## Jeffrey Richardson

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4429964/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The Assessment of Quality of Life (AQoL) instrument: a psychometric measure of health-related quality of life. Quality of Life Research, 1999, 8, 209-224.	1.5	641
2	A comparison of the Assessment of Quality of Life (AQoL) with four other generic utility instruments. Annals of Medicine, 2001, 33, 358-370.	1.5	388
3	Validity and Reliability of the Assessment of Quality of Life (AQoL)-8D Multi-Attribute Utility Instrument. Patient, 2014, 7, 85-96.	1.1	372
4	The Rule of Rescue. Social Science and Medicine, 2003, 56, 2407-2419.	1.8	303
5	Maximizing health benefits vs egalitarianism: An Australian survey of health issues. Social Science and Medicine, 1995, 41, 1429-1437.	1.8	204
6	Comparing and Explaining Differences in the Magnitude, Content, and Sensitivity of Utilities Predicted by the EQ-5D, SF-6D, HUI 3, 15D, QWB, and AQoL-8D Multiattribute Utility Instruments. Medical Decision Making, 2015, 35, 276-291.	1.2	120
7	Population norms for the AQoL derived from the 2007 Australian National Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 2013, 37, 7-16.	0.8	107
8	Modelling utility weights for the Assessment of Quality of Life (AQoL)-8D. Quality of Life Research, 2014, 23, 2395-2404.	1.5	90
9	Deriving population norms for the AQoL-6D and AQoL-8D multi-attribute utility instruments from web-based data. Quality of Life Research, 2016, 25, 3209-3219.	1.5	90
10	Who cares about cost? Does economic analysis impose or reflect social values?. Health Policy, 1995, 34, 79-94.	1.4	89
11	Vision and Quality of Life: The Development of a Utility Measure. , 2005, 46, 4007.		85
12	Measurement of the Quality of Life for Economic Evaluation and the Assessment of Quality of Life (AQoL) Mark 2 Instrument. Australian Economic Review, 2004, 37, 62-88.	0.4	84
13	Why do multi-attribute utility instruments produce different utilities: the relative importance of the descriptive systems, scale and †micro-utility' effects. Quality of Life Research, 2015, 24, 2045-2053.	1.5	81
14	Measuring the Sensitivity and Construct Validity of 6 Utility Instruments in 7 Disease Areas. Medical Decision Making, 2016, 36, 147-159.	1.2	70
15	Cost-Effectiveness of the Primary Prevention of Non-Insulin Dependent Diabetes Mellitus. Health Promotion International, 1998, 13, 197-209.	0.9	62
16	Assessing outcomes for cost-utility analysis in depression: comparison of five multi-attribute utility instruments with two depression-specific outcome measures. British Journal of Psychiatry, 2014, 205, 390-397.	1.7	61
17	The Relative Impacts of Disease on Health Status and Capability Wellbeing: A Multi-Country Study. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0143590.	1.1	53
18	Incremental cost per quality-adjusted life year gained? The need for alternative methods to evaluate medical interventions for ultra-rare disorders. Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research, 2014, 3, 399-422.	0.6	50

JEFFREY RICHARDSON

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Empiricism, ethics and orthodox economic theory: what is the appropriate basis for decision-making in the health sector?. Social Science and Medicine, 2005, 60, 265-275.	1.8	47
20	Vision and Quality of Life: Development of Methods for the VisQoL Vision-Related Utility Instrument. Ophthalmic Epidemiology, 2008, 15, 218-223.	0.8	46
21	A critique of the World Health Organisation's evaluation of health system performance. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2003, 12, 355-366.	0.8	45
22	Mapping between 6 Multiattribute Utility Instruments. Medical Decision Making, 2016, 36, 160-175.	1.2	38
23	Assessing the validity of the ICECAP-A capability measure for adults with depression. BMC Psychiatry, 2017, 17, 46.	1.1	35
24	Health technology assessment (HTA) and economic evaluation: efficiency or fairness first. Journal of Market Access & Health Policy, 2019, 7, 1557981.	0.8	27
25	Can Multi-attribute Utility Instruments Adequately Account for Subjective Well-being?. Medical Decision Making, 2015, 35, 292-304.	1.2	26
26	Maximising health versus sharing: Measuring preferences for the allocation of the health budget. Social Science and Medicine, 2012, 75, 1351-1361.	1.8	25
27	Exploring the role of order effects in person trade-off elicitations. Health Policy, 2002, 61, 189-199.	1.4	24
28	Supply and Demand for Medical Care: Or, Is the Health Care Market Perverse?. Australian Economic Review, 2001, 34, 336-352.	0.4	23
29	Economic evaluation of services for a National Health Scheme: The case for a fairness-based framework. Journal of Health Economics, 2007, 26, 785-799.	1.3	22
30	Diabetes and quality of life: Comparing results from utility instruments and Diabetes-39. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice, 2015, 109, 326-333.	1.1	21
31	Utility Weights for the Vision-related Assessment of Quality of Life (AQoL)-7D Instrument. Ophthalmic Epidemiology, 2012, 19, 172-182.	0.8	17
32	AN INSTRUMENT FOR MEASURING THE SOCIAL WILLINGNESS TO PAY FOR HEALTH STATE IMPROVEMENT. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2014, 23, 792-805.	0.8	17
33	Mapping Between the Sydney Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLQ-S) and Five Multi-Attribute Utility Instruments (MAUIs). Pharmacoeconomics, 2017, 35, 111-124.	1.7	17
34	The impact of depression on health-related quality of life and wellbeing: identifying important dimensions and assessing their inclusion in multi-attribute utility instruments. Quality of Life Research, 2018, 27, 2873-2884.	1.5	17
35	An evaluation of the Assessment of Quality of Life utility instrument as a measure of the impact of injury on health-related quality of life. International Journal of Injury Control and Safety Promotion, 2005, 12, 227-239.	1.0	16
36	Determining the value of medical technologies to treat ultra-rare disorders: a consensus statement. Journal of Market Access & Health Policy, 2016, 4, 33039.	0.8	16

