## Claire Foster

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4647473/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

81900 91884 5,274 120 39 69 citations h-index g-index papers 126 126 126 6297 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The need for quality self-management support in cancer care. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2022, 31, 1-4.	3.7	7
2	<i>à€~Ît feels it's wasting whatever time l've got left'</i> : A qualitative study of living with treatable bunot curable cancer during the COVID-19 pandemic. Palliative Medicine, 2022, 36, 152-160.	ut 3.1	12
3	Knowledge and insights from a maturing international clinical quality registry. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA, 2022, 29, 964-969.	4.4	1
4	â€~A fascinating time to be involved with research' exploring the impact of COVIDâ€19 on postgraduate psychoâ€oncology researchers. Psycho-Oncology, 2022, , .	2.3	2
5	Self-management by older people living with cancer and multi-morbidity: a qualitative study. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2022, 30, 4823-4833.	2.2	9
6	Modifiable pre-treatment factors are associated with quality of life in women with gynaecological cancers at diagnosis and one year later: Results from the HORIZONS UK national cohort study. Gynecologic Oncology, 2022, 165, 610-618.	1.4	5
7	Implementing a Health Care Professional–Supported Digital Intervention for Survivors of Cancer in Primary Care: Qualitative Process Evaluation of the Renewed Intervention. JMIR Cancer, 2022, 8, e36364.	2.4	3
8	Quality of life and health status in older adults (â%¥65 years) up to five years following colorectal cancer treatment: Findings from the ColoREctal Wellbeing (CREW) cohort study. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0270033.	2.5	3
9	Management of Cancer and Health After the Clinic Visit: A Call to Action for Self-Management in Cancer Care. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2021, 113, 523-531.	6.3	110
10	Establishing a global quality of care benchmark report. Health Informatics Journal, 2021, 27, 146045822110157.	2.1	7
11	The cultural and structural influences that †hide' information from women diagnosed with breast cancer in Ghana: an ethnography. BMC Women's Health, 2021, 21, 364.	2.0	5
12	Nutritional care in colorectal cancerâ€"what is the state of play?. Colorectal Disease, 2021, 23, 3227-3233.	1.4	4
13	Prevalence and determinants of depression up to 5Âyears after colorectal cancer surgery: results from the ColoREctal Wellbeing (CREW) study. Colorectal Disease, 2021, 23, 3234-3250.	1.4	6
14	A novel exploration of the support needs of people initiating insulin pump therapy using a social network approach: a longitudinal mixedâ€methods study. Diabetic Medicine, 2020, 37, 298-310.	2.3	8
15	The experience of persistent pain in adult cancer survivors: A qualitative evidence synthesis. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2020, 29, e13192.	1.5	13
16	"No turning back―Psychoâ€oncology in the time of <scp>COVID</scp> â€19: Insights from a survey of <scp>UK</scp> professionals. Psycho-Oncology, 2020, 29, 1430-1435.	2.3	31
17	Prevalence and predictors of poor sexual well-being over 5 years following treatment for colorectal cancer: results from the ColoREctal Wellbeing (CREW) prospective longitudinal study. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e038953.	1.9	8
18	Selfâ€management in older people living with cancer and multiâ€morbidity: A systematic review and synthesis of qualitative studies. Psycho-Oncology, 2020, 29, 1452-1463.	2.3	30

#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
19	The ENABLE study protocol: Understanding and characterising the value and role of selfâ€management support for people living with cancer that is treatable but not curable. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2020, 29, e13217.	1.5	4
20	Letter to the Editor "RE: Cuthbert et al (2019) Selfâ€management interventions for cancer survivors: A systematic review and evaluation of intervention content and theories. Psychoâ€oncology, 28, 2119â€2140― Psycho-Oncology, 2020, 29, 804-805.	2.3	0
21	Does quality of life return to pre-treatment levels five years after curative intent surgery for colorectal cancer? Evidence from the ColoREctal Wellbeing (CREW) study. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0231332.	2.5	21
22	Exploring maintenance of physical activity behaviour change among people living with and beyond gastrointestinal cancer: a cross-sectional qualitative study and typology. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e037136.	1.9	11
