
## Reidun FÃ, rde

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/475281/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	"Eh – What type of cells are these – flourishing in the liver?―Cancer patients' disclosure of existential concerns in routine hospital consultations. Patient Education and Counseling, 2022, 105, 2019-2026.	1.0	8
2	Physicians' responses to advanced cancer patients' existential concerns: A video-based analysis. Patient Education and Counseling, 2022, 105, 3062-3070.	1.0	3
3	First judgement on clinical ethics committees?. Archives of Disease in Childhood, 2021, 106, 931-931.	1.0	0
4	Inter-physician variability in strategies linked to treatment limitations after severe traumatic brain injury; proactivity or wait-and-see. BMC Medical Ethics, 2021, 22, 43.	1.0	3
5	Priority setting at the clinical level: the case of nusinersen and the Norwegian national expert group. BMC Medical Ethics, 2021, 22, 54.	1.0	2
6	Refusals to perform ritual circumcision: a qualitative study of doctors' professional and ethical reasoning. BMC Medical Ethics, 2020, 21, 5.	1.0	3
7	Is allocation of nursing home placement in Norway just?. Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences, 2020, 34, 871-879.	1.0	7
8	Importance of systematic deliberation and stakeholder presence: a national study of clinical ethics committees. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2020, 46, 66-70.	1.0	18
9	Neurocritical care physicians' doubt about whether to withdraw life-sustaining treatment the first days after devastating brain injury: an interview study. Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine, 2019, 27, 81.	1.1	13
10	<p>Significance of advance care planning in nursing homes: views from patients with cognitive impairment, their next of kin, health personnel, and managers</p> . Clinical Interventions in Aging, 2019, Volume 14, 997-1005.	1.3	19
11	Advance care planning in Norwegian nursing homes – limited awareness of the residents' preferences and values? A qualitative study. BMC Geriatrics, 2019, 19, 363.	1.1	9
12	Between professional values, social regulations and patient preferences: medical doctors' perceptions of ethical dilemmas. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2018, 44, medethics-2017-104408.	1.0	19
13	Physician characteristics influence the trends in resuscitation decisions at different ages. Acta Paediatrica, International Journal of Paediatrics, 2018, 107, 2115-2119.	0.7	2
14	Ethics support in community care makes a difference for practice. Nursing Ethics, 2018, 25, 165-173.	1.8	23
15	Implementing advance care planning in nursing homes – study protocol of a cluster-randomized clinical trial. BMC Geriatrics, 2018, 18, 180.	1.1	14
16	Ethical challenges in tracheostomy-assisted ventilation in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Journal of Neurology, 2018, 265, 2730-2736.	1.8	6
17	Involvement in decisions about intravenous treatment for nursing home patients: nursing homes versus hospital wards. BMC Medical Ethics, 2018, 19, 34.	1.0	7
18	Etikkarbeid i kommunal helse- og omsorgstjeneste. Nordisk Sygeplejeforskning, 2018, 8, 22-36.	0.1	4

Reidun FÃ, rde

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Treatment-limiting decisions in patients with severe traumatic brain injury in a Norwegian regional trauma center. Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine, 2017, 25, 44.	1.1	25
20	Roles and responsibilities of clinical ethics committees in priority setting. BMC Medical Ethics, 2017, 18, 68.	1.0	13
21	Forberedende samtaler i norske sykehjem. Tidsskrift for Den Norske Laegeforening, 2017, 137, 447-450.	0.2	18
22	A new proposal for priority setting in Norway: Open and fair. Health Policy, 2016, 120, 246-251.	1.4	67
23	Four Roles of Ethical Theory in Clinical Ethics Consultation. American Journal of Bioethics, 2016, 16, 26-33.	0.5	19
24	Next of kin's experiences of involvement during involuntary hospitalisation and coercion. BMC Medical Ethics, 2016, 17, 76.	1.0	15
25	The Norwegian national project for ethics support in community health and care services. BMC Medical Ethics, 2016, 17, 70.	1.0	15
26	How do nursing home doctors involve patients and next of kin in end-of-life decisions? A qualitative study from Norway. BMC Medical Ethics, 2016, 17, 5.	1.0	22
27	Discussing End-of-Life Decisions in a Clinical Ethics Committee: An Interview Study of Norwegian Doctors' Experience. HEC Forum, 2016, 28, 261-272.	0.6	10
28	Novel Paths to Relevance: How Clinical Ethics Committees Promote Ethical Reflection. HEC Forum, 2016, 28, 205-216.	0.6	12
29	End-of-life care communications and shared decision-making in Norwegian nursing homes - experiences and perspectives of patients and relatives. BMC Geriatrics, 2015, 15, 103.	1.1	97
30	"lt scares me to know that we might not have been there!― a qualitative study into the experiences of parents of seriously ill children participating in ethical case discussions. BMC Medical Ethics, 2015, 16, 40.	1.0	16
31	Forhåndssamtaler med geriatriske pasienter. Tidsskrift for Den Norske Laegeforening, 2015, 135, 233-235.	0.2	20
32	Sources of bias in clinical ethics case deliberation. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2014, 40, 678-682.	1.0	17
33	Do Organizational and Clinical Ethics in a Hospital Setting Need Different Venues?. HEC Forum, 2014, 26, 147-158.	0.6	5
34	How to avoid and prevent coercion in nursing homes. Nursing Ethics, 2013, 20, 632-644.	1.8	29
35	Moralsk stress og faglig ytringsfrihet blant leger. Tidsskrift for Den Norske Laegeforening, 2013, 133, 1310-1314.	0.2	17
36	How Can Empirical Ethics Improve Medical Practice?. Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics, 2012, 21, 517-526.	0.5	7

Reidun FÃ, rde

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Evaluation of case consultations in clinical ethics committees. Clinical Ethics, 2012, 7, 45-50.	0.5	9
38	Clinical Ethics Committees in Norway: What Do They Do, and Does It Make a Difference?. Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics, 2011, 20, 389-395.	0.5	52
39	Rationing home-based nursing care: professional ethical implications. Nursing Ethics, 2011, 18, 386-396.	1.8	60
40	Staff and family relationships in end-of-life nursing home care. Nursing Ethics, 2011, 18, 42-53.	1.8	30
41	Choice is not the issue. The misrepresentation of healthcare in bioethical discourse. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2011, 37, 212-215.	1.0	26
42	Er drÂfting av pasientsaker i klinisk etikk-komiteer nyttig?. Tidsskrift for Den Norske Laegeforening, 2011, 131, 118-121.	0.2	18
43	Ethical challenges in the provision of end-of-life care in Norwegian nursing homes. Social Science and Medicine, 2010, 71, 677-684.	1.8	69
44	BARRIERS AND CHALLENGES IN CLINICAL ETHICS CONSULTATIONS: THE EXPERIENCES OF NINE CLINICAL ETHICS COMMITTEES. Bioethics, 2009, 23, 460-469.	0.7	42
45	Clinicians' evaluation of clinical ethics consultations in Norway: a qualitative study. Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy, 2008, 11, 17-25.	0.9	59
46	Professional Challenges of Bedside Rationing in Intensive Care. Nursing Ethics, 2008, 15, 715-728.	1.8	28
47	Antenatal Care in General Practice. 2: Components of Clinical Knowledge which indicate Need for Intervention in Pregnancy. Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care, 1992, 10, 272-276.	0.6	4
48	Antenatal Care in General Practice. 1: A Questionnaire as a Clinical Tool for Collection of Information on Psychosocial Conditions. Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care, 1992, 10, 266-271.	0.6	6