Karen Lander

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4663948/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

44 papers	1,379 citations	393982 19 h-index	360668 35 g-index
47 all docs	47 docs citations	47 times ranked	871 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Attentional Features of Mindfulness are Better Predictors of Face Recognition than Empathy and Compassion-Based Constructs. Psychological Reports, 2023, 126, 1481-1515.	0.9	1
2	Concepts and Theories That (Should) Inform the Use of Face Images in Forensic Science. , 2021, , 113-135.		0
3	Mindfulness in face recognition: Embedding mindfulness instructions in the faceâ€composite construction process. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2021, 35, 999-1010.	0.9	3
4	Measuring emotion recognition by people with Parkinsonâ€™s disease using eye-tracking with dynamic facial expressions. Journal of Neuroscience Methods, 2020, 331, 108524.	1.3	24
5	The advantage of low and medium attractiveness for facial composite production from modern forensic systems.. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2020, 9, 381-395.	0.7	1
6	Recognizing Genuine From Posed Facial Expressions: Exploring the Role of Dynamic Information and Face Familiarity. Frontiers in Psychology, 2020, 11, 1378.	1.1	6
7	Exploring the relationship between mindfulness, compassion and unfamiliar face identification. Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 2020, 32, 298-322.	0.4	6
8	Use-inspired basic research on individual differences in face identification: implications for criminal investigation and security. Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications, 2018, 3, 26.	1.1	31
9	Individual differences in face cognition: A commentary on Logie.. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2018, 7, 487-492.	0.7	0
10	Individual differences in face perception and person recognition. Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications, 2018, 3, 18.	1.1	17
11	Exploring the Motion Advantage: Evaluating the Contribution of Familiarity and Differences in Facial Motion. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2017, 70, 919-929.	0.6	19
12	A search advantage for dynamic same-race and other-race faces. Visual Cognition, 2017, 25, 442-455.	0.9	1
13	An unsuccessful attempt to demonstrate attentional orienting within the purely emotional domain.. Emotion, 2016, 16, 6-10.	1.5	3
14	Investigating Predictors of Superior Face Recognition Ability in Police Superâ€recognisers. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2016, 30, 827-840.	0.9	55
15	Movement cues aid face recognition in developmental prosopagnosia.. Neuropsychology, 2015, 29, 855-860.	1.0	31
16	Independence of face identity and expression processing: exploring the role of motion. Frontiers in Psychology, 2015, 6, 255.	1.1	29
17	Famous face recognition, face matching, and extraversion. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2015, 68, 1769-1776.	0.6	23
18	Investigating the impact of lip visibility and talking style on speechreading performance. Speech Communication, 2013, 55, 600-605.	1.6	8

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	The differential role of motion in recognition of familiar and unfamiliar faces. The Proceedings of the Annual Convention of the Japanese Psychological Association, 2013, 77, 1AM-098-1AM-098.	0.0	0
20	Modeling the Effect of Motion at Encoding and Retrieval for Same and Other Race Face Recognition. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2012, , 184-190.	1.0	0
21	The effect of motion at encoding and retrieval for sameâ€•and otherâ€•race face recognition. British Journal of Psychology, 2011, 102, 931-942.	1.2	25
22	Matching Faces with Emotional Expressions. Frontiers in Psychology, 2011, 2, 206.	1.1	17
23	Investigating the Dynamic Characteristics Important for Face Recognition. , 2010, , 31-46.		0
24	Multiple repetition priming of faces: Massed and spaced presentations. Visual Cognition, 2009, 17, 598-616.	0.9	3
25	Comparison of human face matching behavior and computational image similarity measure. Science in China Series F: Information Sciences, 2009, 52, 316-321.	1.1	4
26	Investigating the psycholinguistic correlates of speechreading in preschool age children. International Journal of Language and Communication Disorders, 2009, 44, 164-174.	0.7	12
27	Relating visual and vocal attractiveness for moving and static faces. Animal Behaviour, 2008, 75, 817-822.	0.8	45
28	Does face familiarity influence speechreadability?. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2008, 61, 961-967.	0.6	19
29	The effect of verbal description and processing type on face identification. European Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 2008, 20, 577-586.	1.3	11
30	Exploring the role of characteristic motion when learning new faces. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2007, 60, 519-526.	0.6	34
31	The influence of positive and negative facial expressions on face familiarity. Memory, 2007, 15, 63-69.	0.9	41
32	It's not what you say but the way you say it: Matching faces and voices.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2007, 33, 905-914.	0.7	31
33	Recognizing Face Identity from Natural and Morphed Smiles. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2006, 59, 801-808.	0.6	27
34	Why are moving faces easier to recognize?. Visual Cognition, 2005, 12, 429-442.	0.9	93
35	Exploring the Role of Motion in Prosopagnosia: Recognizing, Learning and Matching Faces. Neurocase, 2004, 10, 462-470.	0.2	24
36	Repetition priming from moving faces. Memory and Cognition, 2004, 32, 640-647.	0.9	24

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	`Putting the Face to the Voice'. Current Biology, 2003, 13, 1709-1714.	1.8	145
38	The role of motion in learning new faces. Visual Cognition, 2003, 10, 897-912.	0.9	85
39	Evaluating the effectiveness of pixelation and blurring on masking the identity of familiar faces. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2001, 15, 101-116.	0.9	187
40	Recognizing Famous Faces: Exploring the Benefits of Facial Motion. Ecological Psychology, 2000, 12, 259-272.	0.7	111
41	The role of movement in the recognition of famous faces. Memory and Cognition, 1999, 27, 974-985.	0.9	181
42	Recognising and learning faces in motion. , 0, , 125-135.		0
43	Dynamic information for face perception. , 0, , 40-61.		1
44	Why Are Some People Better at Recognising Faces Than Others?. Frontiers for Young Minds, 0, 9, .	0.8	1