## Carolyn M Rutter

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4500737/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

430874 434195 2,143 32 18 31 citations g-index h-index papers 33 33 33 3107 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Screening for Colorectal Cancer. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2016, 315, 2576.	7.4	605
2	Estimation of Benefits, Burden, and Harms of Colorectal Cancer Screening Strategies. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2016, 315, 2595.	7.4	388
3	Colorectal Cancer Screening. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2021, 325, 1998.	7.4	145
4	Dynamic Microsimulation Models for Health Outcomes. Medical Decision Making, 2011, 31, 10-18.	2.4	144
5	Cost-Effectiveness of Computed Tomographic Colonography Screening for Colorectal Cancer in the Medicare Population. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2010, 102, 1238-1252.	6.3	125
6	Time to Colonoscopy after Positive Fecal Blood Test in Four U.S. Health Care Systems. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2016, 25, 344-350.	2.5	106
7	Analysis of longitudinal data: Random coefficient regression modelling. Statistics in Medicine, 1994, 13, 1211-1231.	1.6	89
8	Secular Trends in Colon and Rectal Cancer Relative Survival. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2013, 105, 1806-1813.	6.3	76
9	Bayesian Calibration of Microsimulation Models. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 2009, 104, 1338-1350.	3.1	54
10	Validation of Models Used to Inform Colorectal Cancer Screening Guidelines. Medical Decision Making, 2016, 36, 604-614.	2.4	52
11	An Evidence-Based Microsimulation Model for Colorectal Cancer: Validation and Application. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2010, 19, 1992-2002.	2.5	51
12	Clarifying Differences in Natural History between Models of Screening. Medical Decision Making, 2011, 31, 540-549.	2.4	45
13	Effect of Time to Diagnostic Testing for Breast, Cervical, and Colorectal Cancer Screening Abnormalities on Screening Efficacy: A Modeling Study. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2018, 27, 158-164.	2.5	36
14	Black and White Differences in Colorectal Cancer Screening and Screening Outcomes: A Narrative Review. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2021, 30, 3-12.	2.5	36
15	Variation in Screening Abnormality Rates and Follow-Up of Breast, Cervical and Colorectal Cancer Screening within the PROSPR Consortium. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2016, 31, 372-379.	2.6	34
16	Changes in Office Visit Use Associated With Electronic Messaging and Telephone Encounters Among Patients With Diabetes in the PCMH. Annals of Family Medicine, 2014, 12, 338-343.	1.9	31
17	Microsimulation model calibration using incremental mixture approximate Bayesian computation. Annals of Applied Statistics, 2019, 13, 2189-2212.	1.1	30
18	Patterns of Colorectal Cancer Screening Uptake in Newly Eligible Men and Women. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2014, 23, 1230-1237.	2.5	23

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	The Relationship Between Engagement in Online Support Groups and Social Isolation Among Military Caregivers: Longitudinal Questionnaire Study. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2020, 22, e16423.	4.3	11
20	Prevalence of colonoscopy before age 50. Preventive Medicine, 2015, 72, 126-129.	3.4	9
21	Building capacity for continuous quality improvement (CQI): A pilot study. Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment, 2017, 81, 44-52.	2.8	9
22	Reopening California: Seeking robust, non-dominated COVID-19 exit strategies. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0259166.	2.5	8
23	Validation of Colorectal Cancer Models on Long-term Outcomes from a Randomized Controlled Trial. Medical Decision Making, 2020, 40, 1034-1040.	2.4	7
24	Racism Is a Modifiable Risk Factor: Relationships Among Race, Ethnicity, and Colorectal Cancer Outcomes. Gastroenterology, 2022, 162, 1053-1055.	1.3	6
25	Bayesian versus Empirical Calibration of Microsimulation Models: A Comparative Analysis. Medical Decision Making, 2021, 41, 714-726.	2.4	4
26	Colorectal Cancer Screening in Young Adults. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2021, 174, 1039-1040.	3.9	4
27	Too Good to Be True? Evaluation of Colonoscopy Sensitivity Assumptions Used in Policy Models. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2022, 31, 775-782.	2.5	4
28	Validation of Microsimulation Models Used for Population Health Policy., 2020,, 227-240.		3
29	Reduced Implementation and Completion of Average-Risk Annual Fecal Immunochemical Test Colorectal Cancer Screening in Black Patients Aged 45–49 Years. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2023, 21, 1937-1939.	4.4	3
30	Platelet activation by healing EPTFE grafts. Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B, 1995, 29, 647-653.	3.1	2
31	The impact of cumulative colorectal cancer screening delays: A simulation study. Journal of Medical Screening, 2021, , 096914132110451.	2.3	2
32	A Simple Method for Simulating Dementia Onset and Death within an Existing Demographic Model. Medical Decision Making, 2022, 42, 0272989X2110168.	2.4	1