Brigitte Picard

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4694112/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

214721 147726 3,018 48 31 47 citations h-index g-index papers 48 48 48 2181 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Characterization of Four Rearing Managements and Their Influence on Carcass and Meat Qualities in Charolais Heifers. Foods, $2022,11,1262$.	1.9	4
2	Molecular signatures of beef tenderness: Underlying mechanisms based on integromics of protein biomarkers from multi-platform proteomics studies. Meat Science, 2021, 172, 108311.	2.7	83
3	The Blonde d'Aquitaine T3811>G3811 mutation in the <i>myostatin</i> gene: association with growth, carcass, and muscle phenotypes in veal calves. Journal of Animal Science, 2021, 99, .	0.2	1
4	Combining labelâ€free and labelâ€based accurate quantifications with SWATHâ€MS: Comparison with SRM and PRM for the evaluation of bovine muscle type effects. Proteomics, 2021, 21, e2000214.	1.3	5
5	Dark-cutting beef: A brief review and an integromics meta-analysis at the proteome level to decipher the underlying pathways. Meat Science, 2021, 181, 108611.	2.7	40
6	Understanding the Determination of Meat Quality Using Biochemical Characteristics of the Muscle: Stress at Slaughter and Other Missing Keys. Foods, 2021, 10, 84.	1.9	41
7	Meta-proteomics for the discovery of protein biomarkers of beef tenderness: An overview of integrated studies. Food Research International, 2020, 127, 108739.	2.9	82
8	Protein Array-Based Approach to Evaluate Biomarkers of Beef Tenderness and Marbling in Cows: Understanding of the Underlying Mechanisms and Prediction. Foods, 2020, 9, 1180.	1.9	30
9	Muscle Fiber Properties in Cattle and Their Relationships with Meat Qualities: An Overview. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2020, 68, 6021-6039.	2.4	117
10	Current Advances in Meat Nutritional, Sensory and Physical Quality Improvement. Foods, 2020, 9, 321.	1.9	18
11	Quantification of biomarkers for beef meat qualities using a combination of Parallel Reaction Monitoring- and antibody-based proteomics. Food Chemistry, 2020, 317, 126376.	4.2	17
12	Contribution of connective tissue components, muscle fibres and marbling to beef tenderness variability in longissimus thoracis, rectus abdominis, semimembranosus and semitendinosus muscles. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 2020, 100, 2502-2511.	1.7	35
13	The associations between proteomic biomarkers and beef tenderness depend on the end-point cooking temperature, the country origin of the panelists and breed. Meat Science, 2019, 157, 107871.	2.7	33
14	Pathways and biomarkers of marbling and carcass fat deposition in bovine revealed by a combination of gel-based and gel-free proteomic analyses. Meat Science, 2019, 156, 146-155.	2.7	24
15	Relationships Between Cull Beef Cow Characteristics, Finishing Practices and Meat Quality Traits of Longissimus thoracis and Rectus abdominis. Foods, 2019, 8, 141.	1.9	20
16	Beef tenderness and intramuscular fat proteomic biomarkers: Effect of gender and rearing practices. Journal of Proteomics, 2019, 200, 1-10.	1.2	37
17	Assessment of cattle interâ€individual cluster variability: the potential of continuum data from the farmâ€toâ€fork for ultimate beef tenderness management. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 2019, 99, 4129-4141.	1.7	24
18	Reverse phase protein arrays for the identification/validation of biomarkers of beef texture and their use for early classification of carcasses. Food Chemistry, 2018, 250, 245-252.	4.2	40

