S-H Kim

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4562638/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01

		201674	206112
121	2,818	27	48
papers	citations	h-index	g-index
121	121	121	3484
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

S-H KIM

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Multicenter Analysis of Risk Factors for Anastomotic Leakage After Laparoscopic Rectal Cancer Excision. Annals of Surgery, 2013, 257, 665-671.	4.2	351
2	Long-range interaction and correlation between <i>MYC</i> enhancer and oncogenic long noncoding RNA <i>CARLo-5</i> . Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2014, 111, 4173-4178.	7.1	174
3	Robotic versus Conventional Laparoscopic Surgery for Rectal Cancer: A Cost Analysis from A Single Institute in Korea. World Journal of Surgery, 2012, 36, 2722-2729.	1.6	151
4	The multiphasic learning curve for robot-assisted rectal surgery. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2013, 27, 3297-3307.	2.4	139
5	Single-Stage Totally Robotic Dissection for Rectal Cancer Surgery: Technique and Short-Term Outcome in 50 Consecutive Patients. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2009, 52, 1824-1830.	1.3	131
6	International consensus on natural orifice specimen extraction surgery (NOSES) for colorectal cancer. Gastroenterology Report, 2019, 7, 24-31.	1.3	109
7	Robotic Resection is a Good Prognostic Factor in Rectal Cancer Compared with Laparoscopic Resection: Long-term Survival Analysis Using Propensity Score Matching. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2017, 60, 266-273.	1.3	102
8	Prevention of uncuffed hemodialysis catheter-related bacteremia using an antibiotic lock technique: A prospective, randomized clinical trial. Kidney International, 2006, 69, 161-164.	5.2	95
9	Excessive cerebrocortical release of acetylcholine induced by NMDA antagonists is reduced by GABAergic and α2-adrenergic agonists. Molecular Psychiatry, 1999, 4, 344-352.	7.9	82
10	Multicentre study of robotic intersphincteric resection for low rectal cancer. British Journal of Surgery, 2015, 102, 1567-1573.	0.3	65
11	Laparoscopic vs. robotic rectal cancer surgery and the effect on conversion rates: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and propensity-score-matched studies. Techniques in Coloproctology, 2019, 23, 221-230.	1.8	59
12	The safety of a "fast-track―program after laparoscopic colorectal surgery is comparable in older patients as in younger patients. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2013, 27, 1225-1232.	2.4	54
13	Risk factors for and clinical implications of mixed Candida/bacterial bloodstream infections. Clinical Microbiology and Infection, 2013, 19, 62-68.	6.0	54
14	Robotic Versus Laparoscopic Intersphincteric Resection for Low Rectal Cancer: Comparison of the Operative, Oncological, and Functional Outcomes. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 22, 1219-1225.	1.5	49
15	Model for Assessing Cardiovascular Risk in a Korean Population. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2014, 7, 944-951.	2.2	45
16	Relationship between the Severity of Diversion Colitis and the Composition of Colonic Bacteria: A Prospective Study. Gut and Liver, 2014, 8, 170-176.	2.9	38
17	Laparoscopic Resection of Rectal Cancer: A Comparison of Surgical and Oncologic Outcomes Between Extraperitoneal and Intraperitoneal Disease Locations. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2008, 51, 844-851.	1.3	37
18	Preoperative chest computerized tomography in patients with locally advanced mid or lower rectal cancer: Its role in staging and impact on treatment strategy. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2010, 102, 588-592.	1.7	37

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	First report: robotic pelvic exenteration for locally advanced rectal cancer. Colorectal Disease, 2014, 16, O9-14.	1.4	37
20	The LIM-only transcription factor LMO2 determines tumorigenic and angiogenic traits in glioma stem cells. Cell Death and Differentiation, 2015, 22, 1517-1525.	11.2	37
21	Results of video head impulse and caloric tests in 36 patients with vestibular migraine and 23 patients with vestibular neuritis: a preliminary report. Clinical Otolaryngology, 2016, 41, 813-817.	1.2	36
22	Infectious complications following allogeneic stem cell transplantation: reducedâ€intensity vs. myeloablative conditioning regimens. Transplant Infectious Disease, 2013, 15, 49-59.	1.7	35
