

# Rebecca D Gill

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4476372/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

30  
papers

95  
citations

1683354

5  
h-index

1588620

8  
g-index

31  
all docs

31  
docs citations

31  
times ranked

68  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Introduction: Strategies for How Men can Advance Gender Equity in Political Science. PS - Political Science and Politics, 2021, 54, 501-502.	0.3	1
2	#MeToo, #MeThree, #MeFour: Twitter as community building across academic and corporate institutions. Psychology and Marketing, 2021, 38, 455-469.	4.6	13
3	Where are the women? Legal traditions and descriptive representation on the European Court of Justice. Politics, Groups & Identities, 2020, 8, 122-142.	1.2	4
4	Black and Blue: How African Americans Judge the U.S. Legal System. By James L. Gibson and Michael J. Nelson. New York: Oxford University Press, 2018. 220p. \$99.95 cloth, \$29.95 paper.. Perspectives on Politics, 2020, 18, 260-262.	0.2	1
5	Title IX: Help or Hindrance?. Journal of Women, Politics and Policy, 2019, 40, 204-227.	0.9	5
6	Power Dynamics in Supreme Court Oral Arguments: The Relationship between Gender and Justice-to-Justice Interruptions. Justice System Journal, 2019, 40, 173-195.	0.3	9
7	The impact of maleness on judicial decision making: masculinity, chivalry, and immigration appeals. Politics, Groups & Identities, 2019, 7, 509-528.	1.2	9
8	Do Voters Prefer Women Judges? Deconstructing the Competitive Advantage in State Supreme Court Elections. State Politics and Policy Quarterly, 2019, 19, 399-427.	0.8	9
9	The Ideal Judge: How Implicit Bias Shapes Assessment of State Judges. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2015, , .	0.4	0
10	Implicit Bias in Judicial Performance Evaluations: We Must Do Better Than This. Justice System Journal, 2014, 35, 301-324.	0.3	9
11	Are Judicial Performance Evaluations Fair to Women and Minorities? A Cautionary Tale from Clark County, Nevada. Law and Society Review, 2011, 45, 731-759.	0.7	29
12	A Framework for Comparative Judicial Selection Research. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
13	A Framework for Comparative Judicial Selection Research With an Application to Gender Diversity on High Courts. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	3
14	Implicit Gender Bias in State-Sponsored Judicial Performance Evaluations: A Preliminary Analysis of Colorado's JPE System, 2002-2012. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
15	Using the Label Wisely: A Fresh Take on Political Sophistication and Partisan Cues. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
16	Disagreement on the Australian High Court: Reconsidering the Legalist Model. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
17	Dimensions of Decision Making: Determining the Complexity of Politics on the High Court of Australia. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
18	Winning a De Novo Appeal: Explaining the Success of Criminal Appellants in New South Wales. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	The Effects of Judge Gender in Appellate Courts: A Comparative Cross-National Test. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
20	Institutional Considerations in Locating Norms of Consensus: A Cross-National Investigation. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
21	Reflections in Response to the Nevada Judicial Evaluation Pilot Project. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
22	The Fading Utility of the Australian Legalist Story: Using Social Background Theory to Explain Outcomes on the High Court. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
23	Sacrificing Diversity for 'Quality': How Judicial Performance Evaluations are Failing Women and Minorities. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
24	Gender & Race Bias in the Proposed Judicial Performance Evaluations: Some Preliminary Findings. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
25	The High Court's Agenda: More Interesting than it First Appears. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
26	Consensus or Ambivalence? Why Court Traditions Matter. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
27	The Changing Role of the High Court of Australia. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
28	Judicial Performance Evaluations as Biased and Invalid Measures: Why the ABA Guidelines are Not Good Enough. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
29	Unconscious Bias in Judicial Performance Evaluations: Why the ABA Guidelines are Not Good Enough. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
30	Chivalry, Masculinity, and the Importance of Maleness to Judicial Decision Making. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0