

# Anthony N Carlsen

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/486048/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

71  
papers

1,693  
citations

304701

22  
h-index

315719

38  
g-index

71  
all docs

71  
docs citations

71  
times ranked

1000  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Prepared Movements Are Elicited Early by Startle. <i>Journal of Motor Behavior</i> , 2004, 36, 253-264.	0.9	159
2	Can prepared responses be stored subcortically?. <i>Experimental Brain Research</i> , 2004, 159, 301-309.	1.5	153
3	Startle produces early response latencies that are distinct from stimulus intensity effects. <i>Experimental Brain Research</i> , 2007, 176, 199-205.	1.5	118
4	Considerations for the use of a startling acoustic stimulus in studies of motor preparation in humans. <i>Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews</i> , 2011, 35, 366-376.	6.1	115
5	Preparation for voluntary movement in healthy and clinical populations: Evidence from startle. <i>Clinical Neurophysiology</i> , 2012, 123, 21-33.	1.5	98
6	Differential Effects of Startle on Reaction Time for Finger and Arm Movements. <i>Journal of Neurophysiology</i> , 2009, 101, 306-314.	1.8	64
7	Startle response is dishabituated during a reaction time task. <i>Experimental Brain Research</i> , 2003, 152, 510-518.	1.5	60
8	Motor preparation is modulated by the resolution of the response timing information. <i>Brain Research</i> , 2010, 1322, 38-49.	2.2	57
9	Self-controlled feedback is effective if it is based on the learner's performance: a replication and extension of Chiviawsky and Wulf (2005). <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2014, 5, 1325.	2.1	42
10	Transcranial direct current stimulation over the supplementary motor area modulates the preparatory activation level in the human motor system. <i>Behavioural Brain Research</i> , 2015, 279, 68-75.	2.2	40
11	Relative contributions of visual and vestibular information on the trajectory of human gait. <i>Experimental Brain Research</i> , 2003, 153, 113-117.	1.5	38
12	Startle and the StartReact Effect: Physiological Mechanisms. <i>Journal of Clinical Neurophysiology</i> , 2019, 36, 452-459.	1.7	36
13	Startle reveals an absence of advance motor programming in a Go/No-go task. <i>Neuroscience Letters</i> , 2008, 434, 61-65.	2.1	33
14	Altered Triggering of a Prepared Movement by a Startling Stimulus. <i>Journal of Neurophysiology</i> , 2003, 89, 1857-1863.	1.8	30
15	Anodal tDCS over SMA decreases the probability of withholding an anticipated action. <i>Behavioural Brain Research</i> , 2013, 257, 208-214.	2.2	27
16	Responses to startling acoustic stimuli indicate that movement-related activation is constant prior to action: a replication with an alternate interpretation. <i>Physiological Reports</i> , 2015, 3, e12300.	1.7	27
17	The Time Course of Corticospinal Excitability during a Simple Reaction Time Task. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2014, 9, e113563.	2.5	27
18	Using a startling acoustic stimulus to investigate underlying mechanisms of bradykinesia in Parkinson's disease. <i>Neuropsychologia</i> , 2013, 51, 392-399.	1.6	26

