in systematic reviews of pharmaceutical treatments: methodological systematic review and reanalysis of meta-analyses. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2017, 356, j448.	2.3	82
65	Diagnostic accuracy of serum hepcidin for iron deficiency in critically ill patients with anemia. Intensive Care Medicine, 2010, 36, 1044-1048.	8.2	79
66	Exclusion of patients with concomitant chronic conditions in ongoing randomised controlled trials targeting 10 common chronic conditions and registered at ClinicalTrials.gov: a systematic review of registration details. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e012265.	1.9	79
67	Impact of an online writing aid tool for writing a randomized trial report: the COBWEB (Consort-based WEB tool) randomized controlled trial. BMC Medicine, 2015, 13, 221.	5. 5	78
68	Impact of peer review on reports of randomised trials published in open peer review journals: retrospective before and after study. BMJ, The, 2014, 349, g4145-g4145.	6.0	74
69	The COVID-NMA Project: Building an Evidence Ecosystem for the COVID-19 Pandemic. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2020, 173, 1015-1017.	3.9	70
70	ARTIST (osteoarthritis intervention standardized) study of standardised consultation versus usual care for patients with osteoarthritis of the knee in primary care in France: pragmatic randomised controlled trial. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2009, 338, b421-b421.	2.3	69
71	The research burden of randomized controlled trial participation: a systematic thematic synthesis of qualitative evidence. BMC Medicine, 2020, 18, 6.	5.5	67
72	Disability and quality of life of patients with knee or hip osteoarthritis in the primary care setting and factors associated with general practitioners' indication for prosthetic replacement within 1 year. Osteoarthritis and Cartilage, 2008, 16, 1024-1031.	1.3	66

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	The statistical significance of meta-analyses is frequently fragile: definition of a fragility index for meta-analyses. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 111, 32-40.	5.0	65
74	CONSORT extension for the reporting of randomised controlled trials conducted using cohorts and routinely collected data (CONSORT-ROUTINE): checklist with explanation and elaboration. BMJ, The, 2021, 373, n857.	6.0	65
75	Intradiscal Glucocorticoid Injection for Patients With Chronic Low Back Pain Associated With Active Discopathy. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2017, 166, 547.	3.9	63
76	Blinding in Randomized Clinical Trials: Imposed Impartiality. Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 2011, 90, 732-736.	4.7	61
77	Systematic examination of preprint platforms for use in the medical and biomedical sciences setting. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e041849.	1.9	54
78	The Scleroderma Patient-Centered Intervention Network Cohort: baseline clinical features and comparison with other large scleroderma cohorts. Rheumatology, 2018, 57, 1623-1631.	1.9	53
79	Size-Adjusted Left Ventricular Outflow Tract Diameter Reference Values: A Safeguard for the Evaluation of the Severity of Aortic Stenosis. Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography, 2009, 22, 445-451.	2.8	52
80	Personalized Physical Therapy Versus Usual Care for Patients With Systemic Sclerosis: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Arthritis Care and Research, 2017, 69, 1050-1059.	3.4	52
81	Tools used to assess the quality of peer review reports: a methodological systematic review. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2019, 19, 48.	3.1	52
82	Interpretation of Results of Studies Evaluating an Intervention Highlighted in Google Health News: A Cross-Sectional Study of News. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0140889.	2.5	52
83	The evolution of assessing bias in Cochrane systematic reviews of interventions: celebrating methodological contributions of the Cochrane Collaboration. Systematic Reviews, 2013, 2, 79.	5.3	50
84	Association between trial registration and treatment effect estimates: a meta-epidemiological study. BMC Medicine, 2016, 14, 100.	5.5	50
85	Pravila PRISMA 2020 Medicina Fluminensis, 2021, 57, 444-465.	0.3	50
86	Violation of the intent-to-treat principle and rate of missing data in superiority trials assessing structural outcomes in rheumatic diseases. Arthritis and Rheumatism, 2005, 52, 1858-1865.	6.7	49
87	A scoping review on the roles and tasks of peer reviewers in the manuscript review process in biomedical journals. BMC Medicine, 2019, 17, 118.	5.5	48
88	The conduct and reporting of mediation analysis in recently published randomized controlled trials: results from a methodological systematic review. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 117, 78-88.	5.0	48
89	The most important tasks for peer reviewers evaluating a randomized controlled trial are not congruent with the tasks most often requested by journal editors. BMC Medicine, 2015, 13, 158.	5.5	47