23	Planning and optimising CHAT& PLAN: A conversation-based intervention to promote person-centred care for older people living with multimorbidity. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0240516.	2.5	10
24	A Facilitated Web-Based Self-Management Tool for People With Type 1 Diabetes Using an Insulin Pump: Intervention Development Using the Behavior Change Wheel and Theoretical Domains Framework. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2020, 22, e13980.	4.3	9
25	Developing a web-based patient decision aid for gastrostomy in motor neurone disease. Clinical Nutrition ESPEN, 2020, 35, 235.	1.2	0
26	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0231332.		0
27	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0231332.		0
28	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0231332.		0
29	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0231332.		0
30	Development of Breast Cancer Choices: a decision support tool for young women with breast cancer deciding whether to have genetic testing for BRCA1/2 mutations. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2019, 27, 297-309.	2.2	11
31	Developing a digital intervention for cancer survivors: an evidence-, theory- and person-based approach. Npj Digital Medicine, 2019, 2, 85.	10.9	29
32	Supportive care needs of patients following treatment for colorectal cancer: risk factors for unmet needs and the association between unmet needs and health-related quality of life—results from the ColoREctal Wellbeing (CREW) study. Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 2019, 13, 899-909.	2.9	36
33	Follow-up care after treatment for prostate cancer: evaluation of a supported self-management and remote surveillance programme. BMC Cancer, 2019, 19, 368.	2.6	47
34	Systematic review and meta-analysis of maintenance of physical activity behaviour change in cancer survivors. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 2019, 16, 37.	4.6	127
35	Renewed: Protocol for a randomised controlled trial of a digital intervention to support quality of life in cancer survivors. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e024862.	1.9	10
36	HORIZONS protocol: a UK prospective cohort study to explore recovery of health and well-being in adults diagnosed with cancer. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e029662.	1.9	6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Developing a web-based patient decision aid for gastrostomy in motor neuron disease: a study protocol. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e032364.	1.9	4
38	A five year longitudinal study of people living with and beyond colorectal cancer: key findings from the ColoREctal Well-being (CREW) study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 2226-2227.	1.0	0
39	Implementation of self-management support in cancer care and normalization into routine practice: a systematic scoping literature review protocol. Systematic Reviews, 2019, 8, 37.	5.3	29
40	"lt's Like We Don't Exist― Tailoring Education for Young Women Undergoing Surgery for Early-Stage Breast Cancer. Oncology Nursing Forum, 2018, 45, 165-175.	1.2	5
41	Strategies to facilitate shared decision-making about pediatric oncology clinical trial enrollment: A systematic review. Patient Education and Counseling, 2018, 101, 1157-1174.	2.2	27
42	Exploring cancer survivors' views of health behaviour change: " <i>Where do you start, where do you stop with everything?</i> i>― Psycho-Oncology, 2018, 27, 1816-1824.	2.3	100
43	The process of incorporating insulin pumps into the everyday lives of people with Type 1 diabetes: A critical interpretive synthesis. Health Expectations, 2018, 21, 714-729.	2.6	21
44	Systematic review of the empirical investigation of resources to support decision-making regarding BRCA1 and BRCA2 genetic testing in women with breast cancer. Patient Education and Counseling, 2018, 101, 779-788.	2.2	21
45	Quality Indicators for Global Benchmarking of Localized Prostate Cancer Management. Journal of Urology, 2018, 200, 319-326.	0.4	11
46	Understanding acceptability of and engagement with Webâ€based interventions aiming to improve quality of life in cancer survivors: A synthesis of current research. Psycho-Oncology, 2018, 27, 22-33.	2.3	68
47	CO-creating and adapting a self-management program for breast cancer Survivors integrated in the clinical pathway of breast centers in Switzerland (COSS pilot study). European Journal of Cancer, 2018, 92, S37.	2.8	O