23	Robotic Intersphincteric Resection for Low Rectal Cancer: Technical Controversies and a Systematic Review on the Perioperative, Oncological, and Functional Outcomes. Annals of Coloproctology, 2021, 37, 351-367.	2.0	34
24	Clinical benefits and oncologic equivalence of self-expandable metallic stent insertion for right-sided malignant colonic obstruction. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2017, 31, 153-158.	2.4	33
25	Association of coronary artery calcification with obstructive sleep apnea and obesity in middle-aged men. Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases, 2010, 20, 575-582.	2.6	31
26	Increased expression of endoglin in the eutopic endometrium of women with endometriosis. Fertility and Sterility, 2001, 76, 918-922.	1.0	29
27	Phylogenetic Analysis of the Small Hydrophobic (SH) Gene of Mumps Virus in Korea: Identification of a New Genotype. Microbiology and Immunology, 2000, 44, 173-177.	1.4	27
28	Laparoscopic-assisted resection of ileal lipoma causing ileo-ileo-colic intussusception. Journal of Korean Medical Science, 2001, 16, 119.	2.5	27
29	Laughter and Stress Relief in Cancer Patients: A Pilot Study. Evidence-based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 2015, 2015, 1-6.	1.2	25
30	Robotic Surgery for Rectal Cancer: An Update in 2015. Cancer Research and Treatment, 2016, 48, 427-435.	3.0	25
31	Robotics in general surgery: An evidenceâ€based review. Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery, 2014, 7, 117-123.	0.9	24
32	Robotic total mesorectal excision: operative technique and review of the literature. Techniques in Coloproctology, 2013, 17, 47-53.	1.8	22
33	Influence of stereopsis and abnormal binocular vision on ocular and systemic discomfort while watching 3D television. Eye, 2013, 27, 1243-1248.	2.1	22
34	Anus-Preserving Surgery in Advanced Low-Lying Rectal Cancer: A Perspective on Oncological Safety of Intersphincteric Resection. Cancers, 2021, 13, 4793.	3.7	22
35	Optimizing outcomes of colorectal cancer surgery with robotic platforms. Surgical Oncology, 2021, 37, 101559.	1.6	21
36	Oncologic outcomes in rectal cancer patients with a â‰⊈-cm distal resection margin. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2017, 32, 325-332.	2.2	19

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Arc of Riolan-Preserving Splenic Flexure Takedown During Anterior Resection: Potentially Critical to Prevent Acute Anastomotic Ischemia. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2018, 61, 411-414.	1.3	18
38	Da Vinci SP robotic approach to colorectal surgery: two specific indications and short-term results. Techniques in Coloproctology, 2022, 26, 461-470.	1.8	17
39	The ultrastructural changes of tendon axonal profiles of medial rectus muscles according to duration in patients with intermittent exotropia. Eye, 2008, 22, 1076-1081.	2.1	16
40	Mechanical Bowel Preparation Does Not Affect Clinical Severity of Anastomotic Leakage in Rectal Cancer Surgery. World Journal of Surgery, 2017, 41, 1366-1374.	1.6	16
41	Comparison of perioperative and short-term outcomes between robotic and conventional laparoscopic surgery for colonic cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Annals of Surgical Treatment and Research, 2016, 90, 328.	1.0	15
42	Clinical Outcomes of Ileostomy Closure According to Timing During Adjuvant Chemotherapy After Rectal Cancer Surgery. Annals of Coloproctology, 2019, 35, 187-193.	2.0	15
43	Effects of unilateral lateral rectus recession according to the tendon width in intermittent exotropia. Eye, 2006, 20, 785-788.	2.1	14
44	Port positioning and docking for single-stage totally robotic dissection for rectal cancer surgery with the Si and Xi Da Vinci Surgical System. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2018, 12, 545-548.	1.8	13
45	Association between Abdominal Obesity and Incident Colorectal Cancer: A Nationwide Cohort Study in Korea. Cancers, 2020, 12, 1368.	3.7	13
46	Impact of robotic learning curve on histopathology in rectal cancer: A pooled analysis. Surgical Oncology, 2020, 34, 121-125.	1.6	13
47	Anatomic Landmarks for Transabdominal Robotic-Assisted Intersphincteric Dissection for Ultralow Anterior Resection. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2021, 64, e87-e88.	1.3	13
48	Indeterminate pulmonary nodules in rectal cancer: A recommendation for followâ€up guidelines. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2012, 106, 481-485.	1.7	12