90	Reporting of Harm in Randomized, Controlled Trials of Nonpharmacologic Treatment for Rheumatic Disease. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2005, 143, 20.	3.9	46

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	Inadequate planning and reporting of adjudication committees in clinical trials: Recommendation proposal. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2009, 62, 695-702.	5.0	46
92	Incorporation of assessments of risk of bias of primary studies in systematic reviews of randomised trials: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open, 2013, 3, e003342.	1.9	46
93	Changes in evidence for studies assessing interventions for COVID-19 reported in preprints: meta-research study. BMC Medicine, 2020, 18, 402.	5 . 5	42
94	Peer reviewers identified spin in manuscripts of nonrandomized studies assessing therapeutic interventions, but their impact on spin in abstract conclusions was limited. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 77, 44-51.	5.0	41
95	Impact of a web-based tool (WebCONSORT) to improve the reporting of randomised trials: results of a randomised controlled trial. BMC Medicine, 2016, 14, 199.	5.5	41
96	Identifying approaches for assessing methodological and reporting quality of systematic reviews: a descriptive study. Systematic Reviews, 2017, 6, 117.	5.3	41
97	Applicability and generalisability of the results of systematic reviews to public health practice and policy: a systematic review. Trials, 2010, 11, 20.	1.6	38
98	Deficiencies in the publication and reporting of the results of systematic reviews presented at scientific medical conferences. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2015, 68, 1488-1495.	5.0	38
99	Avoidable waste of research related to outcome planning and reporting in clinical trials. BMC Medicine, 2018, 16, 87.	5.5	38
100	Journal editors' perspectives on the roles and tasks of peer reviewers in biomedical journals: a qualitative study. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e033421.	1.9	38
101	Front-of-Pack Labeling and the Nutritional Quality of Students' Food Purchases: A 3-Arm Randomized Controlled Trial. American Journal of Public Health, 2019, 109, 1122-1129.	2.7	34
102	The Fragility and Reliability of Conclusions of Anesthesia and Critical Care Randomized Trials With Statistically Significant Findings: A Systematic Review*. Critical Care Medicine, 2019, 47, 456-462.	0.9	34
103	Guidance for pharmacogenomic biomarker testing in labels of FDA-approved drugs. Genetics in Medicine, 2015, 17, 733-738.	2.4	33
104	Three randomized controlled trials evaluating the impact of "spin―in health news stories reporting studies of pharmacologic treatments on patients'/caregivers' interpretation of treatment benefit. BMC Medicine, 2019, 17, 105.	5.5	33
105	Future of evidence ecosystem series: 3. From an evidence synthesis ecosystem to an evidence ecosystem. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 123, 153-161.	5.0	33
106	Development and Validation of a Questionnaire Assessing Fears and Beliefs of Patients with Knee Osteoarthritis: The Knee Osteoarthritis Fears and Beliefs Questionnaire (KOFBeQ). PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e53886.	2.5	32
107	Future of evidence ecosystem series: 1. Introduction Evidence synthesis ecosystem needs dramatic change. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 123, 135-142.	5.0	32
108	Disability in adults with hip and knee arthroplasty: a French national community based survey. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, 2003, 62, 748-754.	0.9	31

#	Article	IF	Citations
109	Exercise programmes for ankylosing spondylitis. The Cochrane Library, 2019, 10, CD011321.	2.8	31
110	Day-to-day discovery of preprint–publication links. Scientometrics, 2021, 126, 5285-5304.	3.0	31
111	A systematic review of the use of an expertise-based randomised controlled trial design. Trials, 2015, 16, 241.	1.6	30
112	Node-making process in network meta-analysis of nonpharmacological treatment are poorly reported. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 97, 95-102.	5.0	29
113	Usefulness of the right parasternal view and non-imaging continuous-wave Doppler transducer for the evaluation of the severity of aortic stenosis in the modern area. European Journal of Echocardiography, 2009, 10, 420-424.	2.3	28
114	Protocol for the development of a CONSORT extension for RCTs using cohorts and routinely collected health data. Research Integrity and Peer Review, 2018, 3, 9.	5.2	28
115	Reporting of analyses from randomized controlled trials with multiple arms: a systematic review. BMC Medicine, $2013,11,84.$	5.5	27
116	An Education Program for Risk Factor Management After an Acute Coronary Syndrome. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2014, 174, 40.	5.1	27
117	Comparison of central adjudication of outcomes and onsite outcome assessment on treatment effect estimates. The Cochrane Library, 2016, 2016, MR000043.	2.8	27
118	Accuracy in detecting inadequate research reporting by early career peer reviewers using an online CONSORT-based peer-review tool (COBPeer) versus the usual peer-review process: a cross-sectional diagnostic study. BMC Medicine, 2019, 17, 205.	5.5	27