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Beef tenderness and intramuscular fat proteomic biomarkers: muscle type effect. PeerJ, 2018, 6, e4891.	0.9	42
20	Data from the Farmgate-to-Meat Continuum Including Omics-Based Biomarkers to Better Understand the Variability of Beef Tenderness: An Integromics Approach. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2018, 66, 13552-13563.	2.4	35
21	Use of liquid isoelectric focusing (OFFGEL) on the discovery of meat tenderness biomarkers. Journal of Proteomics, 2018, 183, 25-33.	1.2	28
22	Reverse Phase Protein array for the quantification and validation of protein biomarkers of beef qualities: The case of meat color from Charolais breed. Meat Science, 2018, 145, 308-319.	2.7	41
23	Associations among Protein Biomarkers and pH and Color Traits in <i>Longissimus thoracis</i> and <i>Rectus abdominis</i> Muscles in Protected Designation of Origin Maine-Anjou Cull Cows. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2017, 65, 3569-3580.	2.4	38
24	Identification of Biomarkers Associated with the Rearing Practices, Carcass Characteristics, and Beef Quality: An Integrative Approach. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2017, 65, 8264-8278.	2.4	53
25	The study of protein biomarkers to understand the biochemical processes underlying beef color development in young bulls. Meat Science, 2017, 134, 18-27.	2.7	49
26	Proteomic Investigations of Beef Tenderness. , 2017, , 177-197.		37
27	How Muscle Structure and Composition Influence Meat and Flesh Quality. Scientific World Journal, The, 2016, 2016, 1-14.	0.8	432
28	Calcium Homeostasis and Muscle Energy Metabolism Are Modified in HspB1-Null Mice. Proteomes, 2016, 4, 17.	1.7	20
29	ProteINSIDE to Easily Investigate Proteomics Data from Ruminants: Application to Mine Proteome of Adipose and Muscle Tissues in Bovine Foetuses. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0128086.	1.1	33
30	Understanding Early Post-Mortem Biochemical Processes Underlying Meat Color and pH Decline in the ⟨i>Longissimus thoracis⟨ i> Muscle of Young Blond d'Aquitaine Bulls Using Protein Biomarkers. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2015, 63, 6799-6809.	2.4	95
31	Caspases and Thrombin Activity Regulation by Specific Serpin Inhibitors in Bovine Skeletal Muscle. Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology, 2015, 177, 279-303.	1.4	33
32	Coherent correlation networks among protein biomarkers of beef tenderness: What they reveal. Journal of Proteomics, 2015, 128, 365-374.	1.2	73
33	Inverse Relationships between Biomarkers and Beef Tenderness According to Contractile and Metabolic Properties of the Muscle. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2014, 62, 9808-9818.	2.4	129
34	Meta-analysis of the comparison of the metabolic and contractile characteristics of two bovine muscles: Longissimus thoracis and semitendinosus. Meat Science, 2012, 91, 423-429.	2.7	30
35			
	Functional analysis of beef tenderness. Journal of Proteomics, 2011, 75, 352-365.	1.2	106

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Development of image analysis tool for the classification of muscle fibre type using immunohistochemical staining. Histochemistry and Cell Biology, 2010, 134, 307-317.	0.8	38
38	Specific fibre composition and metabolism of the rectus abdominis muscle of bovine Charolais cattle. BMC Biochemistry, 2010, 11, 12.	4.4	35
39	Skeletal muscle proteomics in livestock production. Briefings in Functional Genomics, 2010, 9, 259-278.	1.3	144
40	Evidence for expression of IIb myosin heavy chain isoform in some skeletal muscles of Blonde d'Aquitaine bulls. Meat Science, 2009, 82, 30-36.	2.7	53
41	<i>In vivo</i> proteome dynamics during early bovine myogenesis. Proteomics, 2008, 8, 4236-4248.	1.3	45
42	Muscle proteome and meat eating qualities of Longissimus thoracis of "Blonde d'Aquitaine―young bulls: A central role of HSP27 isoforms. Meat Science, 2008, 78, 297-304.	2.7	131
43	Proteomic analysis of bovine skeletal muscle hypertrophy. Proteomics, 2005, 5, 490-500.	1.3	161
44	Muscle fibre ontogenesis in farm animal species. Reproduction, Nutrition, Development, 2002, 42, 415-431.	1.9	302
45	Grass valorisation and muscular characteristics of blonde d'Aquitaine steers. Animal Research, 2001, 50, 105-118.	0.6	24
46	Muscle fibre characteristics in four muscles of growing bulls. Livestock Science, 1998, 53, 15-23.	1.2	52
47	Regional variations of muscle fibre characteristic in m. semitendinosus of growing cattle. Journal of Muscle Research and Cell Motility, 1997, 18, 57-62.	0.9	20
48	Quantitative determination of type I myosin heavy chain in bovine muscle with anti myosin monoclonal antibodies. Meat Science, 1994, 36, 333-343.	2.7	42