

# Martijn S Visser

## List of Publications by Citations

**Source:** <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/472440/martijn-s-visser-publications-by-citations.pdf>

**Version:** 2024-04-20

This document has been generated based on the publications and citations recorded by exaly.com. For the latest version of this publication list, visit the link given above.

The third column is the impact factor (IF) of the journal, and the fourth column is the number of citations of the article.

21

papers

1,688

citations

16

h-index

21

g-index

21

ext. papers

1,946

ext. citations

5.3

avg, IF

4.78

L-index

#	Paper	IF	Citations
21	Towards a new crown indicator: Some theoretical considerations. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2011</b> , 5, 37-47	3.1	249
20	The Leiden ranking 2011/2012: Data collection, indicators, and interpretation. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , <b>2012</b> , 63, 2419-2432		228
19	Language biases in the coverage of the Science Citation Index and its consequences for international comparisons of national research performance. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2001</b> , 51, 335-346	3	219
18	Towards a new crown indicator: an empirical analysis. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2011</b> , 87, 467-481	3	152
17	Benchmarking international scientific excellence: Are highly cited research papers an appropriate frame of reference?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2002</b> , 54, 381-397	3	146
16	Extending citation analysis to non-source items. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2006</b> , 66, 327-343	3	134
15	Some modifications to the SNIP journal impact indicator. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2013</b> , 7, 272-285	3.1	116
14	The Holy Grail of science policy: Exploring and combining bibliometric tools in search of scientific excellence. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2003</b> , 57, 257-280	3	115
13	Rivals for the crown: Reply to Opthof and Leydesdorff. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2010</b> , 4, 431-435	3.1	70
12	Large-scale comparison of bibliographic data sources: Scopus, Web of Science, Dimensions, Crossref, and Microsoft Academic. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , <b>2021</b> , 2, 20-41	3.8	64
11	Severe language effect in university rankings: particularly Germany and France are wronged in citation-based rankings. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2011</b> , 88, 495-498	3	55
10	Is concentration of university research associated with better research performance?. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2011</b> , 5, 649-658	3.1	27
9	Non-English papers decrease rankings. <i>Nature</i> , <b>2011</b> , 469, 34	50.4	21
8	The role of editorial material in bibliometric research performance assessments. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2013</b> , 95, 817-828	3	19
7	On the correlation between bibliometric indicators and peer review: reply to Opthof and Leydesdorff. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2011</b> , 88, 1017-1022	3	16
6	Important factors when interpreting bibliometric rankings of world universities: an example from oncology. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , <b>2008</b> , 17, 71-81	1.7	16
5	Bibliometric analysis of psychotherapy research: performance assessment and position in the journal landscape. <i>Psychotherapy Research</i> , <b>2003</b> , 13, 511-28	3.6	16

4	The elephant in the room: The problem of quantifying productivity in evaluative scientometrics. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 10, 671-674	3.1	14
3	Expansion of scientific journal categories using reference analysis: How can it be done and does it make a difference?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2009</b> , 79, 473-490	3	9
2	Delineating Organizations at CWTS: A Story of Many Pathways <b>2020</b> , 163-177		2
1	Examining national citation impact by comparing developments in a fixed and a dynamic journal set. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2019</b> , 119, 973-985	3	