119	Interventions for the prevention and treatment of COVID-19: a living mapping of research and living network meta-analysis. The Cochrane Library, 0 , , .	2.8	27
120	Inadequate description of educational interventions in ongoing randomized controlled trials. Trials, 2012, 13, 63.	1.6	26
121	Interleukin-1 blocking agents for treating COVID-19. The Cochrane Library, 2022, 2022, CD015308.	2.8	26
122	Impact of sending email reminders of the legal requirement for posting results on ClinicalTrials.gov: cohort embedded pragmatic randomized controlled trial. BMJ, The, 2014, 349, g5579-g5579.	6.0	25
123	A scoping review protocol on the roles and tasks of peer reviewers in the manuscript review process in biomedical journals. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e017468.	1.9	25
124	Effect of Osteopathic Manipulative Treatment vs Sham Treatment on Activity Limitations in Patients With Nonspecific Subacute and Chronic Low Back Pain. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2021, 181, 620.	5.1	25
125	The account for provider and center effects in multicenter interventional and surgical randomized controlled trials is in need of improvement: a review. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2008, 61, 435-439.	5.0	24
126	Evidence for Treatment-by-Biomarker interaction for FDA-approved Oncology Drugs with Required Pharmacogenomic Biomarker Testing. Scientific Reports, 2017, 7, 6882.	3.3	24

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
127	Factors associated with online media attention to research: a cohort study of articles evaluating cancer treatments. Research Integrity and Peer Review, 2017, 2, 9.	5.2	24
128	Review and publication of protocol submissions to Trials – what have we learned in 10Âyears?. Trials, 2017, 18, 34.	1.6	24
129	Performance of Rapid Diagnostic Tests for Imported Malaria in Clinical Practice: Results of a National Multicenter Study. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e75486.	2.5	24
130	Neglected external validity in reports of randomized trials: The example of hip and knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis and Rheumatism, 2009, 61, 361-369.	6.7	23
131	Assessing Risk of Bias in Randomised Clinical Trials Included in Cochrane Reviews: The why is Easy, the how is a Challenge. , 2013, , ED000058.		23
132	Future of evidence ecosystem series: 2. current opportunities and need for better tools and methods. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 123, 143-152.	5.0	23
133	The design and assessment of prospective randomised, controlled trials in orthopaedic surgery. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery: British Volume, 2007, 89-B, 858-863.	3.4	22
134	Scientific production and impact of national registers: the example of orthopaedic national registers. Osteoarthritis and Cartilage, 2011, 19, 858-863.	1.3	22
135	Provider and Center Effect in Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trials of Surgical Specialties: An Analysis on Patient-level Data. Annals of Surgery, 2008, 247, 892-898.	4.2	21
136	Some Cochrane risk-of-bias items are not important in osteoarthritis trials: a meta-epidemiological study based on Cochrane reviews. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 95, 128-136.	5.0	21
137	Impact of a short version of the CONSORT checklist for peer reviewers to improve the reporting of randomised controlled trials published in biomedical journals: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e035114.	1.9	21
138	Protocol for a partially nested randomised controlled trial to evaluate the effectiveness of the scleroderma patient-centered intervention network COVID-19 home-isolation activities together (SPIN-CHAT) program to reduce anxiety among at-risk scleroderma patients. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 2020, 135, 110132.	2.6	21
139	Assessment of a Standardized Pre-Operative Telephone Checklist Designed to Avoid Late Cancellation of Ambulatory Surgery: The AMBUPROG Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0147194.	2.5	21
140	Effect of an editorial intervention to improve the completeness of reporting of randomised trials: a randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e036799.	1.9	20
141	Research response to coronavirus disease 2019 needed better coordination and collaboration: a living mapping of registered trials. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 130, 107-116.	5.0	20
142	Consensus on Severity for Ocular Emergency: The BAsic SEverity Score for Common OculaR Emergencies [BaSe SCOrE]. Journal of Ophthalmology, 2015, 2015, 1-9.	1.3	19
143	A systematic review finds that spin or interpretation bias is abundant in evaluations of ovarian cancer biomarkers. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 116, 9-17.	5.0	19
144	Spin in Scientific Publications: A Frequent Detrimental Research Practice. Annals of Emergency Medicine, 2020, 75, 432-434.	0.6	19

