
Constance L Mcdermott

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4903311/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Certification Schemes and the Impacts on Forests and Forestry. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 2008, 33, 187-211.	13.4	372
2	The role of supply-chain initiatives in reducing deforestation. Nature Climate Change, 2018, 8, 109-116.	18.8	286
3	Transparency and sustainability in global commodity supply chains. World Development, 2019, 121, 163-177.	4.9	236
4	Can Nonâ€state Governance â€~Ratchet Up' Global Environmental Standards? Lessons from the Forest Sector. Review of European Community and International Environmental Law, 2007, 16, 158-172.	0.6	183
5	Trade-offs, co-benefits and safeguards: current debates on the breadth of REDD+. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 2012, 4, 646-653.	6.3	140
6	Operationalizing social safeguards in REDD+: actors, interests and ideas. Environmental Science and Policy, 2012, 21, 63-72.	4.9	140
7	Implementing REDD+: lessons from analysis of forest governance. Environmental Science and Policy, 2011, 14, 111-117.	4.9	139
8	Global Environmental Forest Policies. , 0, , .		96
9	Trust, legitimacy and power in forest certification: A case study of the FSC in British Columbia. Geoforum, 2012, 43, 634-644.	2.5	96
10	How does â€~Free, Prior and Informed Consent' (FPIC) impact social equity? Lessons from mining and forestry and their implications for REDD+. Land Use Policy, 2013, 35, 406-416.	5.6	83
11	REDDuced: From sustainability to legality to units of carbon—The search for common interests in interentional forest governance. Environmental Science and Policy, 2014, 35, 12-19.	4.9	75
12	Forest certification and legality initiatives in the Brazilian Amazon: Lessons for effective and equitable forest governance. Forest Policy and Economics, 2015, 50, 134-142.	3.4	71
13	Purposes and degrees of commodification: Economic instruments for biodiversity and ecosystem services need not rely on markets or monetary valuation. Ecosystem Services, 2015, 16, 74-82.	5.4	67
14	Beyond Carbon: Enabling Justice and Equity in REDD+ Across Levels of Governance. Ecology and Society, 2014, 19, .	2.3	62
15	Differences That â€~Matter'? A Framework for Comparing Environmental Certification Standards and Government Policies. Journal of Environmental Policy and Planning, 2008, 10, 47-70.	2.8	58
16	FLEGT VPAs: Laying a pathway to sustainability via legality lessons from Chana and Indonesia. Forest Policy and Economics, 2014, 48, 16-23.	3.4	54
17	Certification and equity: Applying an "equity framework―to compare certification schemes across product sectors and scales. Environmental Science and Policy, 2013, 33, 428-437.	4.9	52
18	The climate regime as global forest governance: can reduced emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (REDD) initiatives pass a dual effectiveness test?. International Forestry Review, 2008, 10, 538-549.	0.6	51

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Understanding climate resilience in Ghanaian cocoa communities – Advancing a biocultural perspective. Journal of Rural Studies, 2018, 63, 120-129.	4.7	50
20	Illegality and inequity in Chana's cocoa-forest landscape: How formalization can undermine farmers control and benefits from trees on their farms. Land Use Policy, 2018, 76, 405-413.	5.6	46
21	Group certification supports an increase in the diversity of sustainable agriculture network–rainforest alliance certified coffee producers in Brazil. Ecological Economics, 2014, 107, 59-64.	5.7	45
22	Building the Forest-Climate Bandwagon: REDD+ and the Logic of Problem Amelioration. Global Environmental Politics, 2011, 11, 85-103.	3.0	44
23	Equity and forest certification — A case study in Brazil. Forest Policy and Economics, 2013, 30, 23-29.	3.4	41
24	Scaling up sustainability in commodity agriculture: Transferability of governance mechanisms across the coffee and cattle sectors in Brazil. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2019, 206, 124-132.	9.3	41
25	Setting the bar: an international comparison of public and private forest policy specifications and implications for explaining policy trends. Journal of Integrative Environmental Sciences, 2009, 6, 217-237.	2.5	31
26	Commodifying Legality? Who and What Counts as Legal in the Indonesian Wood Trade. Society and Natural Resources, 2017, 30, 750-764.	1.9	31
27	Pursuing climate resilient coffee in Ethiopia – A critical review. Geoforum, 2018, 91, 108-116.	2.5	31
28	Carbon dynamics, net primary productivity and humanâ€appropriated net primary productivity across a forest–cocoa farm landscape in West Africa. Global Change Biology, 2019, 25, 2661-2677.	9.5	30
29	Certification with Russian characteristics: Implications for social and environmental equity. Forest Policy and Economics, 2016, 62, 43-53.	3.4	26
30	Forest tenure and the Sustainable Development Goals – A critical view. Forest Policy and Economics, 2020, 120, 102294.	3.4	23
31	Do Private Regulations Ratchet Up? How to Distinguish Types of Regulatory Stringency and Patterns of Change. Organization and Environment, 2020, 33, 96-125.	4.3	22
32	A political economy of the European Union's timber regulation: Which member states would, should or could support and implement EU rules on the import of illegal wood?. Forest Policy and Economics, 2018, 90, 180-190.	3.4	21
33	Environmental indifference? A critique of environmentally deterministic theories of peatland archaeological site construction in Ireland. Quaternary Science Reviews, 2013, 61, 17-31.	3.0	20
34	Assessing equity in national legal frameworks for REDD+: The case of Indonesia. Environmental Science and Policy, 2014, 44, 291-300.	4.9	20
35	FLEGT: Another â€~forestry fad'?. Environmental Science and Policy, 2018, 89, 266-272.	4.9	20
36	Are REDD+ community forest projects following the principles for collective action, as proposed by Ostrom?. International Journal of the Commons, 2017, 11, 572.	1.4	19

