Rebecca K Webster

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

30	11,822	567144 15	454834 30
papers	citations	h-index	g-index

36	36	36	19452
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. Lancet, The, 2020, 395, 912-920.	6.3	10,740
2	How to improve adherence with quarantine: rapid review of the evidence. Public Health, 2020, 182, 163-169.	1.4	273
3	A systematic review of factors that contribute to nocebo effects.. Health Psychology, 2016, 35, 1334-1355.	1.3	120
4	The impact of unplanned school closure on childrenâ€™s social contact: rapid evidence review. Eurosurveillance, 2020, 25, .	3.9	105
5	A systematic review of infectious illness Presenteeism: prevalence, reasons and risk factors. BMC Public Health, 2019, 19, 799.	1.2	79
6	Psychological factors associated with uptake of the childhood influenza vaccine and perception of post-vaccination side-effects: A cross-sectional survey in England. Vaccine, 2017, 35, 1936-1945.	1.7	53
7	TIDieR-Placebo: A guide and checklist for reporting placebo and sham controls. PLoS Medicine, 2020, 17, e1003294.	3.9	52
8	Research fatigue in COVID-19 pandemic and post-disaster research: causes, consequences and recommendations. Disaster Prevention and Management, 2020, 29, 445-455.	0.6	39
9	Rapid overview of systematic reviews of nocebo effects reported by patients taking placebos in clinical trials. Trials, 2018, 19, 674.	0.7	37
10	Medicineâ€related beliefs predict attribution of symptoms to a sham medicine: A prospective study. British Journal of Health Psychology, 2018, 23, 436-454.	1.9	32
11	How does the sideâ€effect information in patient information leaflets influence peoplesâ€™ sideâ€effect expectations? A crossâ€sectional national survey of 18â€to 65â€yearâ€olds in England. Health Expectations, 2017, 20, 1411-1420.	1.1	27
12	Positively Framed Risk Information in Patient Information Leaflets Reduces Side Effect Reporting: A Double-Blind Randomized Controlled Trial. Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 2018, 52, 920-929.	1.7	27
13	Psychosocial Factors Predict COVID-19 Vaccine Side Effects. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 2022, 91, 136-138.	4.0	26
14	A systematic review of factors associated with sideâ€effect expectations from medical interventions. Health Expectations, 2020, 23, 731-758.	1.1	24
15	Peopleâ€™s Understanding of Verbal Risk Descriptors in Patient Information Leaflets: A Cross-Sectional National Survey of 18- to 65-Year-Olds in England. Drug Safety, 2017, 40, 743-754.	1.4	23
16	Explaining all without causing unnecessary harm: Is there scope for positively framing medical risk information?. Patient Education and Counseling, 2019, 102, 602-603.	1.0	16
17	Influencing Side-Effects to Medicinal Treatments: A Systematic Review of Brief Psychological Interventions. Frontiers in Psychiatry, 2018, 9, 775.	1.3	12
18	Measuring the success of blinding in placebo-controlled trials: Should we be so quick to dismiss it?. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 135, 176-181.	2.4	12

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Inadequate description of placebo and sham controls in a systematicÂreview of recent trials. European Journal of Clinical Investigation, 2019, 49, e13169.	1.7	11
20	What influences whether parents recognise COVID-19 symptoms, request a test and self-isolate: A qualitative study. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0263537.	1.1	9
21	The Effect of Positively Framing Side-Effect Risk in Two Different Formats on Side-Effect Expectations, Informed Consent and Credibility: A Randomised Trial of 16- to 75-Year-Olds in England. Drug Safety, 2020, 43, 1011-1022.	1.4	8
22	Do overly complex reporting guidelines remove the focus from good clinical trials?. BMJ, The, 2021, 374, n1793.	3.0	8
23	Do Side Effects to the Primary COVID-19 Vaccine Reduce Intentions for a COVID-19 Vaccine Booster?. Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 2022, 56, 761-768.	1.7	8
24	Ethical issues surrounding the study of nocebo effects: Recommendations for deceptive research. British Journal of Health Psychology, 2018, 23, 775-781.	1.9	7
25	Public responses to the Salisbury Novichok incident: a cross-sectional survey of anxiety, anger, uncertainty, perceived risk and avoidance behaviour in the local community. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e036071.	0.8	7
26	Why did some parents not send their children back to school following school closures during the COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional survey. BMJ Paediatrics Open, 2021, 5, e001014.	0.6	7
27	When symptoms become side effects: Development of the side effect attribution scale (SEAS). Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 2021, 141, 110340.	1.2	6
28	A Qualitative Study Evaluating the Factors Affecting Familiesâ€™ Adherence to the First COVID-19 Lockdown in England Using the COM-B Model and TDF. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2022, 19, 7305.	1.2	6
29	Predicting Expectations of Side-Effects for Those Which Are Warned Versus Not Warned About in Patient Information Leaflets. Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 2021, 55, 1253-1261.	1.7	5
30	Public attitudes in England towards the sharing of personal data following a mass casualty incident: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e022852.	0.8	4