49	Impact of laparoscopic experience on virtual robotic simulator dexterity. Journal of Minimal Access Surgery, 2015, 11, 68.	0.7	12
50	Acute Graft-vs-Host Disease After Liver Transplantation: Experience at a High-volume Liver Transplantation Center in Korea. Transplantation Proceedings, 2016, 48, 3368-3372.	0.6	12
51	Risk factors causing structural sequelae after anastomotic leakage in mid to low rectal cancer. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2015, 21, 5910-5917.	3.3	12
52	Intersphincteric Resection for Low Rectal Cancer: A Review of Anatomy and Surgical Techniques, Oncologic and Functional Outcomes and the Role of Robotics. Turkish Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2020, 30, 76-85.	0.2	12
53	Robotic-assisted resection for beyond TME rectal cancer: a novel classification and analysis from a specialized center. Updates in Surgery, 2021, 73, 1103-1114.	2.0	11
54	Local Recurrence after Laparoscopic Resection of T3 Rectal Cancer without Preoperative Chemoradiation and a Risk Group Analysis: An Asian Collaborative Study. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2008, 12, 933-938.	1.7	10

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Relaparoscopy for Salvage Surgery in Anastomotic Recurrence of Rectal Cancer: Feasible and Safe. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2008, 51, 1712-1713.	1.3	10
56	Selective Use of Preoperative Chemoradiotherapy for T3 Rectal Cancer Can Be Justified: Analysis of Local Recurrence. World Journal of Surgery, 2013, 37, 220-226.	1.6	10
57	Dual-graft adult living donor liver transplantation with ABO-incompatible graft: short-term and long-term outcomes. American Journal of Transplantation, 2018, 18, 424-433.	4.7	10
58	Clinical Implication of Liquid Biopsy in Colorectal Cancer Patients Treated with Metastasectomy. Cancers, 2021, 13, 2231.	3.7	10
59	Risk factors for local recurrence and long term survival after minimally invasive intersphincteric resection for very low rectal cancer: Multivariate analysis in 161 patients. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 47, 2069-2077.	1.0	10
60	Female Aging and Superovulation Induction for IVF. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology (Tokyo,) Tj ETQq0 0 () rgBT /Ov	erlgck 10 Tf 50
61	Is laparoscopic technique oncologically appropriate for colorectal cancer surgery?. Journal of Korean Medical Science, 1998, 13, 227.	2.5	9
62	The technique of single-stage totally robotic low anterior resection. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2011, 5, 25-28.	1.8	9
63	A review on robotic surgery in rectal cancer. Translational Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2016, 1, 5-5.	3.0	9
64	Totally robotic single docking low anterior resection for rectal cancer: pearls and pitfalls. Techniques in Coloproctology, 2017, 21, 893-895.	1.8	9
65	Robotic rectal surgery has advantages over laparoscopic surgery in selected patients and centres. Colorectal Disease, 2018, 20, 845-853.	1.4	9
66	Da Vinci SP System Optimized for Intersphincteric Resection of Very Low Rectal Cancer. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2022, 65, e174-e174.	1.3	9
67	Estimation of the nares-to-epiglottis distance and the nares-to-vocal cords distance in young children. British Journal of Anaesthesia, 2012, 109, 816-820.	3.4	8
68	Analysis of reduced-dose administration of oxaliplatin as adjuvant FOLFOX chemotherapy for colorectal cancer. Annals of Surgical Treatment and Research, 2018, 94, 196.	1.0	8
69	Midline incision vs. transverse incision for specimen extraction is not a significant risk factor for developing incisional hernia after minimally invasive colorectal surgery: multivariable analysis of a large cohort from a single tertiary center in Korea. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques. 2022. 36. 1199-1205.	2.4	8
70	Is robotic rectal resection the preferred option for resectable cancer?. Mini-invasive Surgery, 2018, 2, 18.	0.5	8
71	Characteristics and outcomes of colorectal cancer surgery by age in a tertiary center in Korea: a retrospective review. Annals of Coloproctology, 2022, 38, 244-252.	2.0	8
72	Current status of robotic colorectal surgery. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2011, 5, 65-72.	1.8	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Estimation of teeth-to-vallecula distance for prediction of optimal oropharyngeal airway length in young children. British Journal of Anaesthesia, 2011, 107, 769-773.	3.4	7
