
## Ignacio Palomo

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4585095/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Uncovering Ecosystem Service Bundles through Social Preferences. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e38970.	2.5	688
2	A blueprint for mapping and modelling ecosystem services. Ecosystem Services, 2013, 4, 4-14.	5.4	565
3	Mapping ecosystem service capacity, flow and demand for landscape and urban planning: A case study in the Barcelona metropolitan region. Land Use Policy, 2016, 57, 405-417.	5.6	310
4	National Parks, buffer zones and surrounding lands: Mapping ecosystem service flows. Ecosystem Services, 2013, 4, 104-116.	5.4	308
5	Incorporating the Social–Ecological Approach in Protected Areas in the Anthropocene. BioScience, 2014, 64, 181-191.	4.9	233
6	When we cannot have it all: Ecosystem services trade-offs in the context of spatial planning. Ecosystem Services, 2018, 29, 566-578.	5.4	231
7	Participatory scenario planning in place-based social-ecological research: insights and experiences from 23 case studies. Ecology and Society, 2015, 20, .	2.3	228
8	Effects of land-use change on wetland ecosystem services: A case study in the Doñana marshes (SW) Tj ETQqO	0 9 <sub>.5</sub> gBT /	Overlock 10
9	Disentangling the Pathways and Effects of Ecosystem Service Co-Production. Advances in Ecological Research, 2016, , 245-283.	2.7	160
10	Post-2020 biodiversity targets need to embrace climate change. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2020, 117, 30882-30891.	7.1	160
11	World Scientists' Warning of a Climate Emergency 2021. BioScience, 2021, 71, 894-898.	4.9	160
12	Climate Change Impacts on Ecosystem Services in High Mountain Areas: A Literature Review. Mountain Research and Development, 2017, 37, 179-187.	1.0	152

	Research and Development, 2017, 37, 179-187.		
13	Participatory Scenario Planning for Protected Areas Management under the Ecosystem Services Framework: the Doñana Social-Ecological System in Southwestern Spain. Ecology and Society, 2011, 16,	2.3	148
14	Levers and leverage points for pathways to sustainability. People and Nature, 2020, 2, 693-717.	3.7	141
15	The conservation against development paradigm in protected areas: Valuation of ecosystem services in the Doñana social–ecological system (southwestern Spain). Ecological Economics, 2011, 70, 1481-1491.	5.7	137
16	Institutional challenges in putting ecosystem service knowledge in practice. Ecosystem Services, 2018, 29, 579-598.	5.4	132
17	Collaborative mapping of ecosystem services: The role of stakeholders× <sup>3</sup> profiles. Ecosystem Services, 2015, 13, 141-152.	5.4	130
18	Quantifying spatial supply-demand mismatches in ecosystem services provides insights for land-use planning. Land Use Policy, 2020, 94, 104493.	5.6	130

2

Ignacio Palomo

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	The means determine the end – Pursuing integrated valuation in practice. Ecosystem Services, 2018, 29, 515-528.	5.4	128
20	Towards an indicator system to assess equitable management in protected areas. Biological Conservation, 2017, 211, 134-141.	4.1	123
21	Deliberative mapping of ecosystem services within and around Doñana National Park (SW Spain) in relation to land use change. Regional Environmental Change, 2014, 14, 237-251.	2.9	106
22	Stakeholders' perspectives on the operationalisation of the ecosystem service concept: Results from 27 case studies. Ecosystem Services, 2018, 29, 552-565.	5.4	94
23	Nature's contributions to people in mountains: A review. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0217847.	2.5	94
24	Mapping ecosystem service flows with land cover scoring maps for data-scarce regions. Ecosystem Services, 2015, 13, 28-40.	5.4	91
25	Delineating boundaries of social-ecological systems for landscape planning: A comprehensive spatial approach. Land Use Policy, 2017, 66, 90-104.	5.6	91
26	Future impacts of drivers of change on wetland ecosystem services in Colombia. Global Environmental Change, 2017, 44, 158-169.	7.8	80
27	Integrating methods for ecosystem service assessment: Experiences from real world situations. Ecosystem Services, 2018, 29, 499-514.	5.4	80
28	Progress toward Equitably Managed Protected Areas in Aichi Target 11: A Global Survey. BioScience, 2019, 69, 191-197.	4.9	79
29	Off-stage ecosystem service burdens: A blind spot for global sustainability. Environmental Research Letters, 2017, 12, 075001.	5.2	75
30	Assessing nature-based solutions for transformative change. One Earth, 2021, 4, 730-741.	6.8	66
31	Assessing stakeholders' perceptions and values towards social-ecological systems using participatory methods. Ecological Processes, 2014, 3, .	3.9	60
32	(Dis) integrated valuation – Assessing the information gaps in ecosystem service appraisals for governance support. Ecosystem Services, 2018, 29, 529-541.	5.4	59
33	Scale Misfit in Ecosystem Service Governance as a Source of Environmental Conflict. Society and Natural Resources, 2013, 26, 1202-1216.	1.9	58
34	Biodiversity conservation research challenges in the 21st century: A review of publishing trends in 2000 and 2011. Environmental Science and Policy, 2015, 54, 90-96.	4.9	49
35	Envisioning the future of transhumant pastoralism through participatory scenario planning: a case study in Spain. Rangeland Journal, 2013, 35, 251.	0.9	46
36	What can conservation strategies learn from the ecosystem services approach? Insights from ecosystem assessments in two Spanish protected areas. Biodiversity and Conservation, 2018, 27, 1575-1597.	2.6	45