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Anodal transcranial direct current stimulation applied over the supplementary motor area delays spontaneous antiphase-to-in-phase transitions. <i>Journal of Neurophysiology</i> , 2015, 113, 780-785.	1.8	26
20	Assessing vestibular contributions during changes in gait trajectory. <i>NeuroReport</i> , 2005, 16, 1097-1100.	1.2	25
21	Temporal uncertainty does not affect response latencies of movements produced during startle reactions. <i>Experimental Brain Research</i> , 2006, 171, 278-282.	1.5	25
22	Response preparation changes during practice of an asynchronous bimanual movement. <i>Experimental Brain Research</i> , 2009, 195, 383-392.	1.5	24
23	Motor preparation in an anticipation-timing task. <i>Experimental Brain Research</i> , 2008, 190, 453-461.	1.5	22
24	Startle neural activity is additive with normal cortical initiation-related activation. <i>Neuroscience Letters</i> , 2014, 558, 164-168.	2.1	22
25	Precues enable multiple response preprogramming: Evidence from startle. <i>Psychophysiology</i> , 2009, 46, 241-251.	2.4	21
26	A broadband acoustic stimulus is more likely than a pure tone to elicit a startle reflex and prepared movements. <i>Physiological Reports</i> , 2015, 3, e12509.	1.7	20
27	Response preparation changes following practice of an asymmetrical bimanual movement. <i>Experimental Brain Research</i> , 2008, 190, 239-249.	1.5	19
28	Subcortical motor circuit excitability during simple and choice reaction time.. <i>Behavioral Neuroscience</i> , 2012, 126, 499-503.	1.2	18
29	Identifying visual vestibular contributions during target-directed locomotion. <i>Neuroscience Letters</i> , 2005, 384, 217-221.	2.1	16
30	High-intensity transcranial magnetic stimulation reveals differential cortical contributions to prepared responses. <i>Journal of Neurophysiology</i> , 2019, 121, 1809-1821.	1.8	16
31	Evidence for a response preparation bottleneck during dual-task performance: Effect of a startling acoustic stimulus on the psychological refractory period. <i>Acta Psychologica</i> , 2013, 144, 481-487.	1.5	15
32	Offline continuous theta burst stimulation over right inferior frontal gyrus and pre-supplementary motor area impairs inhibition during a go/no-go task. <i>Neuropsychologia</i> , 2017, 99, 360-367.	1.6	15
33	Default motor preparation under conditions of response uncertainty. <i>Experimental Brain Research</i> , 2011, 215, 235-245.	1.5	14
34	StartReact effects are dependent on engagement of startle reflex circuits: support for a subcortically mediated initiation pathway. <i>Journal of Neurophysiology</i> , 2019, 122, 2541-2547.	1.8	14
35	Do greater rates of body heat storage precede the accelerated reduction of self-paced exercise intensity in the heat?. <i>European Journal of Applied Physiology</i> , 2014, 114, 2399-2410.	2.5	13
36	Reduced motor preparation during dual-task performance: evidence from startle. <i>Experimental Brain Research</i> , 2015, 233, 2673-2683.	1.5	13