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Examining equity in Ghana's national REDD+ process. Forest Policy and Economics, 2018, 90, 48-58.	3.4	18
38	Deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon. Social and Legal Studies, 2015, 24, 3-24.	1.3	16
39	The Interplay of Global Governance with Domestic and Local Access: Insights from the FLEGT VPAs in Ghana and Indonesia. Society and Natural Resources, 2020, 33, 261-279.	1.9	15
40	Smallholder Oil Palm Production in the Peruvian Amazon: Rethinking the Promise of Associations and Partnerships for Economically Sustainable Livelihoods. Frontiers in Forests and Global Change, 2019, 2, .	2.3	14
41	<i>"</i> A Level Playing Field� – What an Environmental Justice Lens Can Tell us about Who Gets Leveled in the Forest Law Enforcement, Governance and Trade Action Plan. Society and Natural Resources, 2020, 33, 859-875.	1.9	14
42	SDG 16: Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions – A Political Ecology Perspective. , 2019, , 510-540.		14
43	From Agroforestry to Agroindustry: Smallholder Access to Benefits From Oil Palm in Chana and the Implications for Sustainability Certification. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 2020, 4, .	3.9	13
44	The Ecological Limits of Poverty Alleviation in an African Forest-Agriculture Landscape. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 2019, 3, .	3.9	12
45	Incorporating international biodiversity law principles and rights perspective into the European Union Timber Regulation. International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics, 2019, 19, 255-272.	2.9	12
46	Law, Tropical Forests and Carbon. , 2013, , .		12
47	Are More Prescriptive Laws Better? Transforming REDD+ Safeguards into National Legislation. Journal of Environmental Law, 2017, 29, 505-536.	1.4	11
48	Private Funder Perspectives on Local Social and Environmental Impacts in â€~Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation+'. Journal of Environmental Policy and Planning, 2015, 17, 277-293.	2.8	10
49	Safeguarding what and for whom? The role of institutional fit in shaping REDD+ in Mexico. Ecology and Society, 2016, 21, .	2.3	10
50	Adapting forest certification to climate change. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change, 2015, 6, 189-201.	8.1	9
51	Coffee certification in Brazil: compliance with social standards and its implications for social equity. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 2020, 22, 2015-2044.	5.0	9
52	Environmental justice and REDD+ safeguards in Laos: Lessons from an authoritarian political regime. Ambio, 2021, 50, 2256-2271.	5.5	9
53	The politics of scale in global governance: Do more stringent international forest certification standards protect local rights in Russia?. Forest Policy and Economics, 2021, 125, 102407.	3.4	4
54	Articulating FPIC through transnational sustainability standards: A comparative analysis of Forest Stewardship Council's standard development processes in Canada, Russia and Sweden. Land Use Policy, 2021, 109, 105631.	5.6	4

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Incorporating a Global Perspective Into Future-Oriented Forest Management Scenarios. International Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Information Systems, 2019, 10, 21-39.	2.0	2
56	REDD+ and multi-level governance. , 0, , 84-102.		1
57	Imposing legality: hegemony and resistance under the EU Forest Law Enforcement, Governance, and Trade (FLEGT) initiative. Journal of Political Ecology, 2020, 27, .	0.7	1
58	Governing Forest Supply Chains. , 2019, , 195-210.		0
59	Incorporating a Global Perspective Into Future-Oriented Forest Management Scenarios. , 2022, , 222-242.		0