## Julia Wynn

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4894981/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

		279798	276875
55	1,931	23	41
papers	citations	h-index	g-index
57	57	57	3351
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The usefulness of whole-exome sequencing in routine clinical practice. Genetics in Medicine, 2014, 16, 922-931.	2.4	196
2	Congenital diaphragmatic hernias: from genes to mechanisms to therapies. DMM Disease Models and Mechanisms, 2017, 10, 955-970.	2.4	143
3	Clinical Sequencing Exploratory Research Consortium: Accelerating Evidence-Based Practice of Genomic Medicine. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2016, 98, 1051-1066.	6.2	137
4	Researchers' views on return of incidental genomic research results: qualitative and quantitative findings. Genetics in Medicine, 2013, 15, 888-895.	2.4	103
5	Harmonizing Clinical Sequencing and Interpretation for the eMERGE III Network. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2019, 105, 588-605.	6.2	99
6	Impact of Panel Gene Testing for Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer on Patients. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2017, 26, 1116-1129.	1.6	90
7	De novo variants in congenital diaphragmatic hernia identify MYRF as a new syndrome and reveal genetic overlaps with other developmental disorders. PLoS Genetics, 2018, 14, e1007822.	3.5	79
8	Genetic causes of congenital diaphragmatic hernia. Seminars in Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, 2014, 19, 324-330.	2.3	77
9	Developmental outcomes of children with congenital diaphragmatic hernia: A multicenter prospective study. Journal of Pediatric Surgery, 2013, 48, 1995-2004.	1.6	68
10	Brief Report: SETD2 Mutation in a Child with Autism, Intellectual Disabilities and Epilepsy. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 2015, 45, 3764-3770.	2.7	64
11	Clinical providers' experiences with returning results from genomic sequencing: an interview study. BMC Medical Genomics, 2018, 11, 45.	1.5	55
12	Psychological outcomes related to exome and genome sequencing result disclosure: a meta-analysis of seven Clinical Sequencing Exploratory Research (CSER) Consortium studies. Genetics in Medicine, 2019, 21, 2781-2790.	2.4	55
13	Genome-wide enrichment of damaging de novo variants in patients with isolated and complex congenital diaphragmatic hernia. Human Genetics, 2017, 136, 679-691.	3.8	53
14	Ethical Considerations Related to Return of Results from Genomic Medicine Projects: The eMERGE Network (Phase III) Experience. Journal of Personalized Medicine, 2018, 8, 2.	2.5	44
15	Diagnostic exome sequencing in children: A survey of parental understanding, experience and psychological impact. Clinical Genetics, 2018, 93, 1039-1048.	2.0	41
16	Research Participants' Preferences for Hypothetical Secondary Results from Genomic Research. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2017, 26, 841-851.	1.6	39
17	The influence of genetics in congenital diaphragmatic hernia. Seminars in Perinatology, 2020, 44, 151169.	2.5	39
18	Returning Results in the Genomic Era: Initial Experiences of the eMERGE Network. Journal of Personalized Medicine, 2020, 10, 30.	2.5	39

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Why Patients Decline Genomic Sequencing Studies: Experiences from the CSER Consortium. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2018, 27, 1220-1227.	1.6	36
20	Illustrative case studies in the return of exome and genome sequencing results. Personalized Medicine, 2015, 12, 283-295.	1.5	35
21	Mutations in TKT Are the Cause of a Syndrome Including Short Stature, Developmental Delay, and Congenital Heart Defects. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2016, 98, 1235-1242.	6.2	31
22	Parental perceptions of prenatal whole exome sequencing (PPPWES) study. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2018, 38, 801-811.	2.3	31
23	Loss of function in <i>ROBO1</i> i>is associated with tetralogy of Fallot and septal defects. Journal of Medical Genetics, 2017, 54, 825-829.	3.2	27
24	Impact of Receiving Secondary Results from Genomic Research: A 12â€Month Longitudinal Study. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2018, 27, 709-722.	1.6	26
25	Participant choices for return of genomic results in the eMERGE Network. Genetics in Medicine, 2020, 22, 1821-1829.	2.4	25
26	Comparative outcomes of right versus left congenital diaphragmatic hernia: A multicenter analysis. Journal of Pediatric Surgery, 2020, 55, 33-38.	1.6	22
27	Rare and de novo variants in 827 congenital diaphragmatic hernia probands implicate LONP1 as candidate risk gene. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2021, 108, 1964-1980.	6.2	22
28	Likely damaging de novo variants in congenital diaphragmatic hernia patients are associated with worse clinical outcomes. Genetics in Medicine, 2020, 22, 2020-2028.	2.4	21
29	Genomic Testing: a Genetic Counselor's Personal Reflection on Three Years of Consenting and Testing. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2016, 25, 691-697.	1.6	20
30	Impact of patient education videos on genetic counseling outcomes after exome sequencing. Patient Education and Counseling, 2020, 103, 127-135.	2.2	18
31	Association of Researcher Characteristics with Views on Return of Incidental Findings from Genomic Research. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2015, 24, 833-841.	1.6	17
32	Examining the Psychosocial Impact of Genetic Testing for Cardiomyopathies. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2018, 27, 927-934.	1.6	16
33	A qualitative study of Latinx parents' experiences of clinical exome sequencing. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2020, 29, 574-586.	1.6	16
34	GeneLiFT: A novel test to facilitate rapid screening of genetic literacy in a diverse population undergoing genetic testing. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2021, 30, 742-754.	1.6	16
35	Understanding the Return of Genomic Sequencing Results Process: Content Review of Participant Summary Letters in the eMERGE Research Network. Journal of Personalized Medicine, 2020, 10, 38.	2.5	15
36	Penetrance of Breast Cancer Susceptibility Genes from the eMERGE III Network. JNCI Cancer Spectrum, 2021, 5, pkab044.	2.9	14