JEFFREY RICHARDSON

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Are Quality-Adjusted Life Years a Good Proxy Measure of Individual Capabilities?. Pharmacoeconomics, 2017, 35, 637-646.	1.7	16
38	Do quality-adjusted life years take account of lost income? Evidence from an Australian survey. European Journal of Health Economics, 2009, 10, 103-109.	1.4	15
39	Empirical Comparison Between Capability and Two Health-Related Quality of Life Measures. Social Indicators Research, 2018, 140, 175-190.	1.4	14
40	The Relevance of Personal Characteristics in Health Care Rationing: What the Australian Public Thinks and Why. American Journal of Economics and Sociology, 2011, 70, 131-151.	0.5	13
41	Mapping of Incontinence Quality of Life (I-QOL) scores to Assessment of Quality of Life 8D (AQoL-8D) utilities in patients with idiopathic overactive bladder. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2014, 12, 133.	1.0	13
42	How important is severity for the evaluation of health services: new evidence using the relative social willingness to pay instrument. European Journal of Health Economics, 2017, 18, 671-683.	1.4	12
43	The monetary value of a life year: evidence from a qualitative study of treatment costs. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2011, 20, 945-957.	0.8	11
44	Communal Sharing and the Provision of Low-Volume High-Cost Health Services: Results of a Survey. PharmacoEconomics - Open, 2017, 1, 13-23.	0.9	9
45	Comparing the German Translation of the ICECAP-A Capability Wellbeing Measure to the Original English Version: Psychometric Properties across Healthy Samples and Seven Health Condition Groups. Applied Research in Quality of Life, 2020, 15, 651-673.	1.4	9
46	Variation in the apparent importance of health-related problems with the instrument used to measure patient welfare. Quality of Life Research, 2018, 27, 2885-2896.	1.5	8
47	Age Weights for Health Services Derived from the Relative Social Willingness-to-Pay Instrument. Medical Decision Making, 2017, 37, 239-251.	1.2	7
48	Increasing the options for reducing adverse events: Results from a modified Delphi technique. Australia and New Zealand Health Policy, 2008, 5, 25.	2.2	6
49	Is the incorporation of equity considerations into economic evaluation really so simple? A comment on Cookson, Drummond and Weatherly. Health Economics, Policy and Law, 2009, 4, 247-254.	1.1	6
50	Is the Validity of Cost Utility Analysis Improved When Utility is Measured by an Instrument with â€~Home-Country' Weights? Evidence from Six Western Countries. Social Indicators Research, 2019, 145, 1-15.	1.4	6
51	Calculating Society???s Willingness to Pay for a QALY. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2004, 3, 125-126.	1.0	5
52	Social preferences for prioritizing the treatment of severely ill patients: The relevance of severity, expected benefit, past health and lifetime health. Health Policy, 2017, 121, 913-922.	1.4	5
53	Sharing and the Provision of "Cost-Ineffective―Life-Extending Services to Less Severely III Patients. Value in Health, 2018, 21, 951-957.	0.1	4
54	Does the use of the proportional shortfall help align the prioritisation of health services with public preferences?. European Journal of Health Economics, 2018, 19, 797-806.	1.4	4

JEFFREY RICHARDSON

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Uncertainty and the Undervaluation of Services for Severe Health States in Cost-Utility Analyses. Value in Health, 2018, 21, 850-857.	0.1	3
56	Social preferences for prioritising the treatment of disabled and chronically ill patients: beyond the order effect. Health Economics, Policy and Law, 2019, 14, 443-467.	1.1	3
57	Estimating Utility Weights for the Vision Related Quality of Life Index. Optometry and Vision Science, 2016, 93, 1495-1501.	0.6	2
58	Does a patient's health potential affect the social valuation of health services?. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0192585.	1.1	2
59	Economics, Political Philosophy and Ethics: The Role of Public Preferences in Health Care Decision-Making. , 0, , 569-576.		1
60	A conceptual model of the economic impact of international movements in the health labour force. Applied Economics Letters, 2009, 16, 609-613.	1.0	0
61	Is There a Better Alternative for Australia's Health System?. Economic Papers, 2010, 29, 267-278.	0.4	0
62	Alcohol taxes: the case for reform. Medical Journal of Australia, 1990, 153, 501-502.	0.8	0
63	QALYs In Health Resource Usage Decisions. Health Affairs, 2022, 41, 609-610.	2.5	0