
Heinz Ahn

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/45476/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



Ηείνης Δην

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Applying the Balanced Scorecard Concept: An Experience Report. Long Range Planning, 2001, 34, 441-461.	2.9	216
2	The overall Malmquist index: a new approach for measuring productivity changes over time. Annals of Operations Research, 2015, 226, 1-27.	2.6	62
3	Optimal product mix decisions based on the theory of constraints? exposing rarely emphasized premises of throughput accounting. International Journal of Production Research, 2005, 43, 361-374.	4.9	49
4	An insight into the specification of the input-output set for DEA-based bank efficiency measurement. Management Review Quarterly, 2014, 64, 3-37.	5.7	44
5	A DEA-based incentives system for centrally managed multi-unit organisations. European Journal of Operational Research, 2017, 259, 587-598.	3.5	32
6	A review of DEA approaches applying a common set of weights: The perspective of centralized management. European Journal of Operational Research, 2021, 294, 3-15.	3.5	25
7	A frontier-based system of incentives for units in organisations with varying degrees of decentralisation. European Journal of Operational Research, 2019, 275, 224-237.	3.5	23
8	How to individualise your balanced scorecard. Measuring Business Excellence, 2005, 9, 5-12.	1.4	18
9	Measuring the relative balance of DMUs. European Journal of Operational Research, 2012, 221, 417-423.	3.5	18
10	DEA efficiency of German savings banks: evidence from a goal-oriented perspective. Journal of Business Economics, 2015, 85, 953-975.	1.3	17
11	Research on target costing: past, present and future. Management Review Quarterly, 2018, 68, 321-354.	5.7	15
12	A non-convex meta-frontier Malmquist index for measuring productivity over time. IMA Journal of Management Mathematics, 2018, 29, 377-392.	1.1	14
13	The Luenberger indicator and directions of measurement: a bottoms-up approach with an empirical illustration to German savings banks. International Journal of Production Research, 2014, 52, 6216-6233.	4.9	11
14	Performance comparison of management groups under centralised management. European Journal of Operational Research, 2019, 278, 845-854.	3.5	11
15	The decoy effect in relative performance evaluation and the debiasing role of DEA. European Journal of Operational Research, 2016, 249, 959-967.	3.5	10
16	Multi-period productivity measurement under centralized management with an empirical illustration to German saving banks. OR Spectrum, 2017, 39, 881-911.	2.1	10
17	Developing selective proportionality on the FDH models: new insight on the proportionality axiom. International Journal of Information and Decision Sciences, 2015, 7, 99.	0.1	7
18	Performance benchmarking models for electricity transmission regulation: Caveats concerning the Brazilian case. Utilities Policy, 2019, 60, 100960.	2.1	7

Heinz Ahn

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Pitfalls in estimating the X-factor: The case of energy transmission regulation in Brazil. Socio-Economic Planning Sciences, 2019, 65, 1-9.	2.5	5
20	Measuring potential sub-unit efficiency to counter the aggregation bias in benchmarking. Journal of Business Economics, 2019, 89, 53-77.	1.3	5
21	The impact of selected input and output factors on measuring research efficiency of university research fields: insights from a purpose-, field-, and method-specific perspective. Journal of Business Economics, 2022, 92, 1303-1335.	1.3	2
22	Steuerung von IT-Compliance Management Systemen in Konzernstrukturen. Hmd, 2014, 51, 240-251.	0.3	1
23	Performance evaluation of teaching in higher education: a gap model and its application. International Journal of Public Sector Performance Management, 2017, 3, 119.	0.1	1
24	System of students' objectives: An analysis based on concepts of decision theory. , 2012, , .		0
25	Pfadfinder für neue Herausforderungen oder Blick in die Glaskugel? - Strategische Frühaufkläung im Controlling am Beispiel einer Universitä WiSt - Wirtschaftswissenschaftliches Studium, 2010, 39, 567-572.	0.0	0
26	Fehlanreize der Data Envelopment Analysis. Controlling, 2014, 26, 155-160.	0.1	0