Martijn S Visser

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/472440/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

430442 794141 2,291 21 18 19 citations h-index g-index papers 21 21 21 1469 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Title is missing!. Scientometrics, 2001, 51, 335-346.	1.6	297
2	Towards a new crown indicator: Some theoretical considerations. Journal of Informetrics, 2011, 5, 37-47.	1.4	290
3	The Leiden ranking 2011/2012: Data collection, indicators, and interpretation. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2012, 63, 2419-2432.	2.6	284
4	Large-scale comparison of bibliographic data sources: Scopus, Web of Science, Dimensions, Crossref, and Microsoft Academic. Quantitative Science Studies, 2021, 2, 20-41.	1.6	252
5	Title is missing!. Scientometrics, 2002, 54, 381-397.	1.6	178
6	Extending citation analysis to non-source items. Scientometrics, 2006, 66, 327-343.	1.6	178
7	Towards a new crown indicator: an empirical analysis. Scientometrics, 2011, 87, 467-481.	1.6	175
8	Title is missing!. Scientometrics, 2003, 57, 257-280.	1.6	161
9	Some modifications to the SNIP journal impact indicator. Journal of Informetrics, 2013, 7, 272-285.	1.4	141
10	Rivals for the crown: Reply to Opthof and Leydesdorff. Journal of Informetrics, 2010, 4, 431-435.	1.4	79
11	Severe language effect in university rankings: particularly Germany and France are wronged in citation-based rankings. Scientometrics, 2011, 88, 495-498.	1.6	69
12	Is concentration of university research associated with better research performance?. Journal of Informetrics, 2011, 5, 649-658.	1.4	33
13	The role of editorial material in bibliometric research performance assessments. Scientometrics, 2013, 95, 817-828.	1.6	31
14	Non-English papers decrease rankings. Nature, 2011, 469, 34-34.	13.7	30
15	Bibliometric Analysis of Psychotherapy Research: Performance Assessment and Position in the Journal Landscape. Psychotherapy Research, 2003, 13, 511-528.	1.1	23
16	On the correlation between bibliometric indicators and peer review: reply to Opthof and Leydesdorff. Scientometrics, 2011, 88, 1017-1022.	1.6	19
17	Important factors when interpreting bibliometric rankings of world universities: an example from oncology. Research Evaluation, 2008, 17, 71-81.	1.3	18
18	The elephant in the room: The problem of quantifying productivity in evaluative scientometrics. Journal of Informetrics, 2016, 10, 671-674.	1.4	18

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Expansion of scientific journal categories using reference analysis: How can it be done and does it make a difference?. Scientometrics, 2009, 79, 473-490.	1.6	10
20	Delineating Organizations at CWTS—A Story of Many Pathways. , 2020, , 163-177.		5
21	Examining national citation impact by comparing developments in a fixed and a dynamic journal set. Scientometrics, 2019, 119, 973-985.	1.6	O