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	23andMe Paves the Way for Direct-to-Consumer Genetic Health Risk Tests of Limited Clinical Utility. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2017, 167, 125.	3.9	13
38	Do research participants share genomic screening results with family members?. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2022, 31, 447-458.	1.6	12
39	Evaluation of the cost and effectiveness of diverse recruitment methods for a genetic screening study. Genetics in Medicine, 2019, 21, 2371-2380.	2.4	10
40	Ethical conflicts in translational genetic research: lessons learned from the eMERGE-III experience. Genetics in Medicine, 2020, 22, 1667-1672.	2.4	10
41	Advancing the genetic counseling profession through research: Identification of priorities by the National Society of Genetic Counselors research task force. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2020, 29, 884-887.	1.6	9
42	COVID contingencies: Early epicenter experiences of different genetics clinics at a New York City institution inform emergency adaptation strategies. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2021, 30, 938-948.	1.6	9
43	Improving Recruitment for a Newborn Screening Pilot Study with Adaptations in Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic. International Journal of Neonatal Screening, 2022, 8, 23.	3.2	7
44	Deep whole-genome sequencing of multiple proband tissues and parental blood reveals the complex genetic etiology of congenital diaphragmatic hernias. Human Genetics and Genomics Advances, 2020, 1, $100008$ .	1.7	5
45	Returning negative results from <scp>largeâ€scale</scp> genomic screening: Experiences from the <scp>eMERGE III</scp> network. American Journal of Medical Genetics, Part A, 2021, 185, 508-516.	1.2	5
46	An electronic health record (EHR) log analysis shows limited clinician engagement with unsolicited genetic test results. JAMIA Open, 2021, 4, ooab014.	2.0	5
47	Choices, attitudes, and experiences of genetic screening in Latino/a and Ashkenazi Jewish individuals. Journal of Community Genetics, 2020, 11, 391-403.	1.2	4
48	Mutations in BMPR2 are not present in patients with pulmonary hypertension associated with congenital diaphragmatic hernia. Journal of Pediatric Surgery, 2017, 52, 1747-1750.	1.6	3
49	Information is power: The experiences, attitudes and needs of individuals who chose to have prenatal genomic sequencing for fetal anomalies. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2022, 42, 947-954.	2.3	3
50	Mother and Daughter Perspectives on Genetic Counseling and Testing of Adolescents for Hereditary Breast Cancer Risk. Journal of Pediatrics, 2022, 251, 113-119.e7.	1.8	3
51	Impact of Genetic Testing for Cardiomyopathy on Emotional Well-Being and Family Dynamics: A Study of Parents and Adolescents. Circulation Genomic and Precision Medicine, 2021, 14, e003189.	3.6	2
52	Developing effective and efficient genomic educational tools for our diverse population. Annals of Translational Medicine, 2019, 7, S304-S304.	1.7	1
53	Being small for gestational age is not an independent risk factor for mortality in neonates with congenital diaphragmatic hernia: a multicenter study. Journal of Perinatology, 2022, , .	2.0	1
54	Questioning the validity of clinically available breast cancer polygenic risk scores: comparison of two labs reveals discrepancies. Familial Cancer, 2022, 21, 125-127.	1.9	0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	User engagement with web-based genomics education videos and implications for designing scalable patient education materials. AMIA Annual Symposium proceedings, 2019, 2019, 923-932.	0.2	0