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Central nervous system physiology. <i>Clinical Neurophysiology</i> , 2021, 132, 3043-3083.	1.5	12
38	Reaction time effects due to imperative stimulus modality are absent when a startle elicits a pre-programmed action. <i>Neuroscience Letters</i> , 2011, 500, 177-181.	2.1	11
39	Startle activation is additive with voluntary cortical activation irrespective of stimulus modality. <i>Neuroscience Letters</i> , 2015, 606, 151-155.	2.1	11
40	A Timeline of Motor Preparatory State Prior to Response Initiation: Evidence from Startle. <i>Neuroscience</i> , 2019, 397, 80-93.	2.3	11
41	Transcranial direct current stimulation of supplementary motor area improves upper limb kinematics in Parkinson's disease. <i>Clinical Neurophysiology</i> , 2021, 132, 2907-2915.	1.5	11
42	Startle decreases reaction time to active inhibition. <i>Experimental Brain Research</i> , 2012, 217, 7-14.	1.5	10
43	Startle reveals independent preparation and initiation of triphasic EMG burst components in targeted ballistic movements. <i>Journal of Neurophysiology</i> , 2013, 110, 2129-2139.	1.8	10
44	Intentional switches between coordination patterns are faster following anodal-tDCS applied over the supplementary motor area. <i>Brain Stimulation</i> , 2017, 10, 162-164.	1.6	9
45	Effector-independent reduction in choice reaction time following bi-hemispheric transcranial direct current stimulation over motor cortex. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2017, 12, e0172714.	2.5	9
46	Bimanual but not unimanual finger movements are triggered by a startling acoustic stimulus: evidence for increased reticulospinal drive for bimanual responses. <i>Journal of Neurophysiology</i> , 2020, 124, 1832-1838.	1.8	9
47	Perceptual processing time differences owing to visual field asymmetries. <i>NeuroReport</i> , 2007, 18, 1067-1070.	1.2	8
48	A startling acoustic stimulus interferes with upcoming motor preparation: Evidence for a startle refractory period. <i>Acta Psychologica</i> , 2015, 158, 36-42.	1.5	8
49	Inhibition of motor-related activation during a simple reaction time task requiring visuomotor mental rotation. <i>Behavioral Neuroscience</i> , 2015, 129, 160-169.	1.2	7
50	Degraded expression of learned feedforward control in movements released by startle. <i>Experimental Brain Research</i> , 2015, 233, 2291-2300.	1.5	7
51	Corticospinal excitability is reduced in a simple reaction time task requiring complex timing. <i>Brain Research</i> , 2016, 1642, 319-326.	2.2	7
52	Increased auditory stimulus intensity results in an earlier and faster rise in corticospinal excitability. <i>Brain Research</i> , 2020, 1727, 146559.	2.2	7
53	Response triggering by an acoustic stimulus increases with stimulus intensity and is best predicted by startle reflex activation. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2021, 11, 23612.	3.3	7
54	Investigation of stimulus-response compatibility using a startling acoustic stimulus. <i>Brain and Cognition</i> , 2012, 78, 1-6.	1.8	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Motor preparation is delayed for both directly and indirectly cued movements during an anticipation-timing task. <i>Brain Research</i> , 2013, 1506, 44-57.	2.2	6
56	Response preparation and execution during intentional bimanual pattern switching. <i>Journal of Neurophysiology</i> , 2017, 118, 1720-1731.	1.8	6
57	Sub-threshold transcranial magnetic stimulation applied after the go-signal facilitates reaction time under control but not startle conditions. <i>European Journal of Neuroscience</i> , 2018, 47, 333-345.	2.6	5
58	Foreknowledge of an impending startling stimulus does not affect the proportion of startle reflexes or latency of StartReact responses. <i>Experimental Brain Research</i> , 2017, 235, 379-388.	1.5	4
59	Neural processes mediating the preparation and release of focal motor output are suppressed or absent during imagined movement. <i>Experimental Brain Research</i> , 2015, 233, 1625-1637.	1.5	3
60	Startle reveals decreased response preparatory activation during a stop-signal task. <i>Journal of Neurophysiology</i> , 2016, 116, 986-994.	1.8	3
61	Coactivation of response initiation processes with redundant signals. <i>Neuroscience Letters</i> , 2018, 675, 7-11.	2.1	3
62	Anodal transcranial direct current stimulation over the primary motor cortex does not enhance the learning benefits of self-controlled feedback schedules. <i>Psychological Research</i> , 2018, 82, 496-506.	1.7	3
63	Retrospective composite analysis of StartReact data indicates sex differences in simple reaction time are not attributable to response preparation. <i>Behavioural Brain Research</i> , 2022, 426, 113839.	2.2	3
64	Responses to startling acoustic stimuli indicate that movement-related activation does not build up in anticipation of action. <i>Journal of Neurophysiology</i> , 2015, 113, 3453-3454.	1.8	2
65	Go-activation endures following the presentation of a stop-signal: evidence from startle. <i>Journal of Neurophysiology</i> , 2017, 117, 403-411.	1.8	2
66	Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation Over Motor Areas Improves Reaction Time in Parkinson's Disease. <i>Frontiers in Neurology</i> , 0, 13, .	2.4	2
67	Visual processing is diminished during movement execution. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2019, 14, e0213790.	2.5	1
68	An unperceived acoustic stimulus decreases reaction time to visual information in a patient with cortical deafness. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2020, 10, 5825.	3.3	1
69	A TMS-induced cortical silent period delays the contralateral limb for bimanual symmetrical movements and the reaction time delay is reduced on startle trials. <i>Journal of Neurophysiology</i> , 2022, , .	1.8	1
70	Pause time alters the preparation of two-component movements. <i>Experimental Brain Research</i> , 2013, 231, 85-96.	1.5	0
71	Response preparation of a secondary reaction time task is influenced by movement phase within a continuous visuomotor tracking task. <i>European Journal of Neuroscience</i> , 2022, 56, 3645-3659.	2.6	0