Thomas Yt Lam

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4457644/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

1163117 1058476 1,145 15 8 14 citations h-index g-index papers 16 16 16 2104 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Stress Hyperglycemia Is Associated With an Increased Risk of Subsequent Development of Diabetes Among Bacteremic and Nonbacteremic Patients. Diabetes Care, 2022, 45, 1438-1444.	8.6	8
2	Mobile messenger–initiated reminders improve longitudinal adherence in a communityâ€based, opportunistic colorectal cancer screening program: A singleâ€blind, crossover randomized controlled study. Cancer, 2021, 127, 914-921.	4.1	8
3	Prevalence and risk factors for sessile serrated lesions in an average risk colorectal cancer screening population. Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology (Australia), 2021, 36, 1656-1662.	2.8	8
4	Short Message Service reminders reduce outpatient colonoscopy nonattendance rate: A randomized controlled study. Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology (Australia), 2021, 36, 1044-1050.	2.8	10
5	A modified colorectal screening score for prediction of advanced neoplasia: A prospective study of 5744 subjects. Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology (Australia), 2018, 33, 187-194.	2.8	47
6	Effectiveness of reminder strategies on cancer screening adherence: a randomised controlled trial. British Journal of General Practice, 2018, 68, e604-e611.	1.4	13
7	Metagenomic analysis of faecal microbiome as a tool towards targeted non-invasive biomarkers for colorectal cancer. Gut, 2017, 66, 70-78.	12.1	865
8	Association of Interactive Reminders and Automated Messages With Persistent Adherence to Colorectal Cancer Screening. JAMA Oncology, 2017, 3, 1281.	7.1	15
9	Screening strategies for colorectal cancer among patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and family history. International Journal of Cancer, 2016, 138, 576-583.	5.1	13
10	The discriminatory capability of existing scores to predict advanced colorectal neoplasia: a prospective colonoscopy study of 5,899 screening participants. Scientific Reports, 2016, 6, 20080.	3.3	15
11	Regret on Choice of Colorectal Cancer Screening Modality Was Associated with Poorer Screening Compliance: A 4-Year Prospective Cohort Study. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0125782.	2.5	0
12	Informed Choice vs. No Choice in Colorectal Cancer Screening Tests: A Prospective Cohort Study in Real-Life Screening Practice. American Journal of Gastroenterology, 2014, 109, 1072-1079.	0.4	30
13	A validated tool to predict colorectal neoplasia and inform screening choice for asymptomatic subjects. Gut, 2014, 63, 1130-1136.	12.1	81
14	Should Prior FIT Results Be Incorporated as an Additional Variable to Estimate Risk of Colorectal Neoplasia? A Prospective Study of 5,813 Screening Colonoscopies. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e114332.	2.5	4
15	Prospective cohort study of compliance with faecal immunochemical tests for colorectal cancer screening in Hong Kong. Preventive Medicine, 2013, 57, 227-231.	3.4	28