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
145	Effect of Prehabilitation Before Total Knee Replacement for Knee Osteoarthritis on Functional Outcomes. JAMA Network Open, 2022, 5, e221462.	5.9	19
146	Interpretation of health news items reported with or without spin: protocol for a prospective meta-analysis of 16 randomised controlled trials. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e017425.	1.9	18
147	Risk of bias in observational studies using routinely collected data of comparative effectiveness research: a meta-research study. BMC Medicine, 2021, 19, 279.	5.5	18
148	Applicability and generalisability of published results of randomised controlled trials and non-randomised studies evaluating four orthopaedic procedures: methodological systematic review. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2009, 339, b4538-b4538.	2.3	17
149	Sharing of Data From Industry-Funded Registered Clinical Trials. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2016, 315, 2729.	7.4	17
150	Public availability of results of observational studies evaluating an intervention registered at ClinicalTrials.gov. BMC Medicine, 2016, 14, 7.	5.5	17
151	Intensive spa and exercise therapy program for returning to work for low back pain patients: a randomized controlled trial. Scientific Reports, 2017, 7, 17956.	3.3	17
152	Geographical Representativeness of Published and Ongoing Randomized Controlled Trials. The Example of: Tobacco Consumption and HIV Infection. PLoS ONE, 2011, 6, e16878.	2.5	17
153	A randomized trial of an editorial intervention to reduce spin in the abstract's conclusion of manuscripts showed no significant effect. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 130, 69-77.	5.0	16
154	Descriptions of non-pharmacological interventions in clinical trials. BMJ, The, 2013, 347, f5212-f5212.	6.0	15
155	Outcomes in Registered, Ongoing Randomized Controlled Trials of Patient Education. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e42934.	2.5	15
156	Should aggregate scores of the Medical Outcomes Study 36-item Short Form Health Survey be used to assess quality of life in knee and hip osteoarthritis? A national survey in primary care. Osteoarthritis and Cartilage, 2007, 15, 1013-1018.	1.3	14
157	Reporting Methodological Items in Randomized Experiments in Political Science. Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 2010, 628, 112-131.	1.6	14
158	Impact of a computer-assisted Screening, Brief Intervention and Referral to Treatment on reducing alcohol consumption among patients with hazardous drinking disorder in hospital emergency departments. The randomized BREVALCO trial. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 2016, 165, 236-244.	3.2	14
159	Influence and management of conflicts of interest in randomised clinical trials: qualitative interview study. BMJ, The, 2020, 371, m3764.	6.0	14
160	Evaluation of the usefulness of ultrasound measurement of the lower uterine segment before delivery of women with a prior cesarean delivery: a randomized trial. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2022, 226, 253.e1-253.e9.	1.3	14
161	Classification systems to improve assessment of risk of bias. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2012, 65, 236-238.	5.0	13
162	Reporting funding source or conflict of interest in abstracts of randomized controlled trials, no evidence of a large impact on general practitioners' confidence in conclusions, a three-arm randomized controlled trial. BMC Medicine, 2014, 12, 69.	5.5	13

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
163	Interventions to improve adherence to reporting guidelines in health research: a scoping review protocol. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e017551.	1.9	13
164	A scoping review provided a framework for new ways of doing research through mobilizing collective intelligence. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 110, 1-11.	5.0	13
165	Reporting of radiographic methods in randomised controlled trials assessing structural outcomes in rheumatoid arthritis. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, 2007, 66, 651-657.	0.9	12
166	What to do with a clinical trial with conflicts of interest. BMJ Evidence-Based Medicine, 2020, 25, 157-158.	3.5	12
167	Secondary electronic sources demonstrated very good sensitivity for identifying studies evaluating interventions for COVID-19. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2022, 141, 46-53.	5.0	12
168	Knee Arthroplasty: Disabilities in Comparison to the General Population and to Hip Arthroplasty Using a French National Longitudinal Survey. PLoS ONE, 2008, 3, e2561.	2.5	12
169	Evolution of disability in adults with hip arthroplasty: A national longitudinal study. Arthritis and Rheumatism, 2007, 57, 364-371.	6.7	11
170	Reporting of harm in randomized controlled trials evaluating stents for percutaneous coronary intervention. Trials, 2009, 10, 29.	1.6	11
171	Selective primary outcome reporting in high-impact journals of anaesthesia and pain. British Journal of Anaesthesia, 2016, 117, 542-543.	3.4	11