74	Clinical implication of negative conversion of predicted circumferential resection margin status after preoperative chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced rectal cancer. European Journal of Radiology, 2014, 83, 245-249.	2.6	7
75	Robotic rectal surgery in Korea: Analysis of a nationwide registry. International Journal of Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery, 2018, 14, e1896.	2.3	7
76	How to accurately measure the distance from the anal verge to rectal cancer on MRI: a prospective study using anal verge markers. Abdominal Radiology, 2021, 46, 449-458.	2.1	7
77	Receptor mechanisms and circuitry underlying NMDA antagonist neurotoxicity. Molecular Psychiatry, 2002, 7, 32-43.	7.9	7
78	Minimally invasive complete mesocolic excision for right colon cancer. Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery, 2020, 4, 234-242.	2.4	7
79	Simple uterovaginal anastomosis for cervicovaginal atresia diagnosed by magnetic resonance imaging: A report of two cases. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research, 2016, 42, 738-742.	1.3	6
80	Clinical Implication of Concordant or Discordant Genomic Profiling between Primary and Matched Metastatic Tissues in Patients with Colorectal Cancer. Cancer Research and Treatment, 2020, 52, 764-778.	3.0	6
81	Da Vinci Single-Port (SP) robotic transverse colectomy for mid-transverse colon cancer. Techniques in Coloproctology, 2022, 26, 681-682.	1.8	6
82	Covered airway stent placement for malignant tracheobronchial strictures in patients with an endotracheal tube. Clinical Radiology, 2016, 71, 1120-1125.	1.1	5
83	Colitis-associated colorectal cancer in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Minerva Surgery, 2017, 72, 520-529.	0.6	5
84	Anastomotic Sinus That Developed From Leakage After a Rectal Cancer Resection: Should We Wait for Closure of the Stoma Until the Complete Resolution of the Sinus?. Annals of Coloproctology, 2019, 35, 30-35.	2.0	5
85	Clinical characteristics and oncologic outcomes in patients with preoperative clinical T3 and T4 colon cancer who were staged as pathologic T3. Annals of Surgical Treatment and Research, 2020, 99, 37.	1.0	5
86	Operative and Survival Outcomes of Robotic-Assisted Surgery for Colorectal Cancer in Elderly and Very Elderly Patients: A Study in a Tertiary Hospital in South Korea. Journal of Oncology, 2022, 2022, 1-13.	1.3	5
87	Quality of laparoscopic camera navigation in robotâ€assisted versus conventional laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer: An analysis of surgical videos through a video processing computer software. International Journal of Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery, 2022, 18, e2393.	2.3	5
88	Infrapyloric and gastroepiploic node dissection for hepatic flexure and transverse colon cancer: A systematic review. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 48, 718-726.	1.0	5
89	Robotic colorectal surgery: more than a fantastic toy?. Innovative Surgical Sciences, 2018, 3, 65-68.	0.7	4
90	Trends of robotic-assisted surgery for thyroid, colorectal, stomach and hepatopancreaticobiliary cancer: 10 year Korea trend investigation. Asian Journal of Surgery, 2021, 44, 199-205.	0.4	4

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Surgical treatment of periampullary cancer: Review of 766 surgical experiences of 8 hospitals. Journal of Korean Medical Science, 1992, 7, 297.	2.5	3
92	Robot-assisted intersphincteric resection. , 2012, , 159-163.		3
93	Donor Safety and Recipient Liver Function After Right-Lobe Liver Transplantation From Living Donors With Gilbert Syndrome. Transplantation Proceedings, 2015, 47, 2827-2830.	0.6	3
94	Robotic low anterior resection: how to maximise success in difficult surgery. Techniques in Coloproctology, 2020, 24, 747-755.	1.8	3
95	Meta-analysis of transanal versus laparoscopic total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: a †New Health Technology' assessment in South Korea. Annals of Surgical Treatment and Research, 2021, 101, 167.	1.0	3
96	Bowel function and quality of life after minimally invasive colectomy with D3 lymphadenectomy for right-sided colon adenocarcinoma. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2020, 26, 4972-4982.	3.3	3
97	Transanal gauze packing to manage massive presacral bleeding secondary to prescral abscess caused by rectal anastomotic leakage: a novel approach. Annals of Surgical Treatment and Research, 2015, 88, 236.	1.0	2
