

Ann Sofia Skou Thomsen

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4430521/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

30
papers

1,913
citations

516710

16
h-index

501196

28
g-index

31
all docs

31
docs citations

31
times ranked

2614
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The learning curve of robot-assisted vitreoretinal surgery – A randomized trial in a simulated setting. Acta Ophthalmologica, 2021, 99, e1509-e1516.	1.1	6
2	Quantifying surgical skill in macular surgery. Acta Ophthalmologica, 2021, , .	1.1	2
3	Pretraining of basic skills on a virtual reality vitreoretinal simulator: A waste of time. Acta Ophthalmologica, 2021, , .	1.1	5
4	Defining the surgical footprint in cataract surgery: patient-related outcomes dependent on the experience of the surgeon. Acta Ophthalmologica, 2021, 99, e999-e1005.	1.1	1
5	ROBOT-ASSISTED VITREORETINAL SURGERY IMPROVES SURGICAL ACCURACY COMPARED WITH MANUAL SURGERY. Retina, 2020, 40, 2091-2098.	1.7	20
6	Telementoring and remote training in the present era. Acta Ophthalmologica, 2020, 99, e617-e618.	1.1	3
7	The future of virtual reality in cataract surgical training. Expert Review of Ophthalmology, 2020, 15, 193-196.	0.6	0
8	Simulation of advanced cataract surgery – validation of a newly developed test. Acta Ophthalmologica, 2020, 98, 687-692.	1.1	3
9	Correlation of virtual reality performance with real-life cataract surgery performance. Journal of Cataract and Refractive Surgery, 2019, 45, 1246-1251.	1.5	36
10	Simulators in the training of surgeons: is it worth the investment in money and time? 2018 Jules Gonin lecture of the Retina Research Foundation. Graefe's Archive for Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology, 2019, 257, 877-881.	1.9	18
11	Computer analysis of individual cataract surgery segments in the operating room. Eye, 2019, 33, 313-319.	2.1	8
12	Simulation in Ophthalmology. Comprehensive Healthcare Simulation, 2019, , 319-326.	0.2	1
13	Gathering Validity Evidence for Surgical Simulation. Annals of Surgery, 2018, 267, 1063-1068.	4.2	103
14	Consensus on procedures to include in a simulation-based curriculum in ophthalmology: a national Delphi study. Acta Ophthalmologica, 2018, 96, 519-527.	1.1	15
15	Predictors of visual outcome in patients operated for craniopharyngioma – a Danish national study. Acta Ophthalmologica, 2018, 96, 39-45.	1.1	19
16	Virtual reality-based proficiency test in direct ophthalmoscopy. Acta Ophthalmologica, 2018, 96, e259-e261.	1.1	11
17	Surgical simulation: Current practices and future perspectives for technical skills training. Medical Teacher, 2018, 40, 668-675.	1.8	72
18	Intraocular surgery – assessment and transfer of skills using a virtual-reality simulator. Acta Ophthalmologica, 2017, 95, 1-22.	1.1	10

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Is there interprocedural transfer of skills in intraocular surgery? A randomized controlled trial. <i>Acta Ophthalmologica</i> , 2017, 95, 845-851.	1.1	30
20	Operating Room Performance Improves after Proficiency-Based Virtual Reality Cataract Surgery Training. <i>Ophthalmology</i> , 2017, 124, 524-531.	5.2	166
21	Correspondence. <i>Retina</i> , 2017, 37, e118-e118.	1.7	0
22	High correlation between performance on a virtual reality simulator and real-life cataract surgery. <i>Acta Ophthalmologica</i> , 2017, 95, 307-311.	1.1	61
23	Direct ophthalmoscopy on YouTube: analysis of instructional YouTube videos' content and approach to visualization. <i>Clinical Ophthalmology</i> , 2016, Volume 10, 1535-1541.	1.8	29
24	Simulation-based certification for cataract surgery. <i>Acta Ophthalmologica</i> , 2015, 93, 416-421.	1.1	60
25	Update on Simulation-Based Surgical Training and Assessment in Ophthalmology. <i>Ophthalmology</i> , 2015, 122, 1111-1130.e1.	5.2	85
26	Expert Involvement and Adherence to Medical Evidence in Medical Mobile Phone Apps: A Systematic Review. <i>JMIR MHealth and UHealth</i> , 2015, 3, e79.	3.7	93
27	Bias due to lack of patient blinding in clinical trials. A systematic review of trials randomizing patients to blind and nonblind sub-studies. <i>International Journal of Epidemiology</i> , 2014, 43, 1272-1283.	1.9	289
28	Observer bias in randomized clinical trials with time-to-event outcomes: systematic review of trials with both blinded and non-blinded outcome assessors. <i>International Journal of Epidemiology</i> , 2014, 43, 937-948.	1.9	93
29	Observer bias in randomized clinical trials with measurement scale outcomes: a systematic review of trials with both blinded and nonblinded assessors. <i>Cmaj</i> , 2013, 185, E201-E211.	2.0	370
30	Observer bias in randomised clinical trials with binary outcomes: systematic review of trials with both blinded and non-blinded outcome assessors. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2012, 344, e1119-e1119.	2.3	304