172	Assessing risk of bias in studies that evaluate health care interventions: recommendations in the misinformation age. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 97, 133-136.	5.0	11
173	Designs of trials assessing interventions to improve the peer review process: a vignette-based survey. BMC Medicine, 2018, 16, 191.	5.5	11
174	Impact of Osteopathic Treatment on Pain in Adult Patients with Cystic Fibrosis – A Pilot Randomized Controlled Study. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e102465.	2.5	11
175	Primer: assessing the efficacy and safety of nonpharmacologic treatments for chronic rheumatic diseases. Nature Clinical Practice Rheumatology, 2006, 2, 313-319.	3.2	10
176	Methodological issues in trials assessing primary prophylaxis of venous thrombo-embolism. European Heart Journal, 2006, 27, 227-236.	2.2	10
177	Elaboration and validation of a questionnaire assessing patient expectations about management of knee osteoarthritis by their physicians: the Knee Osteoarthritis Expectations Questionnaire. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, 2013, 72, 552-559.	0.9	10
178	Published randomized trials performed in Sub-Saharan Africa focus on high-burden diseases but are frequently funded and led by high-income countries. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2017, 82, 29-36.e6.	5.0	10
179	Protocol for a scoping review to support development of a CONSORT extension for randomised controlled trials using cohorts and routinely collected health data. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e025266.	1.9	10
180	Development and Evaluation of a Pedagogical Tool to Improve Understanding of a Quality Checklist: A Randomised Controlled Trial. PLOS Clinical Trials, 2007, 2, e22.	3.5	9

#	Article	IF	Citations
181	A protocol of a cross-sectional study evaluating an online tool for early career peer reviewers assessing reports of randomised controlled trials. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e017462.	1.9	9
182	Avoidable waste related to inadequate methods and incomplete reporting of interventions: a systematic review of randomized trials performed in Sub-Saharan Africa. Trials, 2017, 18, 291.	1.6	9
183	Systematic review finds that appraisal tools for medical research studies address conflicts of interest superficially. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 120, 104-115.	5.0	9
184	Defining Very Preterm Populations for Systematic Reviews With Meta-analyses. JAMA Pediatrics, 2020, 174, 997.	6.2	9
185	Assessing bias in osteoarthritis trials included in Cochrane reviews: protocol for a meta-epidemiological study. BMJ Open, 2014, 4, e005491.	1.9	8
186	Development of ARCADIA: a tool for assessing the quality of peer-review reports in biomedical research. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e035604.	1.9	8
187	Social and personal consequences of disability in adults with hip and knee arthroplasty. A French national community based survey. Journal of Rheumatology, 2004, 31, 759-66.	2.0	8
188	Randomized feasibility trial of the Scleroderma Patient-centered Intervention Network hand exercise program (SPIN-HAND): Study protocol. Journal of Scleroderma and Related Disorders, 2018, 3, 91-97.	1.7	7
189	The Scleroderma Patient-Centered Intervention Network Self-Management Program: Protocol for a Randomized Feasibility Trial. JMIR Research Protocols, 2020, 9, e16799.	1.0	7
190	Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) extensions covered most types of randomized controlled trials, but the potential workload for authors was high. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 113, 168-175.	5.0	6
191	The VEPRO trial: A cross-over randomised controlled trial comparing 2 progressive lenses for patients with presbyopia. Trials, 2008, 9, 54.	1.6	5
192	Day surgery: Results after restructuration of a university public general surgery unit. Journal of Visceral Surgery, 2013, 150, 213-217.	0.8	5
193	Impact of adding a limitations section to abstracts of systematic reviews on readers' interpretation: a randomized controlled trial. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2014, 14, 123.	3.1	5
194	Interventions for the treatment of COVID-19: a living network meta-analysis. The Cochrane Library, 0, , .	2.8	5
195	Reporting of harms in oncological clinical study reports submitted to the European Medicines Agency compared to trial registries and publications—a methodological review. BMC Medicine, 2021, 19, 88.	5.5	5
196	Comparison of Treatment Effect Estimates for Pharmacological Randomized Controlled Trials Enrolling Older Adults Only and Those including Adults: A Meta-Epidemiological Study. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e63677.	2.5	5
197	Quality assessment practice in systematic reviews of mediation studies: results from an overview of systematic reviews. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2022, 143, 137-148.	5.0	5
198	Results of trials assessing transarterial chemoembolization for treating hepatocellular carcinoma are critically underreported. European Radiology, 2020, 30, 5633-5640.	4.5	4