48	Improving the lives of people living with and beyond cancer: Generating the evidence needed to inform policy and practice. Journal of Cancer Policy, 2018, 15, 92-95.	1.4	44
49	Comorbidities are associated with poorer quality of life and functioning and worse symptoms in the 5Âyears following colorectal cancer surgery: Results from the ColoREctal Wellâ€being (CREW) cohort study. Psycho-Oncology, 2018, 27, 2427-2435.	2.3	39
50	Conceptualisation of the â€~good' self-manager: A qualitative investigation of stakeholder views on the self-management of long-term health conditions. Social Science and Medicine, 2017, 176, 25-33.	3.8	61
51	MA13.11 Investigating the Feasibility of Establishing a Prospective Cohort of Lung Cancer Patients Following Radiotherapy with Curative Intent. Journal of Thoracic Oncology, 2017, 12, S421.	1.1	О
52	Optimization of Quantitative Dynamic Postgadolinium MRI Technique Using Normalized Ratios for the Evaluation of Temporomandibular Joint Synovitis in Patients with Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis. American Journal of Neuroradiology, 2017, 38, 2344-2350.	2.4	11
53	Social support following diagnosis and treatment for colorectal cancer and associations with healthâ€related quality of life: Results from the UK ColoREctal Wellbeing (CREW) cohort study. Psycho-Oncology, 2017, 26, 2276-2284.	2.3	53
54	Self-efficacy for managing illness-related problems; results from the ColoREctal Wellbeing (CREW) study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 43, 2230.	1.0	0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Colorectal cancer patient's self-efficacy for managing illness-related problems in the first 2Âyears after diagnosis, results from the ColoREctal Well-being (CREW) study. Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 2017, 11, 634-642.	2.9	34
56	Follow-up care after treatment for prostate cancer: protocol for an evaluation of a nurse-led supported self-management and remote surveillance programme. BMC Cancer, 2017, 17, 656.	2.6	10
57	Development and testing of a text-mining approach to analyse patients' comments on their experiences of colorectal cancer care. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2016, 25, 604-614.	3.7	43
58	The impact of co-morbidities on recovery from colorectal cancer within first 2 years after surgery: Results from the UK Colorectal Wellbeing (CREW) cohort study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 42, S231.	1.0	0
59	Information requirements of young women with breast cancer treated with mastectomy or breast conserving surgery: A systematic review. Breast, 2016, 25, 1-13.	2.2	49
60	190 Testing the feasibility of establishing a cohort of lung cancer patients to investigate recovery following radiotherapy with curative intent. Lung Cancer, 2016, 91, S69.	2.0	0
61	A web-based intervention (RESTORE) to support self-management of cancer-related fatigue following primary cancer treatment: a multi-centre proof of concept randomised controlled trial. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2016, 24, 2445-2453.	2.2	75
62	Pre-Surgery Depression and Confidence to Manage Problems Predict Recovery Trajectories of Health and Wellbeing in the First Two Years following Colorectal Cancer: Results from the CREW Cohort Study. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0155434.	2.5	80
63	The True NTH Prostate Cancer Survivorship Care programme: Development and evaluation of a model for delivering follow-up care to men with prostate cancer Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2016, 34, 42-42.	1.6	2
64	RESTORE: an exploratory trial of a web-based intervention to enhance self-management of cancer-related fatigue: findings from a qualitative process evaluation. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2015, 15, 94.	3.0	30
65	Managing fatigue after cancer treatment: development of RESTORE, a webâ€based resource to support selfâ€management. Psycho-Oncology, 2015, 24, 940-949.	2.3	23
66	Self-Management and Self-Management Support Outcomes: A Systematic Review and Mixed Research Synthesis of Stakeholder Views. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0130990.	2.5	119
67	†You can't say, "what about me?―I'm not the one with cancer': information and support needs of relatives. Psycho-Oncology, 2015, 24, 705-711.	2.3	19
68	Cancer survivors $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{N}$ self-efficacy to self-manage in the year following primary treatment. Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 2015, 9, 11-19.	2.9	144
