

# Valeria Goffi

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4521668/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

60  
papers

549  
citations

759233

12  
h-index

839539

18  
g-index

61  
all docs

61  
docs citations

61  
times ranked

647  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Voice Analysis of Postlingually Deaf Adults Pre- and Postcochlear Implantation. <i>Journal of Voice</i> , 2011, 25, 692-699.	1.5	39
2	Musical and vocal emotion perception for cochlear implants users. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 2018, 370, 272-282.	2.0	35
3	Auditory brainstem implant outcomes and MAP parameters: Report of experiences in adults and children. <i>International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology</i> , 2012, 76, 257-264.	1.0	31
4	Hearing Loss and Complaint in Patients With Head and Neck Cancer Treated With Radiotherapy. <i>JAMA Otolaryngology</i> , 2010, 136, 1065.	1.2	27
5	Neural response telemetry measures in patients implanted with Nucleus 24 <sup>®</sup> . <i>Brazilian Journal of Otorhinolaryngology</i> , 2005, 71, 660-667.	1.0	16
6	Hearing preservation using topical dexamethasone alone and associated with hyaluronic acid in cochlear implantation. <i>Acta Oto-Laryngologica</i> , 2015, 135, 473-477.	0.9	16
7	Benefit of Cochlear Implantation in Children with Multiple-handicaps: Parent's Perspective. <i>International Archives of Otorhinolaryngology</i> , 2018, 22, 415-427.	0.8	16
8	Telemetria de resposta neural intra-operat <sup>3</sup> ria em usu <sup>3</sup> rios de implante coclear. <i>Revista Brasileira De Otorrinolaringologia</i> , 2005, 71, 660-667.	0.2	15
9	Influence of Evoked Compound Action Potential on Speech Perception in Cochlear Implant Users. <i>Brazilian Journal of Otorhinolaryngology</i> , 2007, 73, 439-445.	1.0	15
10	Cochlear Implantation Via the Middle Fossa Approach. <i>Otology and Neurotology</i> , 2012, 33, 1516-1524.	1.3	15
11	Report on hearing loss in oncology. <i>Brazilian Journal of Otorhinolaryngology</i> , 2009, 75, 634-641.	1.0	14
12	Neurofibromatosis 2: hearing restoration options. <i>Brazilian Journal of Otorhinolaryngology</i> , 2012, 78, 128-134.	1.0	14
13	Contribution of the GSTP1 c.313A>G variant to hearing loss risk in patients exposed to platin chemotherapy during childhood. <i>Clinical and Translational Oncology</i> , 2019, 21, 630-635.	2.4	14
14	Avalia <sup>3</sup> o eletromiogr <sup>3</sup> fica do m <sup>3</sup> sculo masseter em pessoas com paralisia facial perif <sup>3</sup> rica de longa dura <sup>3</sup> o. <i>Revista CEFAC: Atualiza<sup>3</sup>o Cient<sup>3</sup>fica Em Fonoaudiologia</i> , 2007, 9, 207-212.	0.1	13
15	Estudo eletromiogr <sup>3</sup> fico do m <sup>3</sup> sculo masseter durante o apertamento dent <sup>3</sup> rio e mastiga <sup>3</sup> o habitual em adultos com oclus <sup>3</sup> o dent <sup>3</sup> ria normal. <i>Revista Da Sociedade Brasileira De Fonoaudiologia</i> , 2009, 14, 160-164.	0.3	13
16	Evaluation of ototoxicity in children treated for retinoblastoma: preliminary results of a systematic audiological evaluation. <i>Clinical and Translational Oncology</i> , 2011, 13, 348-352.	2.4	13
17	Retrolabyrinthine approach for surgical placement of auditory brainstem implants in children. <i>Acta Oto-Laryngologica</i> , 2012, 132, 462-466.	0.9	11
18	Remote programming of cochlear implants. <i>CoDAS</i> , 2014, 26, 481-486.	0.7	11

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Outcomes of Late Implantation in Usher Syndrome Patients. <i>International Archives of Otorhinolaryngology</i> , 2017, 21, 140-143.	0.8	11
20	Auditory brainstem implant in postmeningitis totally ossified cochleae. <i>Acta Oto-Laryngologica</i> , 2018, 138, 722-726.	0.9	11
21	The Influence of Auditory Feedback and Vocal Rehabilitation on Prelingual Hearing-Impaired Individuals Post Cochlear Implant. <i>Journal of Voice</i> , 2019, 33, 947.e1-947.e9.	1.5	11
22	Estudo da reprodutibilidade das emissões otoacústicas em indivíduos normais. <i>Revista Brasileira De Otorrinolaringologia</i> , 2002, 68, 34-38.	0.2	10
23	Auditory Brainstem Implant: surgical technique and early audiological results in patients with neurofibromatosis type 2. <i>Brazilian Journal of Otorhinolaryngology</i> , 2008, 74, 647-651.	1.0	10
24	Speech recognition and frequency of hearing loss in patients treated for cancer in childhood. <i>Pediatric Blood and Cancer</i> , 2013, 60, 1709-1713.	1.5	10
25	Satisfação e qualidade de vida em usuários de implante auditivo de tronco cerebral. <i>CoDAS</i> , 2017, 29, e20160059.	0.7	10
26	Auditory and language skills in children with auditory brainstem implants. <i>International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology</i> , 2020, 132, 110010.	1.0	9
27	Report on hearing loss in oncology. <i>Brazilian Journal of Otorhinolaryngology</i> , 2009, 75, 634-641.	1.0	9
28	Eletromiografia de superfície em pacientes portadores de paralisia facial periférica. <i>Revista CEFAC: Atualização Científica Em Fonoaudiologia</i> , 2010, 12, 91-96.	0.1	8
29	Prevalence of Contralateral Hearing Aid Use in Adults with Cochlear Implants. <i>International Archives of Otorhinolaryngology</i> , 2013, 17, 370-374.	0.8	8
30	Retrolabyrinthine approach for cochlear nerve preservation in neurofibromatosis type 2 and simultaneous cochlear implantation. <i>International Archives of Otorhinolaryngology</i> , 2014, 17, 351-355.	0.8	8
31	Longitudinal Analysis of the Absence of Intraoperative Neural Response Telemetry in Children using Cochlear Implants. <i>International Archives of Otorhinolaryngology</i> , 2014, 18, 362-368.	0.8	8
32	Neural response thresholds in the Nucleus Contour cochlear implant before and after stylet removal. <i>Acta Oto-Laryngologica</i> , 2009, 129, 1330-1336.	0.9	7
33	Are Auditory Steady-State Responses Useful to Evaluate Severe-to-Profound Hearing Loss in Children?. <i>BioMed Research International</i> , 2015, 2015, 1-7.	1.9	7
34	Audiological profile of patients treated for childhood cancer. <i>Brazilian Journal of Otorhinolaryngology</i> , 2016, 82, 623-629.	1.0	7
35	Speech perception in adolescents with pre-lingual hearing impairment with cochlear implants. <i>Brazilian Journal of Otorhinolaryngology</i> , 2011, 77, 153-157.	1.0	6
36	Microangiopathy of the inner ear, deafness, and cochlear implantation in a patient with Susac syndrome. <i>Acta Oto-Laryngologica</i> , 2011, 131, 1123-1128.	0.9	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Telephone Usage and Cochlear Implant: Auditory Training Benefits. International Archives of Otorhinolaryngology, 2015, 19, 269-272.	0.8	6
38	A contribuição da leitura orofacial na comunicação do neuropata auditivo. Revista CEFAC: Atualização Científica Em Fonoaudiologia, 2007, 9, 411-416.	0.1	5
39	Programming peculiarities in two cochlear implant users with superficial siderosis of the central nervous system. European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, 2012, 269, 1555-1563.	1.6	5