#	Article	IF	Citations
199	Barriers and Facilitators to Physical Activity for People With Scleroderma: A Scleroderma Patientâ€Centered Intervention Network Cohort Study. Arthritis Care and Research, 2022, 74, 1300-1310.	3.4	4
200	Overcoming Barriers to Mobilizing Collective Intelligence in Research: Qualitative Study of Researchers With Experience of Collective Intelligence. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2019, 21, e13792.	4.3	4
201	The Scleroderma Patient-centered Intervention Network Self-Management (SPIN-SELF) Program: protocol for a two-arm parallel partially nested randomized controlled feasibility trial with progression to full-scale trial. Trials, 2021, 22, 856.	1.6	4
202	Improving the reporting of clinical research. British Journal of Surgery, 2009, 96, 449-450.	0.3	3
203	Exercise therapy for ankylosing spondylitis. The Cochrane Library, 0, , .	2.8	3
204	Performance of principal scores to estimate the marginal compliers causal effect of an intervention. Statistics in Medicine, 2016, 35, 752-767.	1.6	3
205	Validation of the Body Concealment Scale for Scleroderma (BCSS): Replication in the Scleroderma Patient-centered Intervention Network (SPIN) Cohort. Body Image, 2017, 20, 99-106.	4.3	3
206	Commentary on "Compliance of Randomized Controlled Trials Published in General Surgical Journals With the CONSORT 2010 Statement― Annals of Surgery, 2019, 269, e28.	4.2	3
207	Journal editors' perspectives on the communication practices in biomedical journals: a qualitative study. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e035600.	1.9	3
208	Reporting guidelines for journal and conference abstracts. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 124, 186-192.	5.0	3
209	Patients' Perspectives on Transforming Clinical Trial Participation: Large Online Vignette-based Survey. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2022, 24, e29691.	4.3	3
210	Randomized feasibility trial of the Scleroderma Patient-centered Intervention Network Self-Management (SPIN-SELF) Program. Pilot and Feasibility Studies, 2022, 8, 45.	1.2	3
211	Randomized Controlled Trials With Statistically Nonsignificant Results—Reply. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2010, 304, 965.	7.4	2
212	Importance of the methods used to support the node-making process in network meta-analysis. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 101, 125-126.	5.0	2
213	Published trials of TACE for HCC are often not registered and subject to outcome reporting bias. JHEP Reports, 2021, 3, 100196.	4.9	2
214	CONSORT and Its Extensions for Reporting Clinical Trials. , 2020, , 1-15.		2
215	Influence of the statistical significance of results and spin on readers' interpretation of the results in an abstract for a hypothetical clinical trial: a randomised trial. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e056503.	1.9	2
216	Premature Application of Trial Results to Clinical Practice. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2003, 290, 3072.	7.4	1

#	Article	IF	Citations
217	Self-management education programmes for rheumatoid arthritis. The Cochrane Library, 0, , .	2.8	1
218	Dissemination of 2014 dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) trial results: a systematic review of scholarly and media attention over 7 months. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e014503.	1.9	1
219	2-Year outcome from two parallel randomized controlled trials. Reporting considerations. Osteoarthritis and Cartilage, 2019, 27, e3-e4.	1.3	1
220	Inappropriateness of randomised trials for complex phenomena. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2005, 330, 94.2.	2.3	1
221	ASSIST Applicability Scoring of Surgical trials. An Investigator-reported aSsessment Tool. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e42258.	2.5	1
222	Assessment of transparency and selective reporting of interventional trials studying colorectal cancer. BMC Cancer, 2022, 22, 278.	2.6	1
223	Toward an observatory of the evolution of clinical trials through phylomemy reconstruction: the COVID-19 vaccines example. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2022, , .	5.0	1
224	Responses to B. D. Thombs and M. Hudson. Osteoarthritis and Cartilage, 2008, 16, 1430.	1.3	0
225	Authors' reply to O'Neill and colleagues. BMJ, The, 2014, 349, g6641-g6641.	6.0	0
226	Improving Medication Adherence and Helping Patients Make Lifestyle Changesâ€"Reply. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2014, 174, 1708.	5.1	0
227	Reply to Letter. Annals of Surgery, 2015, 262, e67-e69.	4.2	0
228	Cochrane systematic reviews: contributions and perspectives. Joint Bone Spine, 2019, 86, 289-291.	1.6	0
229	Agreement was moderate between data-based and opinion-based assessments of biases affecting randomized trials within meta-analyses. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 125, 16-25.	5.0	0
230	Availability of results of interventional studies assessing colorectal cancer from 2013 to 2020. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0266496.	2.5	0