69	Uncertainty and anxiety in the cancer of unknown primary patient journey: a multiperspective qualitative study. BMJ Supportive and Palliative Care, 2015, 5, 366-372.	1.6	30
70	Survivorship and Self-Management in Cancer Care. , 2015, , 343-353.		3
71	Using the Clinical Research Network for psychosocial cancer research: lessons learned from two observational studies. BMJ Supportive and Palliative Care, 2014, 4, 202-211.	1.6	0
72	212 Testing the feasibility of establishing a cohort of lung cancer patients to investigate recovery following surgery with curative intent. Lung Cancer, 2014, 83, S78.	2.0	0

#	Article	IF	Citations
73	RESTORE: an exploratory trial of an online intervention to enhance self-efficacy to manage problems associated with cancer-related fatigue following primary cancer treatment: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2013, 14, 184.	1.6	23
74	Living into old age with the consequences of breast cancer. European Journal of Oncology Nursing, 2013, 17, 311-316.	2.1	32
75	Critical research gaps and translational priorities for the successful prevention and treatment of breast cancer. Breast Cancer Research, 2013, 15, R92.	5.0	320
76	Lessons learnt recruiting to a multi-site UK cohort study to explore recovery of health and well-being after colorectal cancer (CREW study). BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2013, 13, 153.	3.1	11
77	Information required for surgical decision making in young women with breast cancer. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2013, 39, 498.	1.0	0
78	Informing future research priorities into the psychological and social problems faced by cancer survivors: A rapid review and synthesis of the literature. European Journal of Oncology Nursing, 2013, 17, 510-520.	2.1	49
79	Outcomes of a nurse-delivered psychosocial intervention for weight- and eating-related distress in family carers of patients with advanced cancer. International Journal of Palliative Nursing, 2013, 19, 116-123.	0.5	13
80	Review: Developing a patient-led cancer care website, â€ <sup>~</sup> CanCare': what do end users want?. Journal of Research in Nursing, 2012, 17, 536-537.	0.9	0
81	An evaluation of research capacity building from the Cancer Experiences Collaborative. BMJ Supportive and Palliative Care, 2012, 2, 280-285.	1.6	7
82	A cohort study of the recovery of health and wellbeing following colorectal cancer (CREW study): protocol paper. BMC Health Services Research, 2012, 12, 90.	2.2	21
83	Self-care and end of life careâ€"patients' and carers' experience a qualitative study utilising serial triangulated interviews. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2012, 20, 1619-1627.	2.2	50
84	Impact of cancer on everyday life: a systematic appraisal of the research evidence. Health Expectations, 2012, 15, 97-111.	2.6	16
85	The physical and practical problems experienced by cancer survivors: A rapid review and synthesis of the literature. European Journal of Oncology Nursing, 2011, 15, 204-212.	2.1	96
86	Benefits and challenges of collaborative research: lessons from supportive and palliative care. BMJ Supportive and Palliative Care, 2011, 1, 5-11.	1.6	13
87	Knowledge, ignorance and priorities for research in key areas of cancer survivorship: findings from a scoping review. British Journal of Cancer, 2011, 105, S82-S94.	6.4	77
88	The health and well-being of cancer survivors in the UK: findings from a population-based survey. British Journal of Cancer, 2011, 105, S11-S20.	6.4	152
89	Recovery and self-management support following primary cancer treatment. British Journal of Cancer, 2011, 105, S21-S28.	6.4	184
90	What Facilitates or Impedes Family Communication Following Genetic Testing for Cancer Risk? A Systematic Review and Metaâ€Synthesis of Primary Qualitative Research. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2010, 19, 330-342.	1.6	144

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	The Deliverability, Acceptability, and Perceived Effect of the Macmillan Approach to Weight Loss and Eating Difficulties: A Phase II, Cluster-Randomized, Exploratory Trial of a Psychosocial Intervention for Weight- and Eating-Related Distress in People with Advanced Cancer. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management, 2010, 40, 684-695.	1.2	61
92	Who visits mobile UK services providing cancer information and support in the community?. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2010, 19, 221-226.	1.5	7
93	Critical appraisal guidelines for assessing the quality and impact of user involvement in research. Health Expectations, 2010, 13, 359-368.	2.6	74