Ignacio Palomo

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Multiple conceptualizations of nature are key to inclusivity and legitimacy in global environmental governance. Environmental Science and Policy, 2020, 104, 36-42.	4.9	45
38	Editorial: Best practices for mapping ecosystem services. Ecosystem Services, 2015, 13, 1-5.	5.4	43
39	Participatory multi-criteria decision aid: Operationalizing an integrated assessment of ecosystem services. Ecosystem Services, 2018, 30, 49-60.	5.4	38
40	Nature's contribution to adaptation: insights from examples of the transformation of social-ecological systems. Ecosystems and People, 2020, 16, 137-150.	3.2	38
41	Protecting nature is necessary but not sufficient for conserving ecosystem services: A comprehensive assessment along a gradient of land-use intensity in Spain. Ecosystem Services, 2019, 35, 43-51.	5.4	36
42	A visualization and data-sharing tool for ecosystem service maps: Lessons learnt, challenges and the way forward. Ecosystem Services, 2015, 13, 134-140.	5.4	35
43	Incorporating ecosystem services into ecosystem-based management to deal with complexity: a participative mental model approach. Landscape Ecology, 2014, 29, 1407-1421.	4.2	32
44	Exploring the usefulness of scenario archetypes in science-policy processes: experience across IPBES assessments. Ecology and Society, 2019, 24, .	2.3	32
45	Limitations of Protected Areas Zoning in Mediterranean Cultural Landscapes Under the Ecosystem Services Approach. Ecosystems, 2014, 17, 1202-1215.	3.4	30
46	Modeling trade-offs across carbon sequestration, biodiversity conservation, and equity in the distribution of global REDD+ funds. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2019, 116, 22645-22650.	7.1	27
47	Biodiversity and ecosystem services mapping: Can it reconcile urban and protected area planning?. Science of the Total Environment, 2022, 803, 150048.	8.0	25
48	Local Perceptions of Ecosystem Services Across Multiple Ecosystem Types in Spain. Land, 2020, 9, 330.	2.9	22
49	Culturally diverse expert teams have yet to bring comprehensive linguistic diversity to intergovernmental ecosystem assessments. One Earth, 2021, 4, 269-278.	6.8	22
50	Practical solutions for bottlenecks in ecosystem services mapping. One Ecosystem, 0, 3, e20713.	0.0	22
51	Early-career experts essential for planetary sustainability. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 2017, 29, 151-157.	6.3	15
52	Applicability of economic instruments for protecting ecosystem services from cultural agrarian landscapes in Doñana, SW Spain. Land Use Policy, 2017, 61, 185-195.	5.6	13
53	Evaluating social learning in participatory mapping of ecosystem services. Ecosystems and People, 2019, 15, 257-268.	3.2	13
54	Ecosystem service coproduction across the zones of biosphere reserves in Europe. Ecosystems and People, 2021, 17, 491-506.	3.2	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Envisioning protected areas through participatory scenario planning: navigating coverage and effectiveness challenges ahead. Parks, 2017, 23, 29-44.	1.9	6
56	Characterising the rural-urban gradient through the participatory mapping of ecosystem services: insights for landscape planning. One Ecosystem, 0, 3, e24487.	0.0	6
57	Collective capabilities shape the co-production of nature's contributions to people in the alpine agricultural system of the Maurienne valley, France. Regional Environmental Change, 2021, 21, 1.	2.9	6
58	Protected areas as a double edge sword: An analysis of factors driving urbanisation in their surroundings. Global Environmental Change, 2022, 74, 102522.	7.8	5
59	Test climate targets using fragile ecosystems. Nature, 2018, 553, 155-155.	27.8	3