98	Clinical characteristics of patients in their forties who underwent surgical resection for colorectal cancer in Korea. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2021, 27, 3901-3912.	3.3	2
99	Early Systemic Failure After Preoperative Chemoradiotherapy for the Treatment of Patients With Rectal Cancer. Annals of Coloproctology, 2019, 35, 94-99.	2.0	2
100	Laparoscopic transverse colectomy with extended complete mesocolic excision for mid-transverse colon cancer. Techniques in Coloproctology, 2022, , 1.	1.8	2
101	The Evolution of Robotic TAMIS. , 2019, , 153-164.		1
102	How to do robotic low anterior resection using Da Vinciâ€Xi system: Addressing the ergonomics dilemma. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 2021, 91, 2518-2520.	0.7	1
103	Robotic-assisted mesh pelvic closure for prevention of small bowel descent after surgery for recurrent rectal cancer. Techniques in Coloproctology, 2022, 26, 309-310.	1.8	1
104	A Minimally Invasive Pelvic Multivisceral Resection Approach for Locally Advanced Primary Colorectal Cancers: A Single-Institution Experience. Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A, 2021, , .	1.0	1
105	Lateral Pelvic Lymph Node Metastasectomy Via Laparoscopic Totally Extraperitoneal Approach. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2022, Publish Ahead of Print, .	1.3	1
106	Robotic Excision of Rectal GI Stromal Tumor Using the Da Vinci Xi System. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2022, 65, e323-e323.	1.3	1
107	Optimizing outcomes of colorectal cancer surgery with robotic platforms. Surgical Oncology, 2022, 43, 101786.	1.6	1
108	Optimising the TME Dissection during Robotic Low Anterior Resection with Three New Instruments. Annals of Robotic Innovative Surgery, 2021, 2, 15.	0.4	0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	O-110 A randomised, controlled, assessor-blind trial assessing clinical outcomes of individualised dosing with follitropin delta in Asian IVF/ICSI patients. Human Reproduction, 2021, 36, .	0.9	0
110	Laparoscopic en bloc lateral pelvic exenteration for locally advanced and recurrent rectal cancer. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 2021, , .	0.7	0
111	The Impact of Bowel Preparation on Anastomotic Complications after Laparoscopic Colorectal Resection: A Prospective Comparative Study between Oral Polyethylene Glycol and Phosphate Enema. Journal of the Korean Society of Coloproctology, 2009, 25, 294.	0.2	0
112	Can Single Incision Laparoscopic Surgery be Considered Primarily for Patients with Complicated Appendicitis?. Journal of the Korean Society of Coloproctology, 2010, 26, 373.	0.9	0
113	Safety and Feasibility of Self-Expandable Metallic Stent Insertion for the Right-Side Colonic Malignant Obstruction and Its Clinical Benefits. Journal of Minimally Invasive Surgery, 2015, 18, 19-23.	0.7	0
114	The Long-term Outcomes of Endoscopic Stenting as a Bridge to Elective Surgery in Patients with Colorectal Cancer Obstruction as Compared with Emergency Surgery. Journal of Minimally Invasive Surgery, 2015, 18, 113-120.	0.7	0
115	Laparoscopic and robotic-assisted mesh pelvic closure for locally advanced and recurrent colorectal cancer. Journal of Surgical Case Reports, 2021, 2021, rjab524.	0.4	0
116	How to do it: laparoscopic intersphincteric resection for unhealed rectoâ€vaginal fistula after previous ultralow anterior resection. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 2022, , .	0.7	0
117	Robotic rectal cancer surgery: literature review and perspective. Minerva Chirurgica, 2015, 70, 459-65.	0.8	0
118	Laparoscopic Extraperitoneal Approach for Lateral Pelvic Node Dissection in Rectal Cancer: Techniques and Short-Term Outcomes. Surgical Innovation, 2022, , 155335062210761.	0.9	0
119	Laparoscopic complete mesocolic excision with extended D3 lymphadenectomy for advanced hepatic flexure cancer: Addressing infrapyloric node dissection – A video vignette. Colorectal Disease, 2021, , .	1.4	0
120	Does the learning curve in robotic rectal cancer surgery impact circumferential resection margin involvement and reoperation rates? A risk-adjusted cumulative sum analysis. Minerva Surgery, 2021, 76, 124-128.	0.6	0
121	How to do: superior rectal artery sparing anterior resection for sigmoid colon cancer – surgical option for vasculopathic patients. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 2022, , .	0.7	Ο