94	An exploration of the internet as a self-management resource. Journal of Research in Nursing, 2009, 14, 13-24.	0.9	11
95	Psychosocial implications of living 5 years or more following a cancer diagnosis: a systematic review of the research evidence. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2009, 18, 223-247.	1.5	197
96	Self care and end of life care in advanced cancer: Literature review. European Journal of Oncology Nursing, 2009, 13, 386-398.	2.1	47
97	4235 Involving people of diverse ethnicities in cancer research: an exploration of experiences, attitudes and barriers. European Journal of Cancer, Supplement, 2009, 7, 248-249.	2.2	0
98	Communicating genetics research results to families: problems arising when the patient participant is deceased. Psycho-Oncology, 2008, 17, 804-811.	2.3	17
99	Exploring the breast cancer experiences, needs and preferences of women aged 70 years and over: a study in progress. Breast Cancer Research, 2008, 10, .	5.0	0
100	Living with genetic risk of breast cancer: what have we learned?. Breast Cancer Research, 2008, 10, .	5.0	0
101	Management of weight loss and anorexia. Annals of Oncology, 2008, 19, vii289-vii293.	1.2	29
102	The research priorities of patients attending UK cancer treatment centres: findings from a modified nominal group study. British Journal of Cancer, 2007, 96, 875-881.	6.4	123
103	The NCRI Cancer Experiences Collaborative: Defining self management. European Journal of Oncology Nursing, 2007, 11, 295-297.	2.1	34
104	The case for user involvement in research: the research priorities of cancer patients. Breast Cancer Research, 2007, 9, .	5.0	16
105	Predictive genetic testing for BRCA1/2 in a UK clinical cohort: three-year follow-up. British Journal of Cancer, 2007, 96, 718-724.	6.4	79
106	Disclosure of Genetics Research Results after the Death of the Patient Participant: A Qualitative Study of the Impact on Relatives. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2007, 16, 527-538.	1.6	36
107	Listening to the views of people affected by cancer about cancer research: an example of participatory research in setting the cancer research agenda. Health Expectations, 2006, 9, 3-12.	2.6	78
108	Guilt, blame and responsibility: men's understanding of their role in the transmission of BRCA1/2 mutations within their family. Sociology of Health and Illness, 2006, 28, 060926022052001-???.	2.1	86

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	How to involve cancer patients at the end of life as co-researchers. Palliative Medicine, 2006, 20, 821-827.	3.1	38
110	Communication about genetic testing in families of male BRCA1/2 carriers and non-carriers: patterns, priorities and problems. Clinical Genetics, 2005, 67, 492-502.	2.0	105
111	Men's Decision-Making About Predictive BRCA1/2 Testing: The Role of Family. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2005, 14, 207-217.	1.6	67
112	Juggling roles and expectations: dilemmas faced by women talking to relatives about cancer and genetic testing. Psychology and Health, 2004, 19, 439-455.	2.2	152
113	Psychosocial impact of breast/ovarian (BRCA 1/2) cancer-predictive genetic testing in a UK multi-centre clinical cohort. British Journal of Cancer, 2004, 91, 1787-1794.	6.4	276
114	Non-Uptake of Predictive Genetic Testing for BRCA1/2 among Relatives of Known Carriers: Attributes, Cancer Worry, and Barriers to Testing in a Multicenter Clinical Cohort. Genetic Testing and Molecular Biomarkers, 2004, 8, 23-29.	1.7	59
115	Accommodating risk: Responses to BRCA1/2 genetic testing of women who have had cancer. Social Science and Medicine, 2004, 59, 553-565.	3.8	93
116	Balancing autonomy and responsibility: the ethics of generating and disclosing genetic information * Commentary * Author's reply. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2003, 29, 74-79.	1.8	173
117	Predictive testing for BRCA1/2: attributes, risk perception and management in a multi-centre clinical cohort. British Journal of Cancer, 2002, 86, 1209-1216.	6.4	173
118	Genetic Testing for Breast and Ovarian Cancer Predisposition: Cancer Burden and Responsibility. Journal of Health Psychology, 2002, 7, 469-484.	2.3	156
119	Genetic Testing for Women Previously Diagnosed with Breast/Ovarian Cancer: Examining the Impact of BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutation Searching. Genetic Testing and Molecular Biomarkers, 2002, 6, 79-87.	1.7	72
120	Treatment demands and differential treatment of patients with cystic fibrosis and their siblings: patient, parent and sibling accounts. Child: Care, Health and Development, 2001, 27, 349-364.	1.7	67