

Julia F Strand

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/454547/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

25
papers

452
citations

840776

11
h-index

752698

20
g-index

25
all docs

25
docs citations

25
times ranked

431
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	“Where are the . . . Fixations?” Grammatical number cues guide anticipatory fixations to upcoming referents and reduce lexical competition.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2022, 48, 643-657.	0.9	1
2	Revisiting the target-masker linguistic similarity hypothesis. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2022, 84, 1772-1787.	1.3	3
3	Speech and non-speech measures of audiovisual integration are not correlated. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2022, 84, 1809-1819.	1.3	2
4	Putting the Self in Self-Correction: Findings From the Loss-of-Confidence Project. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 2021, 16, 1255-1269.	9.0	36
5	Talking Points: A Modulating Circle Increases Listening Effort Without Improving Speech Recognition in Young Adults. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2020, 27, 536-543.	2.8	13
6	Rapid adaptation to fully intelligible nonnative-accented speech reduces listening effort. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2020, 73, 1431-1443.	1.1	28
7	Understanding Speech amid the Jingle and Jangle: Recommendations for Improving Measurement Practices in Listening Effort Research. Auditory Perception & Cognition, 2020, 3, 169-188.	1.1	19
8	Recall of Speech is Impaired by Subsequent Masking Noise: A Replication of Rabbitt (1968) Experiment 2. Auditory Perception & Cognition, 2020, 3, 158-167.	1.1	2
9	Talking points: A modulating circle reduces listening effort without improving speech recognition. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2019, 26, 291-297.	2.8	6
10	“Paying” attention to audiovisual speech: Do incongruent stimuli incur greater costs?. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2019, 81, 1743-1756.	1.3	4
11	Noise increases listening effort in normal-hearing young adults, regardless of working memory capacity. Language, Cognition and Neuroscience, 2019, 34, 628-640.	1.2	10
12	Publishing Open, Reproducible Research With Undergraduates. Frontiers in Psychology, 2019, 10, 564.	2.1	7
13	The Danger of Testing by Selecting Controlled Subsets, with Applications to Spoken-Word Recognition. Journal of Cognition, 2019, 2, 2.	1.4	6
14	About Face: Seeing the Talker Improves Spoken Word Recognition but Increases Listening Effort. Journal of Cognition, 2019, 2, 44.	1.4	11
15	What accounts for individual differences in susceptibility to the McGurk effect?. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0207160.	2.5	37
16	Measuring Listening Effort: Convergent Validity, Sensitivity, and Links With Cognitive and Personality Measures. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 2018, 61, 1463-1486.	1.6	89
17	Keep listening: Grammatical context reduces but does not eliminate activation of unexpected words.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2018, 44, 962-973.	0.9	6
18	Making long-distance relationships work: Quantifying lexical competition with Hidden Markov Models. Journal of Memory and Language, 2016, 90, 88-102.	2.1	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Conducting spoken word recognition research online: Validation and a new timing method. Behavior Research Methods, 2016, 48, 553-566.	4.0	43
20	Many neighborhoods: Phonological and perceptual neighborhood density in lexical production and perception. Journal of Memory and Language, 2016, 89, 162-178.	2.1	32
21	Individual Differences in Susceptibility to the McGurk Effect: Links With Lipreading and Detecting Audiovisual Incongruity. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 2014, 57, 2322-2331.	1.6	48
22	Phi-square Lexical Competition Database (Phi-Lex): An online tool for quantifying auditory and visual lexical competition. Behavior Research Methods, 2014, 46, 148-158.	4.0	11
23	Grammatical context constrains lexical competition in spoken word recognition. Memory and Cognition, 2014, 42, 676-687.	1.6	10
24	There goes the neighborhood: Lipreading and the structure of the mental lexicon. Speech Communication, 2011, 53, 220-228.	2.8	12
25	Sizing up the competition: Quantifying the influence of the mental lexicon on auditory and visual spoken word recognition. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2011, 130, 1663-1672.	1.1	16