Carolyn M Rutter

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4500737/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01

		430874	434195
32	2,143	18	31
papers	citations	h-index	g-index
33	33	33	3107
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Reduced Implementation and Completion of Average-Risk Annual Fecal Immunochemical Test Colorectal Cancer Screening in Black Patients Aged 45–49 Years. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2023, 21, 1937-1939.	4.4	3
2	A Simple Method for Simulating Dementia Onset and Death within an Existing Demographic Model. Medical Decision Making, 2022, 42, 0272989X2110168.	2.4	1
3	Racism Is a Modifiable Risk Factor: Relationships Among Race, Ethnicity, and Colorectal Cancer Outcomes. Gastroenterology, 2022, 162, 1053-1055.	1.3	6
4	Too Good to Be True? Evaluation of Colonoscopy Sensitivity Assumptions Used in Policy Models. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2022, 31, 775-782.	2.5	4
5	Bayesian versus Empirical Calibration of Microsimulation Models: A Comparative Analysis. Medical Decision Making, 2021, 41, 714-726.	2.4	4
6	Colorectal Cancer Screening. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2021, 325, 1998.	7.4	145
7	Colorectal Cancer Screening in Young Adults. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2021, 174, 1039-1040.	3.9	4
8	Black and White Differences in Colorectal Cancer Screening and Screening Outcomes: A Narrative Review. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2021, 30, 3-12.	2.5	36
9	Reopening California: Seeking robust, non-dominated COVID-19 exit strategies. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0259166.	2.5	8
10	The impact of cumulative colorectal cancer screening delays: A simulation study. Journal of Medical Screening, 2021, , 096914132110451.	2.3	2
11	Validation of Colorectal Cancer Models on Long-term Outcomes from a Randomized Controlled Trial. Medical Decision Making, 2020, 40, 1034-1040.	2.4	7
12	Validation of Microsimulation Models Used for Population Health Policy. , 2020, , 227-240.		3
13	The Relationship Between Engagement in Online Support Groups and Social Isolation Among Military Caregivers: Longitudinal Questionnaire Study. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2020, 22, e16423.	4.3	11
14	Microsimulation model calibration using incremental mixture approximate Bayesian computation. Annals of Applied Statistics, 2019, 13, 2189-2212.	1.1	30
15	Effect of Time to Diagnostic Testing for Breast, Cervical, and Colorectal Cancer Screening Abnormalities on Screening Efficacy: A Modeling Study. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2018, 27, 158-164.	2.5	36
16	Building capacity for continuous quality improvement (CQI): A pilot study. Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment, 2017, 81, 44-52.	2.8	9
17	Variation in Screening Abnormality Rates and Follow-Up of Breast, Cervical and Colorectal Cancer Screening within the PROSPR Consortium. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2016, 31, 372-379.	2.6	34
18	Estimation of Benefits, Burden, and Harms of Colorectal Cancer Screening Strategies. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2016, 315, 2595.	7.4	388

CAROLYN M RUTTER

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Screening for Colorectal Cancer. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2016, 315, 2576.	7.4	605
20	Time to Colonoscopy after Positive Fecal Blood Test in Four U.S. Health Care Systems. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2016, 25, 344-350.	2.5	106
21	Validation of Models Used to Inform Colorectal Cancer Screening Guidelines. Medical Decision Making, 2016, 36, 604-614.	2.4	52
22	Prevalence of colonoscopy before age 50. Preventive Medicine, 2015, 72, 126-129.	3.4	9
23	Changes in Office Visit Use Associated With Electronic Messaging and Telephone Encounters Among Patients With Diabetes in the PCMH. Annals of Family Medicine, 2014, 12, 338-343.	1.9	31
24	Patterns of Colorectal Cancer Screening Uptake in Newly Eligible Men and Women. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2014, 23, 1230-1237.	2.5	23
25	Secular Trends in Colon and Rectal Cancer Relative Survival. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2013, 105, 1806-1813.	6.3	76
26	Dynamic Microsimulation Models for Health Outcomes. Medical Decision Making, 2011, 31, 10-18.	2.4	144
27	Clarifying Differences in Natural History between Models of Screening. Medical Decision Making, 2011, 31, 540-549.	2.4	45
28	An Evidence-Based Microsimulation Model for Colorectal Cancer: Validation and Application. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2010, 19, 1992-2002.	2.5	51
29	Cost-Effectiveness of Computed Tomographic Colonography Screening for Colorectal Cancer in the Medicare Population. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2010, 102, 1238-1252.	6.3	125
30	Bayesian Calibration of Microsimulation Models. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 2009, 104, 1338-1350.	3.1	54
31	Platelet activation by healing EPTFE grafts. Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B, 1995, 29, 647-653.	3.1	2
32	Analysis of longitudinal data: Random coefficient regression modelling. Statistics in Medicine, 1994, 13, 1211-1231.	1.6	89