40	Contribution of noise reduction pre-processing and microphone directionality strategies in the speech recognition in noise in adult cochlear implant users. European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, 2021, 278, 2823-2828.	1.6	5
41	Neural response telemetry in patients with the double-array cochlear implant. European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, 2010, 267, 515-522.	1.6	4
42	Converted and Upgraded Maps Programmed in the Newer Speech Processor for the First Generation of Multichannel Cochlear Implant. Otology and Neurotology, 2013, 34, 1193-1200.	1.3	4
43	Speech Perception Performance of Double Array Multichannel Cochlear Implant Users With Standard and Duplicated Maps in Each of the Arrays. Otology and Neurotology, 2013, 34, 245-250.	1.3	4
44	Audiological outcomes of cochlear implantation in Waardenburg Syndrome. International Archives of Otorhinolaryngology, 2014, 17, 285-290.	0.8	4
45	Use of remote control in the intraoperative telemetry of cochlear implant: multicentric study. Brazilian Journal of Otorhinolaryngology, 2019, 85, 502-509.	1.0	4
46	The influence of stimulation levels on auditory thresholds and speech recognition in adult cochlear implant users. Cochlear Implants International, 2021, 22, 42-48.	1.2	4
47	Is the spread of excitation width correlated to the speech recognition in cochlear implant users?. European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, 2021, 278, 1815-1820.	1.6	4
48	Perfil audiológico do usuário implante coclear e aparelho de amplificação sonora individual na orelha contralateral: resultados preliminares. Revista CEFAC: Atualização Científica Em Fonoaudiologia, 2009, 11, 494-498.	0.1	3
49	Are There Cochlear Dead Regions Involved in Hearing Loss after Cisplatin Ototoxicity?. Audiology and Neuro-Otology, 2019, 24, 253-257.	1.3	3
50	Do the minimum and maximum comfortable stimulation levels influence the cortical potential latencies or the speech recognition in adult cochlear implant users?. Hearing Research, 2021, 404, 108206.	2.0	3
51	Speech Recognition of Cochlear Implant Users Inside a Noisy Helicopter Environment. Audiology and Neuro-Otology, 2019, 24, 32-37.	1.3	2
52	Speech Perception Changes in the Acoustically Aided, Nonimplanted Ear after Cochlear Implantation: A Multicenter Study. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2020, 9, 1758.	2.4	2
53	Resultados na percepção de fala após conversão do Spectra® para Freedom®. Brazilian Journal of Otorhinolaryngology, 2012, 78, 11-15.	1.0	1
54	Electromagnetic Compatibility of Cochlear Implant with an Aircraft Cockpit. Audiology and Neurotology Extra, 2014, 4, 56-61.	2.0	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Balancing the Loudness in Speech Processors and Contralateral Hearing Aids in Users of Unilateral Cochlear Implants. <i>International Archives of Otorhinolaryngology</i> , 2021, 25, e235-e241.	0.8	1
56	Is There Any Correlation between Spread of Excitation Width and the Refractory Properties of the Auditory Nerve in Cochlear Implant Users?. <i>Audiology and Neuro-Otology</i> , 2020, 26, 1-10.	1.3	0
57	Central Auditory Nervous System Stimulation through the Cochlear Implant Use and Its Behavioral Impacts: A Longitudinal Study of Case Series. <i>Case Reports in Otolaryngology</i> , 2021, 2021, 1-10.	0.2	0
58	Avalia�o do Na�da CI Q70 e estrat�gia UltraZoom para o reconhecimento de fala em situa�es reverberantes e com ru�do competitivo. <i>Audiology: Communication Research</i> , 0, 25, .	0.1	0
59	A tecnologia a favor da educa�o continuada no implante coclear. <i>Audiology: Communication Research</i> , 0, 26, .	0.1	0
60	Can the use of the CROS system provide head shadow effect contribution to unilateral Cochlear Implant Users?. <i>CoDAS</i> , 2022, 34, e